1	WILLIAM P. WOOD			
2	California Corporations Commissioner WAYNE K. STRUMPFER (CA BAR NO. 160080)			
3	Acting Deputy Commissioner ALAN WEINGER (CA BAR NO. 86717)			
4	Supervising Counsel KAREN DENVIR (CA BAR NO. 197268)			
5	Corporations Counsel DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS			
6	1515 K Street, Suite 200 Sacramento, California 95814-4052			
7	Telephone: (916) 324-5217			
8	Attorneys for Complainant			
9	BEFORE THE DEPARTA	MENT OF CORPORATIONS		
10	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11	In the Matter of the ORDER REVOKING			
12	EFFECTIVENESS OF FRANCHISE)		
13	REGISTRATION and CONSENT ORDER Issued To Chaat Café, Inc.			
		CONSENT ORDER		
14	Respondent.))		
15				
16				
17				
18	On January 11, 2005, the California Corporations Commissioner issued an order to Chaat			
19	Café, Inc. (hereinafter "Respondent") finding that	it had failed to disclose information required in		
20	Item 3 of the Uniform Franchise Offering Circula	r, in violation of section 310.114.1 of Title 10 of the		
21	California Code of Regulations, and ordering the revocation of the registration of the offer and sale of			
22	franchises filed by Chaat Café, Inc. on October 30), 2003.		
23	The California Corporations Commissione	er and Respondent do hereby agree to		
24	this Consent Order in settlement of this matter. This Consent Order is intended to resolve all factual			
25	and legal issues raised by the Order Revoking Effectiveness of Franchise Registration issued on			
26	January 11, 2005, without the necessity of holding an administrative hearing to determine the validity			
27	of the Order.			
28				

Respondent neither admits nor denies the findings set forth in the Order dated January 11, 2005, which are hereby incorporated by reference into this Consent Order.

CONSENT ORDER

Based upon the foregoing,

IT IS AGREED AND ORDERED that Respondent will notify all of its franchisees regarding the failure to disclose the litigation, and will offer each of the them the opportunity to rescind their franchise agreement with Chaat Café. Prior to notifying the franchisees, Respondent will submit the notice to the Department for approval. Upon approval, Respondent will provide the franchisees with the notice, and will provide the Department with: (1) copies of the notices sent; (2) proof that the notices were received; and (3) documentation as to whether the offer to rescind was rejected, or accepted and paid. The Department will process the renewal of franchise registration that is pending, however, the parties stipulate that if the documentation is not received by the Department within 120 days of this Consent Order, the franchise registration will be revoked pursuant to Corporations Code section 31115, and Respondent hereby waives its right to a hearing under the Franchise Investment Law or any other applicable law.

It is further agreed that Respondent will amend its Uniform Franchise Offering Circular to disclose: (1) the litigation involving Kanar Enterprises; (2) the Desist and Refrain Order to Kanar Enterprises issued on January 11, 2005; (3) the Order Revoking Effectiveness of Franchise Registration to Chaat Café; (4) the Consent Order; and (5) the notices of violation/offers of rescission.

It is further agreed that Respondent will provide the Department with a verification under penalty of perjury from Chaat Café's President that the failure to disclose the litigation was inadvertent rather than willful. This verification is to be provided to the Department within 30 days of this Consent Order, or this Order will be rescinded.

It is further agreed that the Commissioner shall indicate on the California Department of Corporation's web site that the Order Revoking Effectiveness of Franchise Registration issued on January 11, 2005 has been rescinded. The rescinded Order, however, is a public document and will

	remain on the web site with that notation	n. The Commissioner shall further post this Consent Order or	
	the web site.		
It is further agreed that the Commissioner has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Order. Respondent agrees that the jurisdiction extends to this proceeding only.			
matter and to judicial review of this matter pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure sec 1094.5. The Commissioner hereby rescinds the Order Revoking Effectiveness of Franchise			
			Registration issued on January 11, 2005, except to the extent that the Order's findings have been
	incorporated by reference into this Conso	ent Order.	
	Dated: April _8, 2005	Chaat Café, Incorporated	
		By: NARINDER MAHAL President	
	Dated: April _14_, 2005 Sacramento, California	WILLIAM P. WOOD California Corporations Commissioner	
		By: WAYNE STRUMPFER Acting Deputy Commissioner Enforcement Division	

