1	WAYNE P. STRUMPFER Acting California Corporations Commissioner		
2	ALAN S. WEINGER (CA BAR NO. 86717)		
3	Acting Deputy Commissioner SEAN M. ROONEY (CA BAR NO. 188843)		
	Corporations Counsel		
4	DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS 320 West 4 th Street, Suite 750		
5	Los Angeles, California 90013-2344 Telephone: (213) 576-7500		
6			
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS		
9	OF THE STATE	OF CALIFORNIA	
10	In the Matter of) CASE NO.	
11	THE GLAPPONIAL GODDON ATTOMS)) FILE NO. 925-2761	
12	THE CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS COMMISSIONER,)	
13) STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF ORDER TO) LEVY ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES	
14	Complainant, v.) PURSUANT TO CORPORATIONS CODE) SECTION 25252 AND COMMISSIONER'S	
15	V.) INTENTION TO MAKE ORDER FINAL	
	AUSTIN LEMOINE CAPITAL)	
16	MANAGEMENT, (AUSTIN JOSEPH LEMOINE, DBA),))	
17			
18	Respondent.))	
19)	
20	Wayne P. Strumpfer, the Acting California Corporations Commissioner ("Commissioner") or		
21	the Department of Corporations ("Department"), alleges and charges as follows:		
22	Austin Lemoine Capital Managemer	nt (Austin Joseph Lemoine DBA) ("Austin") holds	
23	a valid and unrevoked investment adviser certification	ate issued by the Commissioner pursuant to	
24	Corporations Code section 25230 on March 4, 1998. Austin is an investment adviser business		
25	located at 1020 Guinda Street, Palo Alto, Californ	nia 94301. Austin Joseph Lemoine is Austin's sole	
26	proprietor.		
27			

2. On or about February 26, 1999, the Commissioner commenced a regulatory	
examination of Austin. The examination revealed violations of the Corporate Securities Law or	f
1968 (Corporations Code section 25000 et seq.) and the regulations enacted thereunder (California)	rnia
Code of Regulations, title 10 section 260.000 et seq.).	

- 3. These violations included Austin's failure to reconcile bank accounts and the failure to prepare and maintain monthly computations of net capital and aggregate indebtedness for Austin. (California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 260.241.3, subdivision (a)(4) & (j).) An investment adviser is required to reconcile its bank accounts and to prepare and maintain monthly computations of net capital and aggregate indebtedness, in part, to provide the Department with a regulatory mechanism to validate a firm's liquidity and financial integrity to ensure that licensees maintain the necessary net capital for the protection of the public. Austin's violation of these books and records provisions prevents the Department from determining as part of its regulatory exam, if Austin meets the capital requirements imposed by the Corporate Securities Law of 1968 and the regulations enacted thereunder.
- 4. Corporations Code section 25241 provides that investment advisers are required to maintain books and records that are subject to examination by the Commissioner. Section 25241 provides, in relevant part, as follows:

[E]very investment adviser licensed under Section 25230 shall make and keep such accounts, correspondence, memoranda, papers, books, and other records and shall file such financial and other reports as the commissioner by rule requires, . . .

- 5. California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 260.241.3 sets forth the specific books and records required to be maintained by investment advisers. Subdivisions (a)(4) and (j) of section 260.241.3 provide as follows¹:
 - (a) Every licensed investment adviser shall make and keep true, accurate and current the following books and records relating to such person's investment advisory business:

¹ Various provisions of the California Code of Regulations, title 10, were amended and became effective April 3, 2003. This administrative action is based on violations that occurred under statutes and rules prior to the effective date of the amendments.

- (4) All check books, bank statements, cancelled checks and cash reconciliations of the investment adviser.
- (j) Any investment adviser who is subject to the minimum financial requirements of Section 260.237.1 or Section 260.237.2 as applicable, shall, in addition to the records otherwise required under this section, maintain a record of the proof of money balances of all ledger accounts in the form of trial balances and a record of the computations of net capitals and aggregate indebtedness pursuant to Section 260.237.1 of these rules or minimum net worth pursuant to Section 260.237.2 of these rules (as of the trial balance date). The trial balances and computations shall be prepared currently at least once a month.
- 6. Prior to April 3, 2003, California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 260.237.1 set forth the capital requirements for investment advisers. Subdivision (a)(2) of section 260.237.1 provided, in relevant part, as follows:
 - (a) No investment adviser who has any power of attorney from any investment advisory client to execute transactions . . . shall permit its total aggregate indebtedness to exceed 500% of its tangible net capital or permit its current aggregate indebtedness to exceed its current net capital; and,
 - (2) If the investment adviser has any power of attorney from any investment advisory client to execute transactions and does not have regular or periodic custody or possession of any of its investment advisory clients' securities or funds, except the receipt of prepaid subscriptions for periodic publications, or other investment advisory services, it shall at all times have and maintain tangible net capital of not less than \$5,000....

