1	MAKI ANN			
2	Deputy Com	MISSIONER  PTI FV (CA RAR NO. 110628)		
	JUDY L. HARTLEY (CA BAR NO. 110628) Senior Corporations Counsel			
3	DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS 320 West 4th Street, Ste. 750			
4	Los Angeles,	California 90013-2344 213) 576-7604 Fax: (213) 576-71	81	
5	Attorneys for	Complainant		
6		Complainain		
7		BEFORE THE DEPA	ARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS	
8		OF THE STAT	TE OF CALIFORNIA	
9				
10		of THE COMMISSIONER OF	) File No. 963-2549	
11		IONS OF THE STATE OF	) ODDED TO DISCONTINUE ESCROW	
12	CALIFORNI	A,	<ul><li>ORDER TO DISCONTINUE ESCROW</li><li>ACTIVITIES PURSUANT TO FINANCIAL</li></ul>	
		Complainant,	) CODE SECTION 17415	
13	vs.		)	
14			, )	
15	EFFICIENT	SERVICES ESCROW GROUP,	)	
16		Respondent.	)	
17				
18	TO:	EFFICIENT SERVICES ESCR	OW GROUP	
19		19671 Beach Boulevard, Suite 2	.07	
20		Huntington Beach, California 92	2648	
21		FIRST FOUNDATION BANK	. 750	
		18101 Von Karman Avenue, Su Irvine, California 92612	ite /50	
22		·	S COMMISSIONER FINDS THAT:	
23				
24	1.	•	the Commissioner received information from Escrow	
25	Agent's Fidelity Corporation ("EAFC"), the fidelity insurer for the independent escrow industry, that			
26	Respondent Efficient Services Escrow Group ("Efficient") had notified EAFC on or about February			
27	22, 2013 that	Efficient had suffered shortages in	n its trust accounts totaling \$1,558,339.00. Based	
28	upon such inf	Formation, the Commissioner, by a	and through staff, commenced a special examination	

of the books and records of Efficient on or about February 26, 2013.

2. The special examination disclosed that Efficient had s

- 2. The special examination disclosed that Efficient had shortages in its two trust accounts totaling \$1,558,339.00. The special examination disclosed that the shortages were apparently caused when someone "hacked" into a computer of Efficient and thereafter caused monies on deposit in the trust accounts of Efficient to be wired out of the country. The respective dates and amounts of the wires were December 17, 2012 in the amount of \$432,215.00, January 24, 2013 in the amount of \$563,112.00, and January 30, 2013 in the amount of \$563,112.00.
- 3. At the time the unauthorized wires occurred in January, 2013, Efficient was in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 1732.2(a)(3), which requires escrow agent licensees to reconcile their trust account(s) on a monthly basis. The December 31, 2012 bank account statement for the trust account ending in 2416 clearly showed the unauthorized wire in the amount of \$432,215.00 on December 17, 2012.
- 4. The regulatory examination of Efficient recently completed in September 2012 disclosed that Efficient was not maintaining its books and records in accordance with the requirements of the Escrow Law, in particular, its trust account books and records. The Department of Corporations' examiner discussed the violations of the Escrow Law with respect to the maintenance of proper books and records with Efficient during an exit conference. Efficient had been previously warned during its regulatory examination in 2009 regarding its then failure to maintain proper books and records.
- 5. Based upon the findings of the special examination, on or about February 26, 2013, the Commissioner made written demand to Efficient to cure the \$1,558,339.00 shortage in the trust accounts no later than close of business February 27, 2013. Efficient has failed to cure the shortage and continues in its failure to cure the trust account shortage.

Based upon the foregoing, Efficient is conducting escrow business in such an unsafe and injurious manner as to render further operations hazardous to the public or to customers.

NOW, BASED ON THE FOREGOING, AND GOOD CAUSE APPEARING
THEREFORE, it is hereby ORDERED, under the provisions of section 17415 of the Financial Code,
that Efficient Services Escrow Group and any person having in his or her possession any escrow

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

funds or trust funds immediately discontinue the receipt or disbursement of any escrow or joint control money, documents or other property in its possession, custody or control.

This order is to remain in full force and effect until further order of the Commissioner. Section 17415 of the Financial Code provides as follows:

- (a) If the commissioner, as a result of any examination or from any report made to him or her, shall find that any person subject to this division is in an insolvent condition, is conducting escrow business in such an unsafe or injurious manner as to render further operations hazardous to the public or to customers, has failed to comply with the provisions of Section 17212.1 or 17414.1, has permitted its tangible net worth to be lower than the minimum required by law, has failed to maintain its liquid assets in excess of current liabilities as set forth in Section 17210, or has failed to comply with the bonding requirements of Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 17200) of this division, the commissioner may, by an order addressed to and served by registered or certified mail or personal service on such person and on any other person having in his or her possession or control any escrowed funds, trust funds or other property deposited in escrow with said person, direct discontinuance of the disbursement of trust funds by the parties or any of them, the receipt of trust funds, the delivery or recording of documents received in escrow, or other business operations. No person having in his or her possession any of these funds or documents shall be liable for failure to comply with the order unless he or she has received written notice of the order. Subject to subdivision (b), the order shall remain in effect until set aside by the commissioner in whole or in part, the person is the subject of an order for relief in bankruptcy, or pursuant to Chapter 6 (commencing with Section 17621) of this division the commissioner has assumed possession of the escrow agent.
- (b) Within 15 days from the date of an order pursuant to subdivision (a), the person may request a hearing under the Administrative Procedure Act, Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code. Upon receipt of a request, the matter shall be set for hearing to commence within 30 days after such receipt unless the person subject to this division consents to a later date. If no hearing is requested within 15 days after the mailing of service of such notice and none is ordered by the commissioner, the failure to request a hearing shall constitute a waiver of the right for a hearing. Neither the request for a hearing nor the hearing itself shall stay the order issued by the commissioner under subdivision (a).

