

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
**BUSINESS, TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING AGENCY**  
**DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS**

**TO:** Inner Image Diagnostics Limited Partnership  
Capital Innovations, Inc.  
Stanley Johnson  
315 N. Euclid Street, Suite 215  
Fullerton, California 92832

**DESIST AND REFRAIN ORDER**

**(For violations of sections 25110 and 25401 of the Corporations Code)**

The California Corporations Commissioner finds that:

1. At all relevant times herein, Inner Image Diagnostics Limited Partnership was a proposed Nevada limited partnership located at 315 N. Euclid Street, Suite 215, Fullerton, California 92832.
2. At all relevant times herein, Capital Innovations, Inc. was a Nevada corporation located at 315 N. Euclid Street, Suite 215, Fullerton, California 92832. Capital Innovations, Inc. was the general partner of Inner Image Diagnostics Limited Partnership and as general partner, managed and controlled Inner Image Diagnostics Limited Partnership.
3. At all relevant time herein, Stanley Johnson was the President and control person of Capital Innovations, Inc. Stanley Johnson was the control person of Inner Image Diagnostics Limited Partnership.
4. In 2005-2006, Stanley Johnson and Consulting Dynamics, Inc. offered and sold securities in the form of limited partnership units in Advance Body Imaging Limited Partnership to raise \$3,000,000 to jointly finance, develop, own, manage and operate a medical diagnostic center in Laguna Hills, California. Consulting Dynamics, Inc. was the general partner of Advance Body Imaging Limited Partnership and as general partner, managed and controlled Advance Body Imaging Limited Partnership. Stanley Johnson was the CEO and control person of Consulting Dynamics, Inc. and the control person of Advance Body Imaging Limited Partnership.

///

1           5. Beginning at least as early as October 2007, Capital Innovations, Inc. and Stanley Johnson  
2 offered and sold securities in the form of limited partnership units in Inner Image Diagnostics  
3 Limited Partnership. The purported purpose of the limited partnership offering was to raise  
4 \$3,000,000 to jointly finance, develop, own, manage and operate a medical diagnostic center in  
5 Houston, Texas.

6           6. The Inner Image Diagnostics Limited Partnership units are securities in the form of  
7 investment contracts.

8           7. These securities were offered or sold in this state in issuer transactions. The  
9 Department of Corporations has not issued a permit or other form of qualification authorizing any  
10 person to offer and sell these securities in this state.

11           8. In connection with the offer and sale of these securities, Inner Image Diagnostics Limited  
12 Partnership, Capital Innovations, Inc. and Stanley Johnson made, or caused to be made,  
13 misrepresentations of material fact or omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make the  
14 statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading.  
15 These misrepresentations and omissions included, but are not limited to the following:

16               a. In September 2007, the Securities and Exchange Commission sued Stanley  
17 Johnson, Advance Body Imaging Limited Partnership and Consulting Dynamics, Inc. alleging  
18 fraudulent misuse of more than \$3 million in investor funds from an unregistered offering of limited  
19 partnership units raised to open a medical diagnostic center in Laguna Hills, California.

20               b. In July 2006, the Alabama Securities Commission issued an administrative cease  
21 and desist order to Stanley Johnson, Advance Body Imaging Limited Partnership and Consulting  
22 Dynamics, Inc., among others, for sales of unregistered securities in violation of the Alabama  
23 Securities Act.

24               c. A profit making medical diagnostic center was already open in Laguna Hills,  
25 California and investor funds were needed to open a second center in Houston, Texas. Although the  
26 center was open in Laguna Hills, it was not generating any profit.

27 ///

28 ///

1           Based on the foregoing findings, the California Corporations Commissioner is of the opinion  
2 that the securities in the form of limited partnership units issued by Inner Image Diagnostics Limited  
3 Partnership, Capital Innovations, Inc. and Stanley Johnson are securities subject to qualification  
4 under the California Corporate Securities Law of 1968 and are being or have been offered or sold  
5 without being qualified, in violation of Section 25110 of the Corporate Securities Law of 1968.

6           Pursuant to Section 25532 of the Corporate Securities Law of 1968, Inner Image Diagnostics  
7 Limited Partnership, Capital Innovations, Inc., and Stanley Johnson are hereby ordered to desist and  
8 refrain from the further offer or sale of securities in the State of California, including but not limited  
9 to investment contracts, unless and until qualification has been made under this law or unless exempt.

10           Further, the California Corporations Commissioner is of the opinion that the securities issued  
11 by Inner Image Diagnostics Limited Partnership, Capital Innovations, Inc., and Stanley Johnson were  
12 offered and sold in this state by means of written or oral communications which included untrue  
13 statements of material fact or omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements  
14 made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, in violation of  
15 Section 25401 of the Corporate Securities Law of 1968.

16           Pursuant to Section 25532 of the Corporate Securities Law of 1968, Inner Image Diagnostics  
17 Limited Partnership, Capital Innovations, Inc., and Stanley Johnson are hereby ordered to desist and  
18 refrain from offering or selling or buying or offering to buy any security in the State of California,  
19 including but not limited to investment contracts, by means of any written or oral communication  
20 which includes an untrue statement of a material fact or omits to state a material fact necessary in  
21 order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not  
22 misleading.

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

