

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
**BUSINESS, TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING AGENCY**  
**DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS**

**TO:** Decata Enterprise, Inc.  
Post Shield USA, Inc.  
Rick Betchley  
Kellie Brunk  
1101 Investment Boulevard  
El Dorado Hills, California 95762

**DESIST AND REFRAIN ORDER**  
**(For violation of sections 25110 and 25401 of the Corporations Code)**

The California Corporations Commissioner finds that:

1. At all relevant times, Decata Enterprise, Inc. (“Decata”) was a Nevada corporation doing business at 1101 Investment Boulevard, El Dorado Hills, California.
2. At all relevant times, Post Shield USA, Inc. (“Post Shield”) was a Nevada corporation doing business at 1101 Investment Boulevard, El Dorado Hills, California.
3. At all relevant times Rick Betchley was the President of Decata and secretary of Post Shield.
4. At all relevant times Kellie Brunk was the President of Post Shield.
5. Beginning in or about July 2007 Decata, Post Shield, Betchley, and Brunk offered and sold securities in the State of California in the form of stock in Post Shield. The purported purpose of the offering was to raise funds so that Post Shield could produce and sell a shield for wooden posts.
6. These securities were offered and sold in this State in issuer transactions. The Department of Corporations has not issued a permit or other form of qualification authorizing any person to offer and sell these securities in this State.
7. In connection with the offer and sale of the securities, Decata, Post Shield, Betchley, and Brunk told potential investors that the California Department of Transportation (CalTrans) accepted the post shields as a product and had a large “appetite” for the product. Investors relied on this

1 representation when making their investment decision. In fact, CalTrans made no such acceptance of  
2 the product and did not have an “appetite” for the product.

3 8. Beginning in or about 2005, Betchley and Decata offered and sold securities in the state of  
4 California in the form of promissory notes entered into between investors and Decata Enterprise, Inc.  
5 The purpose of the offering was to “acquire an undeveloped premium parcel of land located within  
6 the custom area of Serrano, build a custom spec residence thereon (referred to as the Project) and sell  
7 the Project upon completion of construction . . .”

8 9. These securities were offered and sold in this State in issuer transactions. The Department of  
9 Corporations has not issued a permit or other form of qualification authorizing any person to offer  
10 and sell these securities in this State.

11 10. In connection with the offer and sale of the promissory notes, Betchley and Decata told  
12 potential investors that there would be no other funds used but their funds for the Project. Investors  
13 relied on this representation when making their investment decision. In fact, Betchley encumbered  
14 the property with a deed of trust without the knowledge of the investors.

15 11. Based upon the foregoing findings, the California Corporations Commissioner is of the  
16 opinion that the stock in Post Shield and the promissory notes entered into between investors and  
17 Decata Enterprise, Inc. are securities subject to qualification under the California Corporate  
18 Securities Law of 1968 and have been offered or sold without being qualified in violation of  
19 Corporations Code section 25110. Pursuant to section 25532 of the Corporate Securities Law of  
20 1968, Rick Betchley, Kellie Brunk, Decata Enterprise, Inc, and Post Shield USA, Inc. are hereby  
21 ordered to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of securities in the State of California,  
22 including but not limited to stock in Post Shield and promissory notes, unless and until qualification  
23 has been made under the law or unless exempt.

24 12. Further, the California Corporations Commissioner is of the opinion that the securities were  
25 offered or sold in this State by means of written or oral communications which included an untrue  
26 statement of a material fact or omitted to state a material fact necessary in order to make the  
27 statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, in  
28 violation of section 25401 of the Corporate Securities Law of 1968. Pursuant to section 25532 of the