-3-

1	WILLIAM P. WOOD			
2	California Corporations Commissioner WAYNE K. STRUMPFER (CA BAR NO. 160080)			
3	Acting Deputy Commissioner ALAN WEINGER (CA BAR NO. 86717)			
4	Supervising Counsel KAREN DENVIR (CA BAR NO. 197268)			
5	Corporations Counsel DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS			
6	1515 K Street, Suite 200 Sacramento, California 95814-4052			
7	Telephone: (916) 324-5217			
8	Attorneys for Complainant			
9	BEFORE THE DEPARTA	MENT OF CORPORATIONS		
10	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11	In the Matter of the ORDER REVOKING			
12	EFFECTIVENESS OF FRANCHISE)		
13	REGISTRATION and CONSENT ORDER Issued To Chaat Café, Inc.			
		CONSENT ORDER		
14	Respondent.))		
15				
16				
17				
18	On January 11, 2005, the California Corporations Commissioner issued an order to Chaat			
19	Café, Inc. (hereinafter "Respondent") finding that	it had failed to disclose information required in		
20	Item 3 of the Uniform Franchise Offering Circula	r, in violation of section 310.114.1 of Title 10 of the		
21	California Code of Regulations, and ordering the revocation of the registration of the offer and sale of			
22	franchises filed by Chaat Café, Inc. on October 30), 2003.		
23	The California Corporations Commissione	er and Respondent do hereby agree to		
24	this Consent Order in settlement of this matter. This Consent Order is intended to resolve all factual			
25	and legal issues raised by the Order Revoking Effectiveness of Franchise Registration issued on			
26	January 11, 2005, without the necessity of holding an administrative hearing to determine the validity			
27	of the Order.			
28				

Respondent neither admits nor denies the findings set forth in the Order dated January 11, 2005, which are hereby incorporated by reference into this Consent Order.

CONSENT ORDER

Based upon the foregoing,

IT IS AGREED AND ORDERED that Respondent will notify all of its franchisees regarding the failure to disclose the litigation, and will offer each of the them the opportunity to rescind their franchise agreement with Chaat Café. Prior to notifying the franchisees, Respondent will submit the notice to the Department for approval. Upon approval, Respondent will provide the franchisees with the notice, and will provide the Department with: (1) copies of the notices sent; (2) proof that the notices were received; and (3) documentation as to whether the offer to rescind was rejected, or accepted and paid. The Department will process the renewal of franchise registration that is pending, however, the parties stipulate that if the documentation is not received by the Department within 120 days of this Consent Order, the franchise registration will be revoked pursuant to Corporations Code section 31115, and Respondent hereby waives its right to a hearing under the Franchise Investment Law or any other applicable law.

It is further agreed that Respondent will amend its Uniform Franchise Offering Circular to disclose: (1) the litigation involving Kanar Enterprises; (2) the Desist and Refrain Order to Kanar Enterprises issued on January 11, 2005; (3) the Order Revoking Effectiveness of Franchise Registration to Chaat Café; (4) the Consent Order; and (5) the notices of violation/offers of rescission.

It is further agreed that Respondent will provide the Department with a verification under penalty of perjury from Chaat Café's President that the failure to disclose the litigation was inadvertent rather than willful. This verification is to be provided to the Department within 30 days of this Consent Order, or this Order will be rescinded.

It is further agreed that the Commissioner shall indicate on the California Department of Corporation's web site that the Order Revoking Effectiveness of Franchise Registration issued on January 11, 2005 has been rescinded. The rescinded Order, however, is a public document and will

	remain on the web site with that notation	n. The Commissioner shall further post this Consent Order or	
	the web site.		
It is further agreed that the Commissioner has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Order. Respondent agrees that the jurisdiction extends to this proceeding only.			
matter and to judicial review of this matter pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure sec 1094.5. The Commissioner hereby rescinds the Order Revoking Effectiveness of Franchise			
			Registration issued on January 11, 2005, except to the extent that the Order's findings have been
	incorporated by reference into this Conso	ent Order.	
	Dated: April _8, 2005	Chaat Café, Incorporated	
		By: NARINDER MAHAL President	
	Dated: April _14_, 2005 Sacramento, California	WILLIAM P. WOOD California Corporations Commissioner	
		By: WAYNE STRUMPFER Acting Deputy Commissioner Enforcement Division	

-3-

State of California - Department of Corporations

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

BUSINESS, TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING AGENCY DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS

4

1

2

3

5

6 7 TO:

8

9

1011

12

13 14

15

1617

18

1920

21

2223

2425

26

27

28

ORDER REVOKING EFFECTIVENESS OF FRANCHISE REGISTRATION

(Corporations Code section 31115)

The registration of the offer and sale of franchises filed by Chaat Café, Inc. on October 30,

2003 is hereby revoked until further order of the California Corporations Commissioner.

Dated: January 11, 2005

Sacramento, California

Narinder and Kiran Mahal

Pleasanton, California 94566

Chaat Café, Inc.

