

1 MARY ANN SMITH (CA Bar No. 230943)
2 Deputy Commissioner
3 Department of Business Oversight
320 West 4th Street, Ste. 750
4 Los Angeles, California 90013-2344
Telephone: (213) 576-7690 Fax: (213) 576-7574

5 Attorney for Complainant

6
7 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS OVERSIGHT
8 OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

9 In the Matter of the Accusation of) File No. 605-0205
10 THE COMMISSIONER OF BUSINESS)
11 OVERSIGHT,)
12)
12 Complainant,)
13)
13 vs.) NOTICE OF INTENTION
14) TO ISSUE ORDER
14 AMERICAN PACIFIC FINANCIAL) REVOKING CALIFORNIA
15 (JOHN E. RONDA, DBA),) FINANCE LENDERS LICENSE
16)
16 Respondent.)
17)
18)

19 Pursuant to section 22714 of the California Finance Lenders Law (California
20 Financial Code), notice is hereby given of the intention of the Commissioner of Business
21 Oversight to enter his Order pursuant to section 22714 of the California Finance Lenders
22 Law to revoke Respondent's finance lenders license.

23 The attached Accusation, which is incorporated by this reference, states the reasons
24 for the intended Order.

25
26 Unless a request for hearing, as evidenced by the mailing or delivery of the Notice of
27 Defense, is received within 15 days after the Accusation was personally served upon you or
28

1 mailed to you, such Order may be entered at any time thereafter without a hearing.

2 DATED: August 21, 2013
3 Los Angeles, California

4 JAN LYNN OWEN
5 Commissioner
6 Department of Business Oversight

7 By

8 PATRICIA R. SPEIGHT
9 Special Administrator
10 California Finance Lenders Law

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 MARY ANN SMITH (CA Bar No. 230943)
2 Deputy Commissioner
3 Department of Business Oversight
320 West 4th Street, Ste. 750
4 Los Angeles, California 90013-2344
Telephone: (213) 576-7690 Fax: (213) 576-7574

5 Attorney for Complainant

6 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS OVERSIGHT
7 OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

9 In the Matter of the Accusation of) File No. 605-0205
10 THE COMMISSIONER OF BUSINESS)
11 OVERSIGHT,)
)
12 Complainant,)
)
13 vs.)
)
14 AMERICAN PACIFIC FINANCIAL)
15 (JOHN E. RONDA, DBA),)
)
16 Respondent.)
17)

18
19 The Complainant is informed and believes, and based upon such information and
20 belief, alleges and charges Respondent as follows:

21 I

22 Respondent is a finance lender and/or broker licensed by the Commissioner of
23 Business Oversight ("Commissioner") pursuant to the California Finance Lenders Law
24 (California Financial Code § 22000 et seq.) ("CFL"). Respondent has its principal place of
25 business located at:

26 3519 MISSION ST.
27 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94110

28

1 II

2 Pursuant to California Financial Code section 22112, all CFL licensees are required to
3 maintain a surety bond in the minimum amount of \$25,000.00. The surety bond of
4 respondent expired on 9/17/2010. On or about 9/23/2010, the Commissioner notified
5 respondent that a replacement surety bond had to be filed immediately, but no later than the
6 expiration date to avoid suspension or revocation of its CFL license.

7 Respondent has yet to obtain a replacement surety bond in violation of California
8 Financial Code section 22112.

9 III

10 California Financial Code section 22112 provides in pertinent part:

11 A licensee shall maintain a surety bond in accordance with this subdivision in
12 the amount of twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000). The bond shall be
13 payable to the commissioner and issued by an insurer authorized to do business
14 in this state. A copy of the bond, including any and all riders and
15 endorsements executed subsequent to the effective date of the bond, shall be
16 filed with the commissioner for review and approval within 10 days of
17 execution. For licensees with multiple licensed locations, only one surety
18 bond in the amount of twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000) is required. The
19 bond shall be used for the recovery of expenses, fines, and fees levied by the
20 commissioner in accordance with this division or losses or for damages
21 incurred by consumers as the result of a licensee's noncompliance with the
22 requirements of this division.

23 California Financial Code section 22714 provides in pertinent part:

24 The commissioner may suspend or revoke any license, upon notice and
25 reasonable opportunity to be heard, if the commissioner finds any of the
26 following:

27 (a) The licensee has failed to comply with any demand, ruling, or requirement
28 of the commissioner made pursuant to and within the authority of this division.

(b) The licensee has violated any provision of this division or any rule or
regulation made by the commissioner under and within the authority of this
division.

1 (c) A fact or condition exists that, if it had existed at the time of the original
2 application for the license, reasonably would have warranted the commissioner
3 in refusing to issue the license originally.

4 IV

5 The Commissioner finds that, by reason of the foregoing, Respondent has violated
6 California Financial Code section 22112, and based thereon, grounds exist to revoke the
7 California Finance Lender License of Respondent.

