1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		ENT OF CORPORATIONS OF CALIFORNIA	
12	In the Matter of) CASE NO.	
13	THE CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS) FILE NO. 923-4433	
14 15	COMMISSIONER, Complainant,) STATEMENT OF ISSUES IN SUPPORT OF NOTICE OF INTENTION TO ISSUE ORDERS:	
16	v.) 1. DENYING THE INVESTMENT	
17 18	MITCHELL M. MAYNARD (TERRA VISTA FINANCIAL PLANNERS, DBA); MITCHELL M. MAYNARD, as an individual; and DORICE A. MAYNARD, as an individual	ADVISER CERTIFICATE OF MITCHELL M. MAYNARD (TERRA VISTA FINANCIAL PLANNERS, DBA) 2. BARRING MITCHELL M. MAYNARD FROM ANY POSITION OF	
19			
20 21	Respondents.	EMPLOYMENT, MANAGMENENT OR CONTROL OF ANY INVESTMENT ADVISER, BROKER-	
22		DEALER OR COMMODITY ADVISER	
23)_ 3. BARRING DORICE A. MAYNARD FROM ANY POSITION OF	
24		EMPLOYMENT, MANAGMENENT OR CONTROL OF ANY	
25) INVESTMENT ADVISER, BROKER- DEALER OR COMMODITY ADVISER	
262728		(California Corporations Code Sections 25232 and 25232.1)	
		1	
	STATEMENT OF ISSUES IN SUPPORT OF NOTICE OF INTENTION TO ISSUE ORDERS		

Preston DuFauchard, California Corporations Commissioner ("Commissioner") of the Department of Corporations ("Department") alleges and charges as follows:

I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This action is brought to deny the investment adviser application¹ of Mitchell M. Maynard (Terra Vista Financial Planners, DBA) ("Terra Vista"), pursuant to Corporations Code section 25232, subdivisions (d)(3) & (h), and to bar Mitchell M. Maynard (proprietor of Terra Vista) and Dorice A. Maynard (wife and associate of Terra Vista) (collectively "Respondents"), from any position of employment, management, or control of any investment adviser, broker-dealer, or commodity adviser pursuant to Corporations Code section 25232.1.
- 2. Corporations Code section 25600 authorizes the Commissioner to administer and enforce the provisions of the Corporate Securities Law of 1968² (Corp. Code, § 25000 *et seq.*) and the regulations promulgated thereunder (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 10, § 260.000 *et seq.*).
- 3. Terra Vista Financial Planners is a California sole proprietorship and was located at 11249 Amiata Drive, Rancho Cucamonga, California 91730.
- 4. Respondents jointly filed for a Chapter 7 voluntary bankruptcy on September 10, 2002 with the United Stated Bankruptcy Court, Central District of California (Riverside).

 Respondents' debts were discharged by a final decree issued by the Court on or about December 26, 2002.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

5. In February 1999, Respondents created Leveraged Index Management Company (LIMCO), an investment advisory firm incorporated in the State of Vermont. Mitchell M. Maynard was LIMCO's controlling officer, president, treasurer, and investment adviser representative.

Dorice A. Maynard acted as LIMCO's vice president and secretary. From February 1999 until June

,

¹ The investment adviser application in issue is a "Form ADV (Paper Version) Uniform Application for Investment Adviser Registration" promulgated by the Securities Exchange Commission ("SEC") pursuant to 17 Code of Federal Regulations 279.1 as amended by the SEC Release No. IA-1916, 34-43758. (*See* Corp. Code, § 260.231.2) All registered investment advisers with less than \$25 million in client assets must register with the securities regulator in the state of the adviser's principal place of business, here, in this instance, the State of California.

² Hereinafter "CSL."

of 2000, LIMCO was located in Vermont. Thereafter, the Respondents relocated to California,			
where they continued to run LIMCO until it ceased operations sometime in early 2001. On or about			
July 17, 2001, the State of Vermont Department of Banking, Insurance, Securities, and Health Care			
Administration, Securities Division ("Vermont Securities Administration"), notified Mitchell M.			
Maynard that he was under investigation for his activities as the principal of LIMCO. He was			
requested at that time to immediately provide an amendment to his U-4 ³ , disclosing that he was			
subject to an investigation by the Vermont Securities Administration.			