	1	Dated: February 28, 2013	LAN LAND OWEN
	2	Los Angeles, CA	JAN LYNN OWEN Commissioner of Corporations
	3		-
	4		By
	5		Mary Ann Smith Deputy Commissioner
	6		Enforcement Division
	7		
su	8		
atio	9		
rpor	10		
State of California – Department of Corporations	11		
nt of	12		
tme	13		
ераг	14		
– D	15		
rnia	16		
alifo	17		
of Ce	18		
ate c	19		
Sta	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		

27

28

1	MARY ANN SMITH Deputy Commissioner		
2	JUDY L. HARTLEY (CA BAR NO. 110628)		
3	Senior Corporations Counsel Department of Corporations 320 West 4 <sup>th</sup> Street, Ste. 750		
4	Los Angeles, California 90013-2344	1	
5	Telephone: (213) 576-7604 Fax: (213) 576-7181	I	
6	Attorneys for Complainant		
7			
8	BEFORE THE DEPART!	MENT OF CORPORATIONS	
9	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	In the Matter of THE COMMISSIONER OF	) File No.: 963-2549	
12	CORPORATIONS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	) ORDER APPOINTING PETER A. DAVIDSON	
13	,	AS CONSERVATOR PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA FINANCIAL CODE SECTION	
14	Complainant,	) 17630	
15	VS.	)	
16	EFFICIENT SERVICES ESCROW GROUP,	)	
17	Respondent.	)	
18		_)	
19	I, JAN LYNN OWEN, Commissioner of	Corporations of the State of California	
20		•	
21	("Commissioner"), pursuant to Financial Code section 17630, do hereby appoint Peter A. Davidson of the law firm of Ervin Cohen & Jessup LLP as Conservator of Efficient Services Escrow Group in		
22	order that he may take possession of the property, business and assets of said escrow agent for the		
23	protection of the escrow agent, the customers of		
24		ode section 17630, shall have all the rights, powers,	
25		un its officers and directors, and shall have the same	

powers and rights as the Commissioner, while he is in possession of the property, business and assets

of the escrow agent. These rights, powers and privileges shall include all acts as are necessary or

expedient to collect, conserve and protect the escrow agent's assets, property and business including

(a) the collection, recovery and compromise of claims, deeds, property and rights in favor of or belonging to the escrow agent; (b) the execution of all deeds, conveyances, agreements, compliances and instruments of every kind and nature in connection with the business, property or affairs of said escrow agent; (c) the employment of accountants and attorneys to the extent reasonably necessary; and (d) with prior consent of the Commissioner, the institution, maintenance and defense of suits related to the affairs of the escrow agent, the filing of petitions or applications in court or elsewhere for all purposes.

All expenses of the Conservatorship shall be paid out of the assets of the licensee and shall be a lien thereon which shall be prior to any other lien.

This Order is effective on the date hereof and may be amended or terminated upon further order of the Commissioner at any time.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal.

Dated: March 7, 2013

Los Angeles, California

JAN LYNN OWEN Commissioner of Corporations

Mary Ann Smith
Deputy Commissioner
Enforcement Division

1	MARY ANN SMITH Deputy Commissioner		
2	JUDY L. HARTLEY (CA BAR NO. 110628) Senior Corporations Counsel		
3	Department of Corporations 320 West 4 <sup>th</sup> Street, Ste. 750		
4	Los Angeles, California 90013-2344 Telephone: (213) 576-7604 Fax: (213) 576-7181		
5	Attorneys for Complainant		
6	Actionicys for Complainant		
7			
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMI	ENT OF CORPORATIONS	
9	OF THE STATE O	OF CALIFORNIA	
10			
11	In the Matter of THE COMMISSIONER OF	File No.: 963-2549	
12	CORPORATIONS OF THE STATE OF   CALIFORNIA,	DEMAND FOR AND ORDER TAKING	
13	Complainant,	POSSESSION OF PROPERTY AND BUSINESS PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA	
14	Complamant,	FINANCIAL CODE SECTION 17621	
15	VS. )		
16	EFFICIENT SERVICES ESCROW GROUP,		
17	Respondent.		
18			
19	WHEREAS, Jan Lynn Owen, the Commiss	ioner of Corporations of the State of California	
20	("Commissioner"), has found that Efficient Services Escrow Group ("Respondent"), an escrow ager		
21	subject to the Escrow Law (California Financial Code § 17000 et seq.), is unable to remedy certain		
22	conditions set forth in the Notice and Summary of		
23	section 17621 issued by the Commissioner on March 7, 2013; and		
24	WHEREAS, Respondent is conducting escri		
25	unauthorized manner, so as to render further operation	, 3	
26	that Respondent:	•	
27		ner, has been unable to cure shortages in its trust	

accounts totaling \$1,126,224.00 apparently caused by "cyber theft" when funds on deposit in the

trust accounts of Respondent were wired out of the country on (i) December 17, 2012 in the amount of \$432,215.00, (ii) January 24, 2013 in the amount of \$563,112.00, and (iii) January 30, 2013 in the amount of \$563,112.00. The trust account shortage originally totaled \$1,558,339.00, but the bank was able to retrieve the December 17, 2012 wire in the amount of \$432,215.00.

(b) Despite previous warnings as recently as September 2012, failed to maintain books and records in accordance with the requirements of Financial Code section 17404 and California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 1732.2(a)(3), which allowed the initial unauthorized wire of \$432,215.00 to go undetected thereby impeding Respondent's ability to prevent the two further unauthorized wires totaling \$1,126,224.00.

NOW, GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, pursuant to Financial Code section 17621, the Commissioner hereby demands and takes possession of the property and business of Respondent Efficient Services Escrow Group, effective March 8, 2013, and will retain possession thereof until the Commissioner appoints a conservator, or until its affairs are finally liquidated as provided for in Division 6, Chapter 6 of the California Financial Code, or it resumes business with the consent and upon such conditions as the Commissioner may prescribe.