41 Castledown Road

WILLIAM P. WOOD

California Corporations Commissioner

File No. 995-3460

By_____

WAYNE STRUMPFER
Deputy Commissioner
Enforcement and Legal Services Division

STATE OF CALIFORNIA BUSINESS, TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING AGENCY DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS

TO: Narinder and Kiran Mahal Chaat Café, Inc. 41 Castledown Road Pleasanton, California 94566 File No. 995-3460

STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF ORDER REVOKING EFFECTIVENESS OF FRANCHISE REGISTRATION

(Corporations Code section 31117)

The California Corporations Commissioner finds that:

- 1. Chaat Café, Inc. is a California corporation that incorporated on September 12, 2003. Its principal business address is 41 Castledown Road, Pleasanton, California, 94566. Chaat Café, Inc. is engaged in business activities relating to the franchising of Chaat Café restaurants, which are caféstyle restaurants offering a variety of food.
- 2. Kanar Enterprises, Inc. is a California corporation that incorporated on January 18, 2002. Its principal business address is 1902 University Avenue, Berkeley, California, 94704, which also happens to be one of the locations of a Chaat Café restaurant/franchise. Kanar Enterprises, Inc. is

affiliated with Chaat Café, Inc., and has been granted the right to do business as Chaat Café through a licensing agreement.

- 3. Narinder and Kiran Mahal are the sole shareholders and directors of both Chaat Café, Inc. and Kanar Enterprises, Inc.
- 4. On October 30, 2003, Chaat Café filed its initial franchise registration application with the Department of Corporations. Pursuant to section 310.114.1 of Title 10 of the California Code of Regulations, each offering circular must contain the information required by the Uniform Franchise Registration Application, which is defined in section 310.111(b) as information required in accordance with the Uniform Franchise Offering Circular Guidelines, as amended by the North American Securities Administrators Association, Inc. on April 25, 1993.
- 5. The application was reviewed and comments provided to the applicant, including the need to disclose affiliate Kanar Enterprises, Inc. in Item 1 of the Uniform Franchise Offering Circular. Applicant amended Item 1 to include the disclosure that Kanar Enterprises, Inc. has served as the model for the franchise offered, and that it also has the right to do business as Chaat Café. A franchise registration order was then issued December 3, 2003, with an expiration date of January 18, 2005.
- 6. On September 10, 2003, Kanar Enterprises, Inc. filed an action against an individual named Sajid Amin in Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 1-03-CV-004782. In the action, Kanar Enterprises, Inc., as Plaintiff, alleges as follows:

Plaintiff and defendant Amin entered into a written contract entitled "License Agreement." The contract provided that plaintiff would license the use of plaintiff's registered trademark, "Chaat Café," to defendant Sajid Amin for defendant's use in operating defendant's restaurant, named "Chaat Café," located at 5134 Stevens Creek Blvd., in San Jose. In

consideration for the use of plaintiff's trademark, defendant agreed to pay plaintiff a license fee equal to 7% (seven percent) of defendant's monthly gross sales from the restaurant (if gross monthly sales exceeded \$30,000 per month) or 6% (six percent) of defendant's monthly gross sales (if gross monthly sales were below \$30,000 per month). The contract required defendant to provide a truthful and accurate report to plaintiff of each month's gross sales by the 10th of the following month.

7. On October 10, 2003, Sajid Amin filed a cross-complaint against Kanar Enterprises, Inc., alleging violations of the California Franchise Investment Law, fraud, unfair business practices, and other claims.

11

1

2

8. Item 3 of the Uniform Franchise Offering Circular requires, in relevant part, disclosure of whether the franchisor or an affiliate offering franchises under the franchisor's principal trademark has a material civil action pending against that person alleging a violation of a franchise, antitrust or securities law, fraud, unfair or deceptive practices, or comparable allegations. In addition, disclosure is required of any action, other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to the business, that is significant in the context of the number of franchisees and the size, nature or financial condition of the franchise system or its business operations. Action is defined to include complaints, cross claims, counterclaims, and third party complaints in a judicial proceeding.

9. In the original application, as well as in the initial amendment, in response to Item 3 of the Uniform Franchise Offering Circular, Chaat Café stated "No litigation is required to be disclosed in this offering circular." No amendment has ever been filed to correct this information or to include the above-referenced complaint filed in the Santa Clara County Superior Court.

25

24

22

23

26

27

28

Based upon the foregoing findings, the California Corporations Commissioner is of the opinion that Chaat Cafe, Inc., failed to disclose the information required in Item 3 of the Uniform Franchise Offering Circular, in violation of section 310.114.1 of Title 10 of the California Code of Regulations. For this reason, the California Corporations Commissioner has determined that an order should be issued pursuant to Corporations Code section 31115(a) to revoke the effectiveness of the franchise registration of Chaat Cafe, Inc. on the ground that there has been a failure to comply with section 310.114.1 of Title 10 of the California Code of Regulations. Dated: January 11, 2005 Sacramento, California WILLIAM P. WOOD California Corporations Commissioner By Corporations Counsel Enforcement and Legal Services Division

STATE OF CALIFORNIA BUSINESS, TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING AGENCY DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS

