

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS

ORDER

In the matter of) REVOKING CALIFORNIA FINANCE LENDERS LICENSE
))
)) PURSUANT TO SECTION 22107
)) FINANCIAL CODE

OASIS LENDING CORPORATION
5955 LINDLEY AVENUE
TARZANA, CA 91356

Respondent) File No. 603G856
)
)
License under the)
California Finance Lenders Law)

The Commissioner finds that the annual assessment as required by Section 22107 of the Finance Code has not been filed, although notification to the Respondent to pay the assessment was duly sent on or about January 19, 2011.

THEREFORE, GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, Respondent's California Finance Lenders License is revoked effective February 24, 2011 pursuant to Section 22107 of the Financial Code, unless the annual assessment is received in good funds by the Department of Corporations Accounting Office located on **1515 K. Street, Suite 200, Sacramento, California 95814**, no later than the close of business on February 23, 2011. On the effective date of this Revocation Order, if the annual assessment has not been paid, you are hereby ordered and directed to discontinue the making or brokering of any loan made pursuant to the California Finance Lenders Law. You are also ordered and directed to discontinue all activity conducted pursuant to Section 22340 and Section 22600 of the Financial Code within 60 days of the effective date of this Order.

This ORDER is to remain in full force and effect until the further written order of the Commissioner of Corporations.

Section 22107 (d) of the Financial Code provides as follows:

If a licensee fails to pay the assessment on or before the 30th day of December following the day upon which payment is due, the commissioner may by order summarily suspend or revoke the certificate issued to the licensee. If, after an order is made, a request for hearing is filed in writing within 30 days, and a hearing is not held within 60 days thereafter, the order is deemed rescinded as of its effective date. During any period when its certificate is revoked or suspended, a licensee shall not conduct business pursuant to this division except as may be permitted by order of the commissioner. However, the revocation, suspension or surrender of a certificate shall not affect the powers of the commissioner as provided in this division.

Dated: Los Angeles, California
February 2, 2011

PRESTON DUFAUCHARD
California Corporations Commissioner

Effective: February 24, 2011

By _____
PATRICIA R. SPEIGHT
Special Administrator
California Finance Lenders Law

1 MARY ANN SMITH
Deputy Commissioner
2 JUDY L. HARTLEY (CA BAR NO. 110628)
Senior Corporations Counsel
3 Department of Corporations
320 West 4th Street, Ste. 750
4 Los Angeles, California 90013-2344
Telephone: (213) 576-7604 Fax: (213) 576-7181
5 Attorneys for Complainant
6
7

8 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS
9 OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 In the Matter of the Petition for Reinstatement) File No.: 603-G856
12 of:)
13 OASIS LENDING CORPORATION) ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR
REINSTATEMENT (California Government
14) Code section 11522)
15)
16)
17)
18)

19 TO: Marjaniteh Atneyel, President
20 Oasis Lending Corporation
21 147 N. Sparks Street
Burbank, California 91506

22 KAMALA D. HARRIS
23 ATTORNEY GENERAL, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
24 Department of Justice
25 P.O. Box 944255
Sacramento, California 94244-2550

26 Petitioner, Oasis Lending Corporation (“Oasis”), having filed a petition for reinstatement of
27 its California Finance Lender Law license, which was revoked by the California Corporations
28 Commissioner (“Commissioner”) on February 2, 2011 to be effective February 24, 2011, the

1 petition having been considered, no further arguments having been filed by Petitioner despite ample
2 opportunity to do so, this agency finds that:

3 Petitioner is not entitled to reinstatement of its California Finance Lender Law license.
4 Petitioner, despite having filed a Petition for Reinstatement, failed to claim the mailing from the
5 Department of Corporations regarding her Petition (Notice of Petition of Reinstatement setting forth
6 written argument filing dates, sent certified, return-receipt mail) demonstrating a continuing lack of
7 responsibility. Petitioner had a history of failing to claim mail from the Department, which failure
8 led to Petitioner’s loss of its license.

9 WHEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the Petition be denied.

10 Dated: April 24, 2013
11 Los Angeles, Ca

JAN LYNN OWEN
California Corporations Commissioner

12 By _____
13 Mary Ann Smith
14 Deputy Commissioner
15 Enforcement Division

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28