1	PRESTON DuFAUCHARD California Corporations Commissioner					
2	ALAN S. WEÏNGER Deputy Commissioner					
3	Department of Corporations 320 West 4 th Street, Ste. 750					
4	Los Angeles, California 90013-2344					
5	Attorneys for Complainant					
6	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS					
7						
8	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
9						
10	In the Matter of the Accusation of THE CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS					
11	COMMISSIONER,) File No.: 603-C983				
12	Complainant,) ORDER DISMISSING NOTICE OF				
13	VS.) INTENTION TO ISSUE ORDER REVOKING) CALIFORNIA FINANCE LENDERS				
14	vs.) LICENSE				
15	PRIME MORTGAGE (PRIME REAL ESTATE, INC., DBA))				
		,)				
16	Respondent.	_)				
17	GOOD CAUGE ADDEADING A N. A.					
18		e of Intention to Issue Order Revoking California				
19	Finance Lenders License issued against PRIME	MORTGAGE on June 9, 2010 is hereby dismissed.				
20						
21	Dated: August 4, 2010 Los Angeles. California	PRESTON DuFAUCHARD				
22	Los Angeles. Camornia	California Corporations Commissioner				
23						
24		Ву				
25		PATRICIA R. SPEIGHT Special Administrator				
26		California Finance Lenders Law				
27						
28						

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS

	ORDER			
In the matter of) REVOI	KING CALIFORNIA	FINANCE LENDERS LICENSE	
) PURSUANT TO SECTION 22715			
)	FINANO	CIAL CODE	
PRIME MORTGAGE				
(PRIME REAL ESTATE, INC., DBA)				
Respondent)	File No.	603C983	
)			
License under the)			
California Finance Lenders Law)			

The Commissioner finds that the annual reports as required by Section 22159 of the Finance Code has not been filed, although notification to the Respondent to file the report was duly sent on or about April 18, 2012.

THEREFORE, GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, Respondent's California Finance Lenders License is revoked effective May 17, 2012 pursuant to Section 22715 of the Financial Code, unless the annual report is received by the Department of Corporations at the office located on **320 West 4th Street, Suite 750, Los Angeles, CA 90013,** no later than the close of business on May 16, 2012. On the effective date of this Revocation Order, if the annual report has not been filed, you are hereby ordered and directed to discontinue the making or brokering of any loan made pursuant to the California Finance Lenders Law. You are also ordered and directed to discontinue all activity conducted pursuant to Section 22340 and Section 22600 of the Financial Code within 60 days of the effective date of this Order.

This ORDER is to remain in full force and effect until the further written order of the Commissioner of Corporations.

Section 22715 of the Financial Code provides as follows:

The commissioner may by order summarily suspend or revoke the license of any licensee if that person fails to file the report required by Section 22159 within 10 days after notice by the commissioner that such report is due and not filed. If, after an order is made, a request for hearing is filed in writing within 30 days and the hearing is not held within 60 days thereafter, the order is deemed rescinded as of its effective date.

Dated: Los Angeles, California JAN LYNN OWEN

May 2, 2012 California Corporations Commissioner

Effective: May 17, 2012

By______

PATRICIA R. SPEIGHT

Special Administrator

California Finance Lenders Law

1	PRESTON DuFAUCHARD						
2	California Corporations Commissioner						
3	ALAN S. WEINGER (CA BAR NO. 8671 Deputy Commissioner	.7)					
4	Department of Corporations						
5	320 West 4 th Street, Ste. 750 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105						
6	Attorneys for Complainant						
7	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS						
8	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
9							
10	In the Matter of the Accusation of)	File No. 603-C983				
11	THE CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS COMMISSIONER,)					
12	,)					
13	Complainant,)	NOTICE OF INTENTION				
	Vs.)	TO ISSUE ORDER				
14)	REVOKING CALIFORNIA				
15	PRIME MORTGAGE (PRIME REAL ESTATE, INC., DBA),)	FINANCE LENDERS LICENSE				
16	ESTATE, INC., DBA),)					
17	Respondent.)					
18)					
19	Pursuant to section 22714 of the Ca	lifornia	Finance Lenders Law (California				
20		Pursuant to section 22714 of the California Finance Lenders Law (California Financial Code), notice is hereby given of the intention of the California Corporations					
21	Financial Code), notice is hereby given of the intention of the California Corporations Commissioner to enter his Order pursuant to section 22714 of the California Finance						
22	Commissioner to enter his Order pursuant to section 22714 of the California Finance						
23	_	Lenders Law to revoke Respondent's finance lenders license.					
24	The attached Accusation, which is incorporated by this reference, states the reasons						
25	for the intended Order.						
26							
	Unless a request for hearing, as evic	denced b	by the mailing or delivery of the Notice of				
27	Defense, is received within 15 days after the	ne Accu	sation was personally served upon				
28							