TO: Narinder and Kiran Mahal Chaat Café, Inc. 41 Castledown Road Pleasanton, California 94566 File No. 995-3460

STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF ORDER REVOKING EFFECTIVENESS OF FRANCHISE REGISTRATION

(Corporations Code section 31117)

The California Corporations Commissioner finds that:

- 1. Chaat Café, Inc. is a California corporation that incorporated on September 12, 2003. Its principal business address is 41 Castledown Road, Pleasanton, California, 94566. Chaat Café, Inc. is engaged in business activities relating to the franchising of Chaat Café restaurants, which are caféstyle restaurants offering a variety of food.
- 2. Kanar Enterprises, Inc. is a California corporation that incorporated on January 18, 2002. Its principal business address is 1902 University Avenue, Berkeley, California, 94704, which also happens to be one of the locations of a Chaat Café restaurant/franchise. Kanar Enterprises, Inc. is

affiliated with Chaat Café, Inc., and has been granted the right to do business as Chaat Café through a licensing agreement.

- 3. Narinder and Kiran Mahal are the sole shareholders and directors of both Chaat Café, Inc. and Kanar Enterprises, Inc.
- 4. On October 30, 2003, Chaat Café filed its initial franchise registration application with the Department of Corporations. Pursuant to section 310.114.1 of Title 10 of the California Code of Regulations, each offering circular must contain the information required by the Uniform Franchise Registration Application, which is defined in section 310.111(b) as information required in accordance with the Uniform Franchise Offering Circular Guidelines, as amended by the North American Securities Administrators Association, Inc. on April 25, 1993.
- 5. The application was reviewed and comments provided to the applicant, including the need to disclose affiliate Kanar Enterprises, Inc. in Item 1 of the Uniform Franchise Offering Circular. Applicant amended Item 1 to include the disclosure that Kanar Enterprises, Inc. has served as the model for the franchise offered, and that it also has the right to do business as Chaat Café. A franchise registration order was then issued December 3, 2003, with an expiration date of January 18, 2005.
- 6. On September 10, 2003, Kanar Enterprises, Inc. filed an action against an individual named Sajid Amin in Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 1-03-CV-004782. In the action, Kanar Enterprises, Inc., as Plaintiff, alleges as follows:

Plaintiff and defendant Amin entered into a written contract entitled "License Agreement." The contract provided that plaintiff would license the use of plaintiff's registered trademark, "Chaat Café," to defendant Sajid Amin for defendant's use in operating defendant's restaurant, named "Chaat Café," located at 5134 Stevens Creek Blvd., in San Jose. In

consideration for the use of plaintiff's trademark, defendant agreed to pay plaintiff a license fee equal to 7% (seven percent) of defendant's monthly gross sales from the restaurant (if gross monthly sales exceeded \$30,000 per month) or 6% (six percent) of defendant's monthly gross sales (if gross monthly sales were below \$30,000 per month). The contract required defendant to provide a truthful and accurate report to plaintiff of each month's gross sales by the 10th of the following month.

7. On October 10, 2003, Sajid Amin filed a cross-complaint against Kanar Enterprises, Inc., alleging violations of the California Franchise Investment Law, fraud, unfair business practices, and other claims.

11

1

2

8. Item 3 of the Uniform Franchise Offering Circular requires, in relevant part, disclosure of whether the franchisor or an affiliate offering franchises under the franchisor's principal trademark has a material civil action pending against that person alleging a violation of a franchise, antitrust or securities law, fraud, unfair or deceptive practices, or comparable allegations. In addition, disclosure is required of any action, other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to the business, that is significant in the context of the number of franchisees and the size, nature or financial condition of the franchise system or its business operations. Action is defined to include complaints, cross claims, counterclaims, and third party complaints in a judicial proceeding.

9. In the original application, as well as in the initial amendment, in response to Item 3 of the Uniform Franchise Offering Circular, Chaat Café stated "No litigation is required to be disclosed in this offering circular." No amendment has ever been filed to correct this information or to include the above-referenced complaint filed in the Santa Clara County Superior Court.

25

24

22

23

26

27

28

Based upon the foregoing findings, the California Corporations Commissioner is of the opinion that Chaat Cafe, Inc., failed to disclose the information required in Item 3 of the Uniform Franchise Offering Circular, in violation of section 310.114.1 of Title 10 of the California Code of Regulations. For this reason, the California Corporations Commissioner has determined that an order should be issued pursuant to Corporations Code section 31115(a) to revoke the effectiveness of the franchise registration of Chaat Cafe, Inc. on the ground that there has been a failure to comply with section 310.114.1 of Title 10 of the California Code of Regulations. Dated: January 11, 2005 Sacramento, California WILLIAM P. WOOD California Corporations Commissioner By Corporations Counsel Enforcement and Legal Services Division