Dated: March 7, 2013

Los Angeles, California

JAN LYNN OWEN Commissioner of Corporations

By\_\_\_\_\_ Mary Ann Smith

Deputy Commissioner Enforcement Division

1	MARY ANN SMITH			
2	Deputy Commissioner JUDY L. HARTLEY (CA BAR NO. 110628)			
3	Senior Corporations Counsel Department of Corporations 320 West 4 <sup>th</sup> Street, Ste. 750 Los Angeles, California 90013-2344 Telephone: (213) 576-7604 Fax: (213) 576-7181			
4				
5				
6	Attorneys for Complainant			
7				
8	BEFORE THE DEPART	MENT OF CORPORATIONS		
9	OF THE STAT	E OF CALIFORNIA		
10				
11	In the Matter of THE COMMISSIONER OF	) File No.: 963-2549		
12	CORPORATIONS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	) NOTICE AND SUMMARY OF FINDINGS		
13		) PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA FINANCIAL		
14	Complainant,	) CODE SECTION 17621		
15	vs.	)		
16	EFFICIENT SERVICE ESCROW GROUP,	) )		
17	Respondent.	)		
18	Respondent.	<u>'</u> )		
19				
20	TO: EFFICIENT SERVICES ESCRO 19671 Beach Boulevard, Suite 20			
21	Huntington Beach, California 920			
22	FIRST FOUNDATION BANK	. 750		
23	18101 Von Karman Avenue, Suit Irvine, California 92612	te /50		
24				
	Please take notice that the Commissioner	r of Corporations of the State of California finds:		
25	1. Efficient Services Escrow Group ("Respondent"), is an escrow agent holding a valid and			
26	unrevoked license issued by the Commissioner	of Corporations of the State of California		
27	("Commissioner"), pursuant to the Escrow Law	of the State of California (California Financial Code		
28	sections 17000).			

- 2. On or about February 25, 2013, the Commissioner received information from Escrow Agent's Fidelity Corporation ("EAFC"), the fidelity insurer for the independent escrow industry, that Respondent had notified EAFC on or about February 22, 2013 that Respondent had suffered shortages in its trust accounts totaling \$1,558,339.00. Based upon such information, the Commissioner, by and through staff, commenced a special examination of the books and records of Respondent on or about February 26, 2013.
- 3. The special examination disclosed that Respondent had shortages in its two trust accounts totaling \$1,558,339.00. The special examination disclosed that the shortages were apparently caused by "cyber theft" when funds on deposit in the trust accounts of Respondent were wired out of the country. The respective dates and amounts of the unauthorized wires were December 17, 2012 in the amount of \$432,215.00, January 24, 2013 in the amount of \$563,112.00, and January 30, 2013 in the amount of \$563,112.00.
- 4. At the time the unauthorized wires occurred in January 2013, Respondent was in violation of Financial Code section 17404 and California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 1732.2(a)(3), which require escrow agent licensees to reconcile their trust account(s) on a monthly basis. The December 31, 2012 bank account statement for the trust account ending in 2416 clearly showed the unauthorized wire in the amount of \$432,215.00 on December 17, 2012.
- 5. The regulatory examination of Respondent recently completed in September 2012 disclosed that Respondent was not maintaining its books and records in accordance with the requirements of the Escrow Law, in particular, its trust account books and records. The Department of Corporations' examiner discussed the violations of the Escrow Law with respect to the maintenance of proper books and records with Respondent during an exit conference. Respondent had been previously warned during its regulatory examination in 2009 regarding its then failure to maintain proper books and records.
- 6. Based upon the findings of the special examination, on or about February 26, 2013, the Commissioner made written demand to Respondent to cure the \$1,558,339.00 shortage in the trust accounts no later than close of business February 27, 2013. Respondent failed to cure the shortage by close of business February 27, 2013 causing the Commissioner to issue an Order to

Discontinue Escrow Activities Pursuant to California Financial Code Section 17415 against Respondent on February 28, 2013..

- 7. Respondent has had seven business days since it was served with the Order to Discontinue Escrow Activities to cure the trust account shortages. Notwithstanding, only \$432,215.00 of the shortage has been cured when Respondent's bank was able to retrieve the funds from the December 17, 2012 wire. However, trust account shortages totaling \$1,126,224.00 remain.
  - 8. Financial Code section 17621 provides in pertinent part:

Whenever it appears to the Commissioner that any escrow agent subject to this division:

•••

- (b) Is conducting escrow business in an unsafe and unauthorized manner;
- (c) Has violated its charter or any law of the State of California;

•••

the commissioner shall dispatch a written notice and summary of findings, as referred to in Section 17415, to the principal officer of the escrow agent involved or to its manager of record; and such escrow agent shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity to comply or otherwise effect such remedy as the commissioner may deem acceptable. However, should the escrow agent so notified fail to comply within five days of receipt of the notice, or as soon as it appears to the commissioner that no compliance is possible, or in the event prompt delivery of the prescribed written notice is impossible, the commissioner may forthwith take possession of the property and business of such escrow agent and retain possession until such escrow agent resumes business or its affairs be finally liquidated as provided in this chapter. The escrow agent, with the consent of the commissioner, may resume business upon such conditions as the commissioner may prescribe.

- 9. Based upon the foregoing, the Commissioner finds that Respondent has violated Financial Code section 17404 and California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 1732.2, is conducting escrow business in an unsafe and unauthorized manner, and that no compliance is possible.
- 10. Pursuant to Financial Code sections 17621 and 17630, it is also necessary that a conservator be appointed as specified in the accompanying Order Appointing Peter A. Davidson As Conservator Pursuant to California Financial Code Section 17630.

	1	Dated: March 7, 2013	
	2	Los Angeles, CA	JAN LYNN OWEN Commissioner of Corporations
	3		commissions of corporations
	4		By
	5		Judy L. Hartley Senior Corporations Counsel
	6		Enforcement Division
	7		
St	8		
atioī	9		
rpora	10		
$C_{0}$	11		
State of California – Department of Corporations	12		
tme	13		
epar	14		
– Ď	15		
nia	16		
lifor	17		
Ca	18		
te of	19		
Sta	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	20		