8 WHEREFORE, IT IS PRAYED that the California Finance Lender License of
9 Respondent be revoked.

10 DATED: August 21, 2013
11 Los Angeles, California

12
13 JAN LYNN OWEN
14 Commissioner
15 Department of Business Oversight

16 By

17 PATRICIA R. SPEIGHT
18 Special Administrator
19 California Finance Lenders Law
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 PRESTON DuFAUCHARD
2 California Corporations Commissioner
3 ALAN S. WEINGER (CA BAR NO. 86717)
4 Deputy Commissioner
5 Department of Corporations
6 320 West 4th Street, Ste. 750
7 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105
8 Attorneys for Complainant

9
10 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS
11 OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12

13 In the Matter of the Accusation of) File No. 605-0205
14 THE CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS)
15 COMMISSIONER,)
16)
17 Complainant,)
18)
19 vs.) NOTICE OF INTENTION
20) TO ISSUE ORDER
21) REVOKING CALIFORNIA
22 AMERICAN PACIFIC FINANCIAL) FINANCE LENDERS LICENSE
23 (JOHN E. RONDA, DBA),)
24)
25 Respondent.)
26)
27)
28)

29 Pursuant to section 22714 of the California Finance Lenders Law (California
30 Financial Code), notice is hereby given of the intention of the California Corporations
31 Commissioner to enter his Order pursuant to section 22714 of the California Finance
32 Lenders Law to revoke Respondent's finance lenders license.

33 The attached Accusation, which is incorporated by this reference, states the reasons
34 for the intended Order.

35 Unless a request for hearing, as evidenced by the mailing or delivery of the Notice of
36 Defense, is received within 15 days after the Accusation was personally served upon
37
38

1 you or mailed to you, such Order may be entered at any time thereafter without a hearing.

2 DATED: October 12, 2010
3 Los Angeles, California

4
5 PRESTON DuFAUCHARD
6 California Corporations Commissioner

7 By _____
8 PATRICIA R. SPEIGHT
9 Special Administrator
10 California Finance Lenders Act

1 PRESTON DuFAUCHARD
2 California Corporations Commissioner
3 ALAN S. WEINGER (CA BAR NO. 86717)
4 Deputy Commissioner
5 Department of Corporations
6 320 West 4th Street, Ste. 750
7 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105
8 Attorneys for Complainant

9
10 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS
11 OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12

13 In the Matter of the Accusation of) File No. 605-0205
14 THE CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS)
15 COMMISSIONER,)
16)
17 Complainant,)
18)
19 vs.) ACCUSATION
20)
21 AMERICAN PACIFIC FINANCIAL)
22 (JOHN E. RONDA, DBA),)
23)
24 Respondent.)
25)
26)
27)
28)

29 The Complainant is informed and believes, and based upon such information and
30 belief, alleges and charges Respondent as follows:

31 I

32 Respondent is a finance lender and/or broker licensed by the California Corporations
33 Commissioner ("Commissioner") pursuant to the California Finance Lenders Law
34 (California Financial Code § 22000 et seq.) ("CFL"). Respondent has its principal place of
35 business located at:

36
37 821 WAINGARTH WAY
38 DANVILLE, CA 95426

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

II

Pursuant to California Financial Code section 22112, all CFL licensees are required to maintain a surety bond in the minimum amount of \$25,000.00. The surety bond of respondent expired on September 17, 2010. On or about September 23, 2010 the Commissioner notified respondent that a replacement surety bond had to be filed immediately, but no later than the expiration date to avoid suspension or revocation of its CFL license.

Respondent has yet to obtain a replacement surety bond in violation of California Financial Code section 22112.

III

California Financial Code section 22112 provides in pertinent part:

A licensee shall maintain a surety bond in accordance with this subdivision in the amount of twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000). The bond shall be payable to the commissioner and issued by an insurer authorized to do business in this state. A copy of the bond, including any and all riders and endorsements executed subsequent to the effective date of the bond, shall be filed with the commissioner for review and approval within 10 days of execution. For licensees with multiple licensed locations, only one surety bond in the amount of twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000) is required. The bond shall be used for the recovery of expenses, fines, and fees levied by the commissioner in accordance with this division or losses or for damages incurred by consumers as the result of a licensee's noncompliance with the requirements of this division.

California Financial Code section 22714 provides in pertinent part:

The commissioner may suspend or revoke any license, upon notice and reasonable opportunity to be heard, if the commissioner finds any of the following:

- (a) The licensee has failed to comply with any demand, ruling, or requirement of the commissioner made pursuant to and within the authority of this division.
- (b) The licensee has violated any provision of this division or any rule or regulation made by the commissioner under and within the authority of this division.

1 (c) A fact or condition exists that, if it had existed at the time of the original
2 application for the license, reasonably would have warranted the commissioner
3 in refusing to issue the license originally.

4 IV

5 The Commissioner finds that, by reason of the foregoing, Respondent has violated
6 California Financial Code section 22112, and based thereon, grounds exist to revoke the
7 California Finance Lender license of Respondent.

8 WHEREFORE, IT IS PRAYED that the California finance lender license of
9 Respondent be revoked.

10 DATED: October 12, 2010
11 Los Angeles, California

12 PRESTON DuFAUCHARD
13 California Corporations Commissioner

14 By _____
15 PATRICIA R. SPEIGHT
16 Special Administrator
17 California Finance Lenders Act
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