6. On or about December 11, 2001, Terra Vista and Respondents filed an application for an investment adviser certificate with the Department. The application lists the Respondents, Mitchell M. Maynard and Dorice A. Maynard, as Terra Vista's direct owners and executive officers. At the disclosure history section of the application, Part 1A, Item 11.G., Terra Vista was asked if it "or any *advisory affiliate*⁴ now the subject of a regulatory *proceeding*⁵ that could lead to a 'yes' answer to any part of item . . .11.D⁶. . .(emphasis in original)" Terra Vista answered "no." It further provided "no" responses to Item 2.E. ⁷ of Part 1B of the Form ADV, which asked if Terra Vista or "[a]ny *advisory affiliate* or any *management person*⁸ currently the subject of . . . [an] administrative *proceeding* involving [investment-related business or activity, fraud, false statement, or omission, theft, embezzlement, dishonest or unethical practices] (emphasis in original)."

³ Form U-4 is used by broker-dealers, *i.e.*, persons engaged in the business of effecting transactions in securities for the account of others or their own account, to register and terminate the registrations of associated persons with self-regulatory organizations and the subject jurisdiction.

⁴ Defined as the applicant's "(1) [c]urrent employees (other than employees performing only clerical, administrative, support or similar functions); (2) all of your officers, partners, or directors . . .; and (3) all persons directly or indirectly controlling you or controlled by you . . . " (Form ADV, Part 1A, Item 11, Disclosure Information.)

⁵ Defined as a formal administrative or civil action initiated by a governmental agency. (Form ADV, Glossary of Terms, ¶ 30.)

⁶ Item 11.D., of the Form ADV, Part 1A, pertains to state regulatory agency actions concerning an advisory affiliate's unethical conduct and/or violations of securities regulations.

⁷ Former Item 2.E., now Item 2.F.

⁸ Defined as "[a]nyone with the power to exercise, directly or indirectly, a controlling influence over your firm's management or policies, or to determine the general investment advice given to the clients of your firm." (Form ADV, Glossary of Terms, ¶ 19.)

- 7. Upon receipt of Terra Vista's application a Departmental review of the Central Registration Depository system, which contains the qualification, employment, and disclosure histories of securities employees, showed that Mitchell M. Maynard was then under investigation with the Vermont Securities Administration concerning his activities as principal of LIMCO. Accordingly, on or about January 16, 2002, the Department notified Terra Vista and Respondents, by deficiency letter, of its findings concerning the Vermont Securities Administration's investigation. The Department requested that Mitchell M. Maynard provide all of the details surrounding the investigation and any relevant documentation.
- 8. On February 2, 2002, Dorice A. Maynard responded to the Department's inquiry by letter, in which she wrote, "[w]e cannot provide much detail about the VERMONT SECURITIES DIVISION investigation. Enclosed please find copies of the only correspondence we have received from them. In Vermont, Mitchell M. Maynard and Dorice A. Maynard were officers and employees of the investment advisor firm [LIMCO], which was also incorporated in the State of Vermont. LIMCO ceased all operations as of January 31, 2001." Dorice A. Maynard duly produced copies of correspondence pertaining to the Vermont Securities Administration investigation concerning LIMCO. The first letter dated July 17, 2001, informed Mitchell M. Maynard that he was under investigation by the Vermont Securities Administration. A second letter, dated August 7, 2001, reiterated the fact that Mitchell M. Maynard was under investigation concerning his activities as the principal of LIMCO.
- 9. On or about March 6, 2002, the Vermont Securities Administration served Respondents with a notice of intent to seek administrative sanctions against Mitchell M. Maynard and Dorice A. Maynard for violations of Vermont's securities laws concerning their activities as principals of LIMCO. The notice of intent alleged that Respondents had defrauded investors and misappropriated client funds.
- 10. On April 9, 2002, Dorice A. Maynard faxed the Department a copy of Respondent's answer to the Vermont Securities Administration's notice of intent, dated April 4, 2002.

 Respondents generally denied all of the allegations contained therein and requested an administrative hearing before a state hearing officer.