1	MARY ANN SMITH		
2	Deputy Commissioner JUDY L. HARTLEY (CA BAR NO. 110628)		
3	Senior Corporations Counsel Department of Corporations 320 West 4 <sup>th</sup> Street, Ste. 750		
4	Los Angeles, California 90013-2344		
5	Telephone: (213) 576-7604 Fax: (213) 576-7181		
6	Attorneys for Petitioner		
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY	OF ORANGE	
10	CENTRAL JU	JSTICE CENTER	
11			
12	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, BY AND THROUGH THE	) Case No.:	
13	COMMISSIONER OF CORPORATIONS OF	) PETITION FOR RECEIVER TO LIQUIDATE	
14	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	) AND WIND UP BUSINESS )	
15	Petitioner,	) (California Financial Code Section 17636)	
16	VS.	) (No Fee-Government Code Section 6103)	
17	EFFICIENT SERVICES ESCROW GROUP,	) )	
18	Respondent.	)	
19			
20	•	fornia, by and through the Commissioner of	
21	Corporations of the State of California ("Commissioner" or "Petitioner"), administers the provision		
22	of the California Escrow Law (Financial Code § 17000 et seq.), and the regulations issued pursuant		
23	thereto (Title 10, California Code of Regulations, Chapter 3, Subchapter 9, Sections 1700 et seq.),		
24	which regulate the business and activities of independent escrow agents.		
25	Petitioner having issued an Order Taking Possession of the Property and Business of		
26	Efficient Services Escrow Group on March 8, 2013 pursuant to Financial Code Section 17621,		
27	respectfully states:		
28			

- 1. On December 2, 2008, Petitioner issued an escrow agent's license pursuant to the Escrow Law to Efficient Services Escrow Group ("Efficient"), a California corporation. Pursuant to that license, Petitioner authorized Efficient to engage in business as an escrow agent within the State of California. The license is currently valid and unrevoked. Efficient's licensed location was 19671 Beach Boulevard, Suite 207, Huntington Beach, California 92648. However, Efficient was found to be operating out of 19671 Beach Boulevard, Suite 215, Huntington Beach, California 92648.
- 2. Robert C. Crenshaw ("R. Crenshaw") is, and was at all times relevant herein, the president and sole shareholder of Efficient.
- 3. On or about February 25, 2013, Petitioner received information from Escrow Agents' Fidelity Corporation ("EAFC"), the fidelity insurer for the independent escrow industry, that Efficient had notified EAFC on or about February 22, 2013 that Efficient had suffered shortages in its trust accounts totaling \$1,558,339.00. Based upon such information, on or about February 26, 2013, Petitioner, by and through staff, commenced a special examination of the books and records of Efficient.
- 4. The special examination disclosed that Efficient had shortages in its two trust accounts totaling \$1,558,339.00. The special examination disclosed that the shortages were apparently caused by "cyber theft" when funds on deposit in the trust accounts of Efficient were wired out of the country. The respective dates and amounts of the unauthorized wires were December 17, 2012 in the amount of \$432,215.00, January 24, 2013 in the amount of \$563,112.00, and January 30, 2013 in the amount of \$563,112.00.
- 5. At the time the unauthorized wires occurred in January 2013, Efficient was in violation of Financial Code section 17404 and California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 1732.2(a)(3), which require escrow agent licensees to reconcile their trust account(s) on a monthly basis. The December 31, 2012 bank account statement for the trust account ending in 2416 clearly showed the unauthorized wire in the amount of \$432,215.00 on December 17, 2012.
- 6. The regulatory examination of Efficient recently completed in September 2012 disclosed that Efficient was not maintaining its books and records in accordance with the requirements of the Escrow Law, in particular, its trust account books and records. Petitioner

discussed the violations of the Escrow Law with respect to the maintenance of proper books and records with Efficient during an exit conference and ensured that Efficient had corrected the violations prior to completion of the regulatory examination.

- 7. Based upon the findings of the special examination, on or about February 26, 2013, Petitioner made written demand to Efficient to cure the \$1,558,339.00 shortage in the trust accounts no later than close of business February 27, 2013. Efficient failed to cure the shortage by close of business February 27, 2013. At that time, Petitioner determined that the manner in which Efficient was conducting business was unsafe and injurious and on February 28, 2013, Petitioner issued an Order to Discontinue Escrow Activities Pursuant to California Financial Code Section 17415 against Efficient.
- 8. Efficient was given seven business days after it was served with the Order to Discontinue Escrow Activities to cure the trust account shortages. Notwithstanding, only \$432,215.00 of the shortage was cured by close of business March 7, 2013 after Efficient's bank was able to retrieve the funds from the December 17, 2012 wire leaving trust account shortages totaling \$1,126,224.00.
- 9. On March 7, 2013, when after further time, Efficient remained unable to correct the trust account shortages, Petitioner issued a Demand For and Order Taking Possession of the Property and Business pursuant to Financial Code Section 17621 and an Order Appointing Conservator pursuant to Financial Code Section 17630, which orders were personally served on Efficient on March 8, 2013.
- 10. Pursuant to the Order Appointing Conservator, Peter A. Davidson of the Law Firm of Ervin Cohen & Jessup LLP ("Conservator") took possession of the property, business and assets of Efficient on March 8, 2013.
- 11. Pursuant to Financial Code section 17622, Efficient had ten (10) days after the taking to apply to the superior court for an order to enjoin further proceedings by Petitioner. The ten-day period elapsed on March 18, 2013, and there has been no action commenced by Efficient to contest the Demand For and Order Taking Possession of the Property and Business pursuant to Financial Code Section 17621 and Order Appointing Conservator pursuant to Financial Code Section 17630.

- 12. On review of Efficient's books and records and assets, Petitioner has concluded that it would be futile to proceed under a conservatorship with the conduct of the business of Efficient as there are no assets from which to pursue the avenues necessary to ascertain the exact amount of the trust account shortage, identify all trust claimants, restore the trust account to the extent feasible, and thereby make the trust fund claimants as whole as possible.
- 13. Pursuant to Financial Code Section 17636, Petitioner is authorized to petition the superior court for the appointment of a receiver to liquidate the affairs of a licensee after having taken possession of the property and business of said licensee.
- 14. Petitioner submits that the appointment of a receiver by this court over Efficient is necessary to properly liquidate the affairs of Efficient and to provide the greatest protection possible to the customers of Efficient. The petitioner submits that a situation exists wherein irreparable harm will be suffered by the public unless and until a receiver is appointed over Efficient in order to wind up and liquidate the business and affairs of Efficient.