you or mailed to you, such Order may be entered at any time thereafter without a hearing. June 9, 2010 Los Angeles, California DATED: PRESTON DuFAUCHARD California Corporations Commissioner

1	PRESTON DuFAUCHARD				
2	California Corporations Commissioner				
3	ALAN S. WEINGER (CA BAR NO. 86717) Deputy Commissioner				
	Department of Corporations				
4	320 West 4 th Street, Ste. 750 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105				
5	Attorneys for Complainant				
	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS				
7	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
8	OF THE STATE OF CAEM ORGAN				
9					
10	In the Matter of the Accusation of) File No. 603-C983 THE CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS)				
11	COMMISSIONER,				
12					
13	Complainant,) ACCUSATION				
14	vs.				
	PRIME MORTGAGE (PRIME REAL)				
15	ESTATE, INC., DBA),				
16					
17	Respondent.				
18					
19	The Complainant is informed and believes, and based upon such information and				
20	belief, alleges and charges Respondent as follows:				
21	I				
22					
23	Respondent is a finance lender and/or broker licensed by the California Corporations				
	Commissioner ("Commissioner") pursuant to the California Finance Lenders Law				
24	(California Financial Code § 22000 et seq.) ("CFL"). Respondent has its principal place of				
25	business located at:				
26					
27	6047 TAMPA AVE., SUITE 302				
28	TARZANA, CA 91356				

Π

Pursuant to California Financial Code section 22112, all CFL licensees are required to maintain a surety bond in the minimum amount of \$25,000.00. The surety bond of respondent expired on June 9, 2010. On or about May 13, 2010 the Commissioner notified respondent that a replacement surety bond had to be filed immediately, but no later than the expiration date to avoid suspension or revocation of its CFL license.

Respondent has yet to obtain a replacement surety bond in violation of California Financial Code section 22112.

III

California Financial Code section 22112 provides in pertinent part:

A licensee shall maintain a surety bond in accordance with this subdivision in the amount of twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000). The bond shall be payable to the commissioner and issued by an insurer authorized to do business in this state. A copy of the bond, including any and all riders and endorsements executed subsequent to the effective date of the bond, shall be filed with the commissioner for review and approval within 10 days of execution. For licensees with multiple licensed locations, only one surety bond in the amount of twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000) is required. The bond shall be used for the recovery of expenses, fines, and fees levied by the commissioner in accordance with this division or losses or for damages incurred by consumers as the result of a licensee's noncompliance with the requirements of this division.

California Financial Code section 22714 provides in pertinent part:

The commissioner may suspend or revoke any license, upon notice and reasonable opportunity to be heard, if the commissioner finds any of the following:

- (a) The licensee has failed to comply with any demand, ruling, or requirement of the commissioner made pursuant to and within the authority of this division.
- (b) The licensee has violated any provision of this division or any rule or regulation made by the commissioner under and within the authority of this division.
- (c) A fact or condition exists that, if it had existed at the time of the original application for the license, reasonably would have warranted the commissioner in refusing to issue the license originally.

IV The Commissioner finds that, by reason of the foregoing, Respondent has violated California Financial Code section 22112, and based thereon, grounds exist to revoke the California Finance Lender license of Respondent. WHEREFORE, IT IS PRAYED that the California finance lender license of Respondent be revoked. June 9, 2010 DATED: Los Angeles, California PRESTON DuFAUCHARD California Corporations Commissioner