

1 PRESTON DuFAUCHARD  
California Corporations Commissioner  
2 ALAN S. WEINGER  
Deputy Commissioner  
3 Department of Corporations  
320 West 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Ste. 750  
4 Los Angeles, California 90013-2344

5 Attorneys for Complainant

6 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS  
7 OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
8

9 In the Matter of the Accusation of THE )  
10 CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS )  
11 COMMISSIONER, ) File No.: 603-1095  
12 Complainant, ) ORDER DISMISSING NOTICE OF  
13 vs. ) INTENTION TO ISSUE ORDER REVOKING  
14 COUNTY FINANCIAL SERVICES ) CALIFORNIA FINANCE LENDERS  
15 (AUTOMOTIVE FUNDING GROUP, INC., ) LICENSE  
16 DBA) )  
17 Respondent. \_\_\_\_\_

18 GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, the Notice of Intention to Issue Order Revoking California  
19 Finance Lenders License issued against COUNTY FINANCIAL SERVICES on June 25, 2010 is  
20 hereby dismissed.  
21

22 Dated: July 19, 2010  
23 Los Angeles, California

PRESTON DuFAUCHARD  
California Corporations Commissioner

24  
25  
26 By \_\_\_\_\_  
27 PATRICIA R. SPEIGHT  
Special Administrator  
28 California Finance Lenders Law

1 PRESTON DuFAUCHARD  
2 California Corporations Commissioner  
3 ALAN S. WEINGER (CA BAR NO. 86717)  
4 Deputy Commissioner  
5 Department of Corporations  
6 320 West 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Ste. 750  
7 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105  
8 Attorneys for Complainant

9  
10 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS  
11 OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
12

13 In the Matter of the Accusation of ) File No. 603-1095  
14 THE CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS )  
15 COMMISSIONER, )  
16 )  
17 Complainant, )  
18 )  
19 vs. ) NOTICE OF INTENTION  
20 ) TO ISSUE ORDER  
21 ) REVOKING CALIFORNIA  
22 ) FINANCE LENDERS LICENSE  
23 COUNTY FINANCIAL SERVICES )  
24 (AUTOMOTIVE FUNDING GROUP, INC., )  
25 DBA, )  
26 )  
27 Respondent. )  
28

29 Pursuant to section 22714 of the California Finance Lenders Law (California  
30 Financial Code), notice is hereby given of the intention of the California Corporations  
31 Commissioner to enter his Order pursuant to section 22714 of the California Finance  
32 Lenders Law to revoke Respondent's finance lenders license.

33 The attached Accusation, which is incorporated by this reference, states the reasons  
34 for the intended Order.

35 Unless a request for hearing, as evidenced by the mailing or delivery of the Notice of  
36 Defense, is received within 15 days after the Accusation was personally served upon  
37  
38

1 you or mailed to you, such Order may be entered at any time thereafter without a hearing.

2  
3 DATED: June 23, 2010  
4 Los Angeles, California

5 PRESTON DuFAUCHARD  
6 California Corporations Commissioner

7 By \_\_\_\_\_  
8 PATRICIA R. SPEIGHT  
9 Special Administrator  
10 California Finance Lenders Act

1 PRESTON DuFAUCHARD  
2 California Corporations Commissioner  
3 ALAN S. WEINGER (CA BAR NO. 86717)  
4 Deputy Commissioner  
5 Department of Corporations  
6 320 West 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Ste. 750  
7 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105  
8 Attorneys for Complainant

9  
10 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS  
11 OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
12

13 In the Matter of the Accusation of ) File No. 603-1095  
14 THE CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS )  
15 COMMISSIONER, )  
16 )  
17 Complainant, )  
18 vs. ) ACCUSATION  
19 )  
20 COUNTY FINANCIAL SERVICES )  
21 (AUTOMOTIVE FUNDING GROUP, INC., )  
22 DBA, )  
23 Respondent. )

24 The Complainant is informed and believes, and based upon such information and  
25 belief, alleges and charges Respondent as follows:

26 I

27 Respondent is a finance lender and/or broker licensed by the California Corporations  
28 Commissioner ("Commissioner") pursuant to the California Finance Lenders Law  
(California Financial Code § 22000 et seq.) ("CFL"). Respondent has its principal place of  
business located at:

5255 E. HUNTER AVENUE  
ANAHEIM, CA 92807

1 II

2 Pursuant to California Financial Code section 22112, all CFL licensees are required to  
3 maintain a surety bond in the minimum amount of \$25,000.00. The surety bond of  
4 respondent expired on June 23, 2010. On or about May 13, 2010 the Commissioner notified  
5 respondent that a replacement surety bond had to be filed immediately, but no later than the  
6 expiration date to avoid suspension or revocation of its CFL license.

7 Respondent has yet to obtain a replacement surety bond in violation of California  
8 Financial Code section 22112.

9 III

10 California Financial Code section 22112 provides in pertinent part:

11 A licensee shall maintain a surety bond in accordance with this subdivision in  
12 the amount of twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000). The bond shall be  
13 payable to the commissioner and issued by an insurer authorized to do business  
14 in this state. A copy of the bond, including any and all riders and  
15 endorsements executed subsequent to the effective date of the bond, shall be  
16 filed with the commissioner for review and approval within 10 days of  
17 execution. For licensees with multiple licensed locations, only one surety  
18 bond in the amount of twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000) is required. The  
19 bond shall be used for the recovery of expenses, fines, and fees levied by the  
20 commissioner in accordance with this division or losses or for damages  
21 incurred by consumers as the result of a licensee's noncompliance with the  
22 requirements of this division.

23 California Financial Code section 22714 provides in pertinent part:

24 The commissioner may suspend or revoke any license, upon notice and  
25 reasonable opportunity to be heard, if the commissioner finds any of the  
26 following:

27 (a) The licensee has failed to comply with any demand, ruling, or requirement  
28 of the commissioner made pursuant to and within the authority of this division.

(b) The licensee has violated any provision of this division or any rule or  
regulation made by the commissioner under and within the authority of this  
division.

(c) A fact or condition exists that, if it had existed at the time of the original  
application for the license, reasonably would have warranted the commissioner  
in refusing to issue the license originally.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IV

The Commissioner finds that, by reason of the foregoing, Respondent has violated California Financial Code section 22112, and based thereon, grounds exist to revoke the California Finance Lender license of Respondent.

WHEREFORE, IT IS PRAYED that the California finance lender license of Respondent be revoked.

DATED: June 23, 2010  
Los Angeles, California

PRESTON DuFAUCHARD  
California Corporations Commissioner

By \_\_\_\_\_  
PATRICIA R. SPEIGHT  
Special Administrator  
California Finance Lenders Act