Wherefore, Petitioner prays:

- A. This Court issue an order naming Peter A. Davidson as the receiver over the business, property, assets and affairs of Efficient, whether directly or indirectly, owned beneficially or otherwise by, or in the possession, custody or control of Efficient, or to which Efficient has any right of possession, custody or control, irrespective of whomsoever holds such property and assets, including all such property, insurance, and assets which Efficient carries or maintains, in order to obtain an adequate accounting of Efficient's property, assets and liabilities; secure a marshaling of such property and assets; and to forthwith begin winding up and liquidating the business and affairs of Efficient in accord with the provisions of Financial Code Sections 17635 through 17654, and for said order appointing such receiver to further provide that:
- (1) The receiver prior to entry upon the duties described herein, take an oath to faithfully perform the duties of a receiver and to observe all of the instructions of this Court;
  - (2) The receiver is authorized, empowered and directed:
- (a) To review, observe, discover and make notes regarding all the real and personal property, premises and other assets of, or in the possession of Efficient, where ever situated,

including all accounts of Efficient in financial depositary institutions, and of any other property in which Efficient has an interest regardless by whom it may be held on an ongoing basis pursuant to this Court's order;

- (b) To undertake an independent review into the financial condition, other affairs and transactions of Efficient and render a report within 90 days or how ever long the Court orders reflecting the existence and value of all assets and property subject to the review, observation and/or discovery by the receiver and of the extent of any liabilities, both those claimed by others to exist and those which the receiver believes to be the legal obligations of Efficient, including a review of any possible conflicts of interest and any further information the receiver believes may assist in an equitable disposition of this matter, and to include in its report the receiver's opinion regarding the ability of Efficient to meet its obligations as they come due, and his recommendation regarding the necessity for, and the best method of handling, preserving or disposing of such property and/or assets;
- (c) To retain and employ the Law Firm of Ervin Cohen & Jessup LLP to assist and advice and represent the receiver in the performance of his duties and responsibilities and such other attorneys as the Court may approve upon written application of the receiver;
- (d) To retain and employ LoBuglio & Sigman, CPA's, his accountants, and such other persons, clerical and professional, to perform such tasks as may be necessary to aid the receiver in the performance of his duties and responsibilities;
  - (e) To be the sole signatory on all bank accounts of Efficient;
  - (f) To bring such proceedings as are necessary to enforce the provisions hereof;
  - (g) To bring such actions as are necessary to modify the provisions hereof;
- (h) To make such payments and disbursements from the funds so taken into custody, control, and possession of the receiver or otherwise received by him, as may be necessary and advisable in discharging his duties as receiver;
- (i) To carry on the lawful business activities of Efficient to preserve escrow party assets and to foreclose and/or actively seek and negotiate with potential buyers, assignees or other parties who may be interested in acquiring or purchasing real or personal property subject to court approval;

- (j) To institute, prosecute, defend, compromise, intervene and become a party either in his own name or in the name of Efficient to such suits, actions, or proceedings as may be necessary for the protection, maintenance, recoupment, recovery, or preservation of the assets or property of Efficient and its escrow parties, with prior court approval; and
- (k) To divert, take possession of and secure all mail of Efficient, in order to screen such mail, and to effect a change in the rights to use any and all post office boxes and other mail collection facilities used by Efficient.
- B. For an order that the receiver is hereby vested with, and is authorized, directed, and empowered to exercise, all of the powers of Efficient's officers and directors or persons who exercise similar powers and perform similar duties, whose authority are hereby suspended; and Efficient's officers, agents, employees, representatives, directors, successors in interest, attorneys in fact and all persons acting in concert or participating with them, are hereby divested of, restrained and barred from exercising any of the powers herein vested in this receiver.
- C. For an order permitting any local, state or federal law enforcement and regulatory agency having jurisdiction over matters relating to Efficient's business to review, without exception, all reports of the receiver and all books, records, and files of Efficient at any time during normal business hours, and to make any abstract or copies of said documents as it desires.
- D. For an order directing that Efficient and its respective officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, successors, assigns, affiliates, and other persons or entities under their control and all persons or entities in active concert or participation with them, and each of them, shall turn over to the receiver records, documentation, charts and/or descriptive material of all funds, assets, property owned beneficially or otherwise, and all other assets of Efficient wherever situated, and all books and records of accounts, title documents and other documents in their possession or under their control, which relate, directly or indirectly, to assets or property of Efficient or belonging to its escrow parties, now held by Efficient or any of them or to the business or operations of Efficient or each of them.
- E. For an order that this Court will retain jurisdiction of this action in order to implement and carry out the terms of all orders and decrees that may be entered herein or to entertain any

suitable application or motion by Petitioner and/or receiver for additional relief or modification of any order made herein within the jurisdiction of this Court.

- F. For an order that, except by leave of court, and during the pendency of this Receivership, all parties to escrows held by Efficient, creditors, and any other persons seeking relief of any kind, in law or in equity, from Efficient, and all others acting on behalf of any such escrow parties, creditors or other persons including sheriffs, marshals, servants, agents and employees are restrained from:
  - (1) commencing, prosecuting, continuing or enforcing any suit or proceeding;
- (2) executing or issuing or causing the execution or issuance of any court attachment, subpoena, replevin, execution or other process for the purpose of impounding or taking possession of or interfering with or creating or enforcing a lien upon any property owned or in the possession of Efficient or its affiliates, or the receiver appointed herein, where ever situated;
- (3) commencing or continuing judicial or non-judicial foreclosure proceedings or proceedings for the appointment of a receiver of any property owned or claimed by Efficient;
- (4) creating, perfecting, or enforcing any lien or encumbrance against any real or personal property;
  - (5) accelerating the due date of any obligation or claimed obligation;
  - (6) exercising any right of set-off;
- (7) taking, retaining, retaking or attempting to retake possession of any real or personal property;
  - (8) withholding or diverting any rent or other obligation;
- (9) doing any act or other thing whatsoever to interfere with the possession of or management by the receiver herein and of the property and assets, owned or controlled by Efficient or in the possession of Efficient or to in any way interfere with said receiver or to interfere in any manner during the pendency of this proceeding with the exclusive jurisdiction of this Court over Efficient.
- G. For an order that any and all provisions of any agreement entered into by and between any third party and Efficient, including, by way of illustration, but not limited to, the following types

of agreements (as well as any amendments, assignments, or modifications thereto): financial guarantee bonds, promissory notes, loan agreements, security agreements, deeds of trust, mortgages, indemnification agreements, subrogation agreements, subordination agreements, pledge agreements, assignments of rents or other collateral, letters of credit, leases, insurance policies, guaranties, escrow agreements, management agreements, real estate brokerage and rental agreements, servicing agreements, attornment agreements, consulting agreements, easement agreements, license agreements, franchise agreements, or employment contracts that provide in any manner that selection, appointment or retention of a receiver or trustee by any court, or entry of an order such as hereby made, shall be deemed to be, or otherwise operate as a breach, violation, event of default, termination, event of dissolution, event of acceleration, insolvency, bankruptcy, or liquidation, shall be stayed, and the assertion of any and all rights and remedies relating thereto shall also be stayed and barred, except as otherwise ordered by the Court, and the Court shall retain jurisdiction over any cause of action that has arisen or may otherwise arise under any such provision.