Subdivision (c) of section 260.237.1 provided that for purposes of section 260.237.1, subdivision (a), all financial information shall be determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP").

7. The Department's examiner found during the regulatory exam on February 26, 1999, that Austin did not reconcile its bank accounts. (California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 260.241.3, subdivision (a)(4).) Additionally, Austin did not prepare and maintain trial balances and computations of net capital and aggregate indebtedness on a monthly basis. (California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 260.241.3, subdivision (j).) Therefore, the Department was unable to determine at the time of the examination if Austin was in compliance with the net capital requirements under California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 260.237.1.

- 8. On March 3, 1999, the Department sent Austin a regulatory letter notifying the firm of the exceptions discovered during the February 26, 1999 examination, including the violations of California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 260.241.3, subdivisions (a)(4) and (j). Austin responded that Austin would acquire new accounting computer software and henceforth would use it to maintain Austin's general ledger, from which bank statements would be reconciled and monthly trial balances and capital computations would be prepared.
- 9. The Department's examiner found during a subsequent regulatory exam on January 15, 2003, that Austin still failed to reconcile its bank accounts. (California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 260.241.3, subdivision (a)(4).) Additionally, Austin did not prepare and maintain trial balances and computations of net capital and aggregate indebtedness on a monthly basis. (California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 260.241.3, subdivision (j).) Therefore, the Department was unable to determine at the time of the examination if Austin was in compliance with the net capital requirements under California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 260.237.1.
- 10. On April 17, 2003, Austin responded to the Department's regulatory letter noting the exceptions to the January 15, 2003 examination. Austin pledged to reconcile its bank statements and maintain monthly computations of the net capital balance and prepare written net capital reports as part of its advisory records.
- 11. The Department's examiner found during a subsequent regulatory exam on July 17, 2003, that Austin still failed to reconcile its bank accounts. (California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 260.241.3, subdivision (a)(4).) Additionally, Austin did not prepare and maintain trial balances and computations of net capital and aggregate indebtedness on a monthly basis. (California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 260.241.3, subdivision (j).) Therefore, the Department was unable to determine at the time of the examination if Austin was in compliance with the net capital requirements under California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 260.237.1.
- 12. On September 26, 2003, Austin responded to the Department's regulatory letter noting the exceptions to the July 17, 2003 examination. Austin stated that it believed it had adequately reconciled its bank statements and that its monthly computations of the net capital balance were adequate.

13. Corporations Code section 25252, which became effective January 1, 1999, authorizes the Commissioner to issue an order levying administrative penalties against any investment adviser for willful violations of any provision of the California Securities Law of 1968 and any rule promulgated thereunder. Specifically, Corporations Code section 25252 provides, in relevant part:

The Commissioner may, after appropriate notice and opportunity for hearing, by order levy administrative penalties as follows:

. . .

(b) Any broker-dealer or investment adviser that willfully violates any provision of this division to which it is subject, or that willfully violates any rule or order adopted or issued pursuant to this division and to which it is subject, is liable for administrative penalties of not more than five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for the first violation, not more than ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) for the second violation, and not more than fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000) for each subsequent violation.

By reason of the foregoing, Austin has willfully violated Corporations Code section 25241 and California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 260.241.3, subdivisions (a)(4) and (j), justifying the imposition of administrative penalties. Austin, as a licensee, is obligated to have knowledge of, and to comply with, the provisions of the Corporate Securities Law of 1968 and the regulations thereunder to maintain its investment adviser certificate. Furthermore, on March 3, 1999; April 4, 2003; and September 23, 2003, the Department notified Austin of the requirements of California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 260.241.3, subdivisions (a)(4) and (j). Austin has violated both subdivisions, despite the Department's actual notification and Austin's assurances of future compliance.

Therefore, pursuant to Corporations Code section 25252, the Commissioner seeks administrative penalties for Austin's failure to reconcile bank statements and Austin's failure to prepare and maintain monthly trial balances and computations of net and aggregate indebtedness in willful violation of Corporations Code section 25241 and California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 260.241.3, subdivisions (a)(4) and (j).

WHEREFORE, good cause showing, and pursuant to Corporations Code section 25252, the California Corporations Commissioner prays for an order levying administrative penalties in the amount of \$750 for each violation for a total of \$4,500.

1	
2	Dated: March 29, 2006 Los Angeles, California
3	
4	WAYNE P. STRUMPFER Acting California Corporations Commissioner
5	recting Camorina Corporations Commissioner
6	By:
7	By: SEAN M. ROONEY Corporations Counsel
8	Corporations Counsel Enforcement Division
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	6