- 11. Based upon the foregoing events, in lieu of enforcement referral, the Department requested Terra Vista and Respondents withdraw their application, pending the resolution of the Vermont Securities Administration matter. In an email dated August 23, 2002, Dorice A. Maynard requested that Terra Vista's application remain open and that Respondents would make changes to the Form ADV regarding personnel. Pursuant to Respondents' request, the Department held Terra Vista's application open.
- 12. In August of 2003, during the course of seven days of hearings, the

 Vermont Securities Administration and Respondents presented the testimony of witnesses, including that of Respondents, and numerous investors, presented documentary evidence, and offered legal argument for the consideration of the hearing officer. At the conclusion of the proceedings, and in light of the evidence offered regarding Respondents' conduct in defrauding investors and the diversion of monies for Respondents' personnel use, the hearing officer recommended that Respondents receive monetary sanctions, be subjected to a permanent bar, make restitution, and pay administrative fines.
- 13. Respondents contested the hearing officer's recommendation before the Vermont Securities Administration Commissioner. Upon consideration of the evidence, legal argument, and analysis presented by Respondents and the Vermont Securities Administration, the commissioner issued an order on January 3, 2007, barring Respondents from any association or employment with any registered broker-dealer or investment adviser, or any federal covered investment adviser for a five-year period. In addition, Respondents were obligated, jointly and severally, to make restitution to the LIMCO investors in the amount of \$400,000 and pay an administrative penalty in the amount of \$20,000. Respondents failed to timely appeal the "[Vermont] Commissioner's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order," rendering the order final.

25 | ///

///

///

26 |

III. FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION: THE VERMONT SECURITIES ADMINISTRATION'S BAR ORDER ISSUED AGAINST RESPONDENTS PURSUANT TO CORPORATIONS CODE SECTION 25232, SUBDIVISION (d)(3).

- 14. Paragraphs 1 through 13 are hereby realleged and incorporated herein by reference as if set forth in their entirety.
 - 15. Corporations Code section 25232 provides, in pertinent part:

The commissioner may, after appropriate notice and opportunity for hearing, by order censure, deny a certificate to, or suspend for a period not exceeding 12 months or revoke the certificate of, an investment adviser, if the commissioner finds that the censure, denial, suspension, or revocation is in the public interest and that the investment adviser, whether prior or subsequent to becoming such, or any partner, officer or director thereof or any person performing similar functions or any person directly or indirectly controlling the investment adviser, whether prior or subsequent to becoming such, or any employee of the investment adviser while so employed has done any of the following (emphasis added):

- (d) Is or has been subject to . . . (3) any other order of the commission or any administrator, association, or exchange referred to in this subdivision which is or has been necessary for the protection of any investor (emphasis added) (Corp. Code, § 25232, subd. (d)(3).)
- Administration issued an order barring Respondents from any association or employment with any registered broker-dealer or investment adviser, or any federal covered investment adviser under Vermont's securities laws, for a five-year period. In addition, Respondents were ordered, both jointly and severally, to make restitution to the LIMCO investors in the amount of \$400,000 and pay an administrative penalty in the amount of \$20,000. The Vermont Securities Administration found that Respondents had engaged in numerous violations of Vermont securities law, including embezzling client funds for personal use, making false and misleading statements to LIMCO investors, engaging in fraudulent, dishonest, and unethical practices. Cause, therefore, exists to deny

⁹ Subdivision (d)(1) of Corporations Code section 25232 refers in pertinent part to "any order of the [SEC] or the securities administrator of any other state . . .", *i.e.*, in this instance, the securities administrator of the State of Vermont.

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

28

1

2

3

4

Terra Vista and Respondents' investment adviser application pursuant to Corporations Code section 25232, subdivision (d)(3).