- H. For an order that at such time as the financial condition of Efficient, including the trust fund status, has been ascertained by the receiver and at such time as the assets and trust funds have been marshaled and all liabilities have been determined, the receiver shall file an application with the Court for disposition of such assets and trust funds. The application shall propose in detail the winding up and liquidation of Efficient and the distribution of assets and trust funds. If necessary, the receiver shall be authorized to propose and carry out a partial liquidation and distribution; retaining such funds pending final distribution as are necessary to finance any pertinent litigation or the remaining operation of the receivership.
- I. For an order that the receiver shall be paid an hourly rate of \$475.00 for his services and shall be entitled to reimbursement for usual and customary expenses, including out of pocket expenditures on behalf of the receivership estate, which shall be paid from surety bond proceeds, if any, and then if insufficient, from the trust funds, and that the State of California shall have no liability whatsoever for any costs, fees and/or out pocket expenses that may result from such receivership. The receiver and any professional hired by him shall make application to this Court on a monthly basis for payment of reasonable fees and expenses incurred by the receiver or such

professional, and shall be entitled to payment of said fees and expenses on account as hereinafter provided, including payment of all reasonable fees and expenses incurred by the receiver in his capacity as conservator or any professional rendering services during the conservatorship from the date of appointment as conservator through to the date of appointment as receiver, and shall be entitled to payment of said conservator fees and expenses on account as hereinafter provided. Copies of such applications to the Court shall be promptly served on all attorneys of record for parties in this action, who shall have ten (10) calendar days following the filing and service of such application to file any objections thereto with the Court, and serve any such objections by facsimile and mail on the receiver. If no objections are filed with the Court and served within the ten (10) calendar day period, the receiver shall thereupon draw funds from the receivership estate sufficient to pay one-hundred percent (100%) of out-of-pocket expenses and seventy-five percent (75%) of such fees, including all professional fees, and other expenses such as in office copying and facsimile charges, without further order of the Court. To the extent the fees and costs requested relate to the Conservator's or his professional's fees and expenses, one-hundred percent (100%) of said fees and expenses shall be paid. If any objections are filed, the receiver may draw funds from the receivership estate sufficient to pay any amounts as to which there are no objections, and the Court will conduct a hearing on any objections upon motion of the receiver or the objecting party. At such hearing, the compensation of the receiver or other professional making application, as well as allowable disbursements and expenses, will be determined by the Court, and the receiver will be directed to draw funds from the receivership estate to pay one-hundred percent (100%) and seventy-five percent (75%), respectively, of the amounts so determined by the Court. Notwithstanding such interim monthly payments of fees and expenses, all fees and expenses shall be submitted to the Court for its approval by a properly noticed request for fees, stipulation of all parties or the receiver's Final Account and Report. Upon approval of the fees and expenses of the receiver or other professional, any hold back amounts still due and owing to the receiver or other professional shall be paid from the receivership estate.

J. For an order prohibiting the disbursement of Efficient's trust funds until a reconciliation of Efficient's trust account has been completed and the Petitioner has approved the reconciliation of which said approval shall not be unreasonably withheld, except to pay the costs and

1	expenses of the receivership as provided for in paragraph I. above.		
2	K. For an order that the received	r be required to file a bond with the Court in this matter in	
3	the amount of \$10,000.00.		
4	Dated: March 25, 2013		
5	Los Angeles, California	JAN LYNN OWEN	
6		Commissioner of Corporations	
7			
8		By JUDY L. HARTLEY	
9		Attorney for Petitioner, Commissioner of Corporations	
10			
11   12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		10	
		10	

Petition for Receiver to Liquidate and Wind Up Business

1		FILED	
2		SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE	
3	CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER		
4		JUL-2 2013	
5		ALAN CARLSON, Clerk of the Court	
6			
7		BY K. PERAZA	
8			
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	COUNTY	OF ORANGE	
	CENTRAL JU	JSTICE CENTER	
11			
12	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	) Case No.: 30-2013-00639413-CU-PT-CJC	
13 14	CALIFORNIA, BY AND THROUGH THE COMMISSIONER OF CORPORATIONS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	<ul><li>) Assigned To: Judge David T. McEachen</li><li>) Dept: C21</li></ul>	
15 16	Petitioner,	) [PROPOSED] ORDER APPOINTING ) RECEIVER TO LIQUIDATE AND WIND UP	
17	vs.	) BUSINESS )	
18	EFFICIENT SERVICES ESCROW GROUP,	Date: July 2, 2013 Time: 1:30 p.m. Dept: C21	
19	Respondent.	) No Trial Date	
20		Action filed: March 25, 2013	
21	The application for an Order Appointing	a Receiver to Liquidate and Wind Up Business was	
22	heard this date, July 2, 2013 at 1:30 p.m.by this Court, the Honorable David T. McEachen presiding		
23	Petitioner appeared by Counsel Judy L. Hartley.	Respondent appeared by no appearance.	
24			
25	This Court having considered the applica	tion, petition, points and authorities and supporting	
26	declarations and exhibits filed in the above matter by the Commissioner of Corporations, the		
27	opposition filed by Respondent, if any, and upon a hearing before this Court, and GOOD CAUSE		
28	APPEARING THEREFOR:		

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

That Peter A. Davidson be appointed as receiver over the business, property, assets and affairs of Efficient Services Escrow Group ("Efficient"), whether directly or indirectly, owned beneficially or otherwise by, or in the possession, custody or control of Efficient, or to which Efficient has any right of possession, custody or control, irrespective of whomsoever holds such property and assets, including all such property, insurance, and assets which Efficient carries or maintains, in order to obtain an adequate accounting of Efficient's property, assets and liabilities; secure a marshaling of such property and assets; and to forthwith begin winding up and liquidating the business and affairs of Efficient in accord with the provisions of the California Escrow Law.

## IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT:

- Peter A. Davison (the "receiver"), prior to entry upon the duties described herein, take an oath to faithfully perform the duties of a receiver and to observe all of the instructions of this Court.
  - 2. The receiver is authorized, empowered and directed:
- (a) To review, observe, discover and make notes regarding all the real and personal property, premises and other assets of, or in the possession of Efficient, where ever situated, including all accounts of Efficient in financial depositary institutions, and of any other property in which Efficient has an interest regardless by whom it may be held on an ongoing basis pursuant to this Court's order;
- (b) To undertake an independent review into the financial condition, other affairs and transactions of Efficient and render a report within 90 days or how ever long the Court orders reflecting the existence and value of all assets and property subject to the review, observation and/or discovery by the receiver and of the extent of any liabilities, both those claimed by others to exist and those which the receiver believes to be the legal obligations of Efficient, including a review of any possible conflicts of interest and any further information the receiver believes may assist in an equitable disposition of this matter, and to include in its report the receiver's opinion regarding the ability of Efficient to meet its obligations as they come due, and his recommendation regarding the

necessity for, and the best method of handling, preserving or disposing of such property and/or assets;

- (c) To retain and employ the Law Firm of Ervin Cohen & Jessup LLP to assist and advice and represent the receiver in the performance of his duties and responsibilities and such other attorneys as the Court may approve upon written application of the receiver;
- (d) To retain and employ LoBuglio & Sigman, CPA's, his accountants, and such other persons, clerical and professional, to perform such tasks as may be necessary to aid the receiver in the performance of his duties and responsibilities;
  - (e) To be the sole signatory on all bank accounts of Efficient;
  - (f) To bring such proceedings as are necessary to enforce the provisions hereof;
  - (g) To bring such actions as are necessary to modify the provisions hereof;
- (h) To make such payments and disbursements from the funds so taken into custody, control, and possession of the receiver or otherwise received by him, as may be necessary and advisable in discharging his duties as receiver;
- (i) To carry on the lawful business activities of Efficient to preserve escrow party assets and to foreclose and/or actively seek and negotiate with potential buyers, assignees or other parties who may be interested in acquiring or purchasing real or personal property subject to court approval;
- (j) To institute, prosecute, defend, compromise, intervene and become a party either in his own name or in the name of Efficient to such suits, actions, or proceedings as may be necessary for the protection, maintenance, recoupment, recovery, or preservation of the assets or property of Efficient and its escrow parties, with prior court approval; and
- (k) To divert, take possession of and secure all mail of Efficient, in order to screen such mail, and to effect a change in the rights to use any and all post office boxes and other mail collection facilities used by Efficient.
- 3. The receiver is hereby vested with, and is authorized, directed, and empowered to exercise, all of the powers of Efficient's officers and directors or persons who exercise similar powers and perform similar duties, whose authority are hereby suspended; and Efficient's officers, agents, employees, representatives, directors, successors in interest, attorneys in fact and all persons

acting in concert or participating with them, are hereby divested of, restrained and barred from exercising any of the powers herein vested in this receiver.

- 4. Any local, state or federal law enforcement and regulatory agency having jurisdiction over matters relating to Efficient's business shall be entitled to review, without exception, all reports of the receiver and all books, records, and files of Efficient at any time during normal business hours, and to make any abstract or copies of said documents as it desires.
- 5. Efficient and its respective officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, successors, assigns, affiliates, and other persons or entities under their control and all persons or entities in active concert or participation with them, and each of them, shall turn over to the receiver records, documentation, charts and/or descriptive material of all funds, assets, property owned beneficially or otherwise, and all other assets of Efficient wherever situated, and all books and records of accounts, title documents and other documents in their possession or under their control, which relate, directly or indirectly, to assets or property of Efficient or belonging to its escrow parties, now held by Efficient or any of them or to the business or operations of Efficient or each of them.
- 6. This Court will retain jurisdiction of this action in order to implement and carry out the terms of all orders and decrees that may be entered herein or to entertain any suitable application or motion by Petitioner and/or receiver for additional relief or modification of any order made herein within the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 7. During the pendency of this receivership, except by leave of court, all parties to escrows held by Efficient, creditors, and any other persons seeking relief of any kind, in law or in equity, from Efficient, and all others acting on behalf of any such escrow parties, creditors or other persons including sheriffs, marshals, servants, agents and employees are restrained from:
  - (a) commencing, prosecuting, continuing or enforcing any suit or proceeding;
- (b) executing or issuing or causing the execution or issuance of any court attachment, subpoena, replevin, execution or other process for the purpose of impounding or taking possession of or interfering with or creating or enforcing a lien upon any property owned or in the possession of Efficient or its affiliates, or the receiver appointed herein, where ever situated;

- (c) commencing or continuing judicial or non-judicial foreclosure proceedings or proceedings for the appointment of a receiver of any property owned or claimed by Efficient;
- (d) creating, perfecting, or enforcing any lien or encumbrance against any real or personal property;
  - (e) accelerating the due date of any obligation or claimed obligation;
  - (f) exercising any right of set-off;
- (g) taking, retaining, retaking or attempting to retake possession of any real or personal property;
  - (h) withholding or diverting any rent or other obligation;
- (i) doing any act or other thing whatsoever to interfere with the possession of or management by the receiver herein and of the property and assets, owned or controlled by Efficient or in the possession of Efficient or to in any way interfere with said receiver or to interfere in any manner during the pendency of this proceeding with the exclusive jurisdiction of this Court over Efficient.
- 8. Any and all provisions of any agreement entered into by and between any third party and Efficient, including, by way of illustration, but not limited to, the following types of agreements (as well as any amendments, assignments, or modifications thereto): financial guarantee bonds, promissory notes, loan agreements, security agreements, deeds of trust, mortgages, indemnification agreements, subrogation agreements, subordination agreements, pledge agreements, assignments of rents or other collateral, letters of credit, leases, insurance policies, guaranties, escrow agreements, management agreements, real estate brokerage and rental agreements, servicing agreements, attornment agreements, consulting agreements, easement agreements, license agreements, franchise agreements, or employment contracts that provide in any manner that selection, appointment or retention of a receiver or trustee by any court, or entry of an order such as hereby made, shall be deemed to be, or otherwise operate as a breach, violation, event of default, termination, event of dissolution, event of acceleration, insolvency, bankruptcy, or liquidation, shall be stayed, and the assertion of any and all rights and remedies relating thereto shall also be stayed and barred, except as otherwise ordered by the Court, and the Court shall retain jurisdiction over any cause of action that

8 9

10

11

121314

16 17

18

15

1920

2122

2324

2526

27

28

has arisen or may otherwise arise under any such provision.