- IV. SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION: FOR ACTS

 COMMITTED PURSUANT TO CORPORATIONS CODE SECTION

 25232 AS SPECIFIED UNDER CORPORATIONS CODE SECTION 25232(h).
- 17. Paragraphs 1 through 16 are hereby realleged and incorporated herein by reference as if set forth in their entirety.
 - 18. Corporations Code section 25232, subdivision (h), provides, in pertinent part:
 - (h) Has violated any provision of this division or the rules thereunder or, in the case of an applicant only, any similar regulatory scheme of the State of California or a **foreign jurisdiction** (emphasis added).
- 19. Terra Vista and Respondents violated a similar regulatory scheme of a foreign jurisdiction, namely, the securities regulations of the State of Vermont, as evidenced by the bar order issued by the Vermont's State Commissioner on January 3, 2007. Cause, therefore, exists to deny Terra Vista and Respondents' investment adviser application pursuant to Corporations Code section 25232, subdivision (h) on the grounds of Respondents' violations of the securities regulations of the State of Vermont.
 - V. AS SPECIFIED UNDER CORPORATIONS CODE SECTION 25232, SUBDIVISION (d)(3), RESPONDENTS, MITCHELL M. MAYNARD AND DORICE A. MAYNARD, SHOULD BE BARRED FROM ANY POSITION OF EMPLOYMENT, MANAGEMENT, OR CONTROL OF ANY INVESTMENT ADVISER, BROKER-DEALER, OR COMMODITY ADVISER PURSUANT TO CORPORATIONS CODE SECTION 25232.1 AS THEY ARE SUBJECT TO A BAR ORDER ISSUED BY THE VERMONT SECURITIES ADMINISTRATION.
- 20. Paragraphs 1 through 19 are hereby realleged and incorporated herein by reference as if set forth in their entirety.
 - 21. Corporations Code Section 25232.1 provides in relevant part:

The commissioner may, after appropriate notice and opportunity for hearing, by order censure, or suspend for a period not exceeding 12 months, or **bar from any position of employment, management or control of any investment adviser, broker-dealer or commodity adviser, any officer, director, partner, employee of, or person performing similar functions for, an investment adviser, or any other person, if he or she finds that the censure, suspension or bar is in the public interest and that the person has committed any act or omission enumerated in subdivision (a), (e), (f), or (g) of Section 25232 or . . . is subject to any order specified in subdivision (d) of Section 25232 (emphasis added).**

22. The Vermont Securities Administration issued a bar order against Mitchell M. Maynard and Dorice A. Maynard on January 3, 2007, thus, Respondents are subject to the provisions of Corporations Code section 25232, subdivision (d)(3), as more fully described above in section III., paragraphs 15-16. Specifically, the Vermont Securities Administration ordered Respondents barred from any association or employment with any registered broker-dealer or investment adviser, or any federal covered investment adviser for a five-year period. Furthermore, Respondents, both jointly and severally, were obligated to make restitution to the LIMCO investors and pay administrative penalties. Cause, therefore, exists under the CSL to bar Respondents from any position of employment, management, or control of any investment adviser, broker-dealer, or commodity adviser pursuant to Corporations Code section 25232.1.

VI. RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, based upon the foregoing, the Commissioner finds it is in the public interest to deny the investment adviser certificate of Mitchell M. Maynard, dba Terra Vista Financial Planners, pursuant to Corporations Code section 25232, subdivisions (d)(3) & (h) and to bar Mitchell M. Maynard and Dorice A. Maynard from any position of employment, management, or control of any investment adviser, broker-dealer, or commodity adviser pursuant to Corporations Code section 25232.1 for having been subject to the bar order issued by the Vermont Securities Administration as specified in Corporations Code section 25232, subdivision (d)(3).

WHEREFORE, IT IS PRAYED that Mitchell M. Maynard's, dba Terra Vista Financial Planners, investment adviser application be denied pursuant to Corporations Code section 25232, subdivisions (d)(3) & (h) and that Respondents Mitchell M. Maynard and Dorice A. Maynard be barred from any position of employment, management, or control of any investment adviser, broker-

1	dealer, or commodity adviser pursuant to Corporations Code section 25232.1 for having been subject	
2	to a bar order by the Vermont Securities Administration as specified in Corporations Code section	
3	25232, subdivision (d)(3).	
4	Dated: April 19, 2007	
5	PRESTON DUFAUCHARD California Corporations Commissioner	
6	By:	
7	BLAINE A. NOBLETT	
8	Corporations Counsel Enforcement Division	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	9	
		