- 9. At such time as the financial condition of Efficient, including the trust fund status, has been ascertained by the receiver and at such time as the assets and trust funds have been marshaled and all liabilities have been determined, the receiver shall file an application with the Court for disposition of such assets and trust funds. The application shall propose in detail the winding up and liquidation of Efficient and the distribution of assets and trust funds. If necessary, the receiver shall be authorized to propose and carry out a partial liquidation and distribution; retaining such funds pending final distribution as are necessary to finance any pertinent litigation or the remaining operation of the receivership.
- 10. The receiver shall be paid an hourly rate of \$475.00 for his services and shall be entitled to reimbursement for usual and customary expenses, including out of pocket expenditures on behalf of the receivership estate, which shall be paid from surety bond proceeds, if any, and then if insufficient, from the trust funds, and that the State of California shall have no liability whatsoever for any costs, fees and/or out pocket expenses that may result from such receivership. The receiver and any professional hired by him shall make application to this Court on a monthly basis for payment of reasonable fees and expenses incurred by the receiver or such professional, and shall be entitled to payment of said fees and expenses on account as hereinafter provided, including payment of all reasonable fees and expenses incurred by the receiver in his capacity as conservator or any professional rendering services during the conservatorship from the date of appointment as conservator through to the date of appointment as receiver, and shall be entitled to payment of said conservator fees and expenses on account as hereinafter provided. Copies of such applications to the Court shall be promptly served on all attorneys of record for parties in this action, who shall have ten (10) calendar days following the filing and service of such application to file any objections thereto with the Court, and serve any such objections by facsimile and mail on the receiver. If no objections are filed with the Court and served within the ten (10) calendar day period, the receiver shall thereupon draw funds from the receivership estate sufficient to pay one-hundred percent (100%) of out-of-pocket expenses and seventy-five percent (75%) of such fees, including all professional fees, and other expenses such as in office copying and facsimile charges, without further order of the

## EFFICIENT SERVICES ESCROW GROUP

On February 28, 2013, the Department issued an order that froze the escrow activity of Efficient Services Escrow Group when it was learned that unauthorized wires had been transferred from two of its trust accounts. The company was given the opportunity to correct the trust shortage, but did not provide proof of correction. In order to start the process of releasing funds to customers with funds on deposit, on March 8, 2013 the Department issued additional orders to appoint a conservator. The conservator is Peter Davidson of Ervin, Cohen & Jessup LLP. At this time it is unknown when funds will be released and at what percentage. More information will become available once the conservator is able to fully assess the situation.

- Q. How much is the trust shortage and what caused it?
- A. The cause of the trust account shortage is under investigation. Initially, it was thought that the company was the victim of an internet "hacker". All possibilities are being considered.

What is known is that on three separate dates, funds totaling \$1,558,339 were wired out of the trust accounts without authorization. These wires do not appear to be associated with any escrows processed by the company. One of the wires was retrieved, lowering the shortage amount to approximately \$1.1 million.

- Q. How can escrows opened with Efficient Services Escrow Group be processed?
- A. Efficient Services Escrow Group is unable to process escrow transactions. Escrow files will have to be transferred to other escrow companies. For sale transactions, both buyers and sellers must agree on the successor escrow companies that will close the transactions. The conservator will transfer remaining files to companies willing to accept them. If there are funds on deposit with Efficient Services Escrow Group, these cannot be transferred until released by the conservator.
- Q. When will the funds be available?
- A. The exact timeframe for disbursement is unknown. Before funds can be disbursed, the conservator must reconcile the total funds available with the potential claims. Once this is done, he will determine whether a partial or full release can be made on a pro rata basis.
- Q. Will customers receive all of the funds due them?
- A. No. Every effort will be made to return the highest percentage available, but unless the trust account shortage is cured, customers will not receive all of their funds.

- Q. How do escrow companies that accepted transferred files from Efficient Services Escrow Group access the funds that were on deposit before the action was taken by the Department of Corporations?
- A. Until the conservator is in position to release the funds, the parties will have to determine for themselves how to account for the funds on deposit with Efficient Services Escrow Group. This may include the redeposit of funds from the buyer. The successor escrow company must keep in mind the escrow laws and rules when closing these transactions; meaning, they can't close short and any change in terms must be conveyed to all parties, including the lenders. Once the conservator is in position to disburse funds, the funds will be transferred to the successor escrow company for distribution to the appropriate party.
- Q. A check received from Efficient Services Escrow Group and deposited into my account was returned by the bank. Why did this happen since it was issued before the Department froze the accounts?
- A. Once the order was put in place, all activity on the account was stopped. Checks not paid by the bank before the Department's action was taken, will be handled through the claims process.
- Q. Are escrow companies insured?
- A. Escrow companies that process escrow transactions similar to Efficient Services Escrow Group are bonded for trust fund shortages that are caused by the officers or employees of the escrow company. Additional information is required to determine whether this shortage is covered under the provisions of the bond.
- Q. What is the claims process?
- A. The conservator has access to the company's records; therefore, he has deposit and outstanding check information. The open files will be transferred to escrow companies willing to process them. When the funds on deposit with Efficient Services Escrow Group are ready to be disbursed, the funds will be transferred to the successor escrow companies. Funds associated with unpaid checks will be forwarded to the payees by the conservator.
- Q. Where can I get more information about the actions taken by the Department of Corporations?
- A. The orders issued by the Department are posted on its website at www.corp.ca.gov.

The Department will continue to provide updates as more information becomes available.