1	PRESTON DuFAUCHARD		
2	California Corporations Commissioner ALAN S. WEINGER		
3	Deputy Commissioner ALEX CALERO (SBN 238389) Corporations Counsel CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS 1350 Front Street, Room 2034 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 525-4044 Facsimile: (619) 525-4045		
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS		
10	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of	Case No.:	
12 13	THE CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS COMMISSIONER,	STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF ORDER LEVYING ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES PURSUANT TO	
14	Complainant,		
15	vs.	CORPORATIONS CODE SECTION 25252;	
16	APPLIED DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	CLAIM FOR ANCILLARY RELIEF	
17	a Delaware corporation; CHRIS PONISH, an individual;	PURSUANT TO CORPORATIONS CODE SECTION 25254; AND	
18	ROBERT REED, an individual; and	DESIST AND REFRAIN ORDER	
19	LAUREN SCOTT, an individual,	DESIST AND REFRAIN ORDER	
20	Respondents.		
21	Preston DuFauchard, the California Corp	porations Commissioner ("Commissioner") of the	
22	Department of Corporations ("Department") (co	ollectively "Complainant"), brings this action in	
23	the public interest and alleges and charges as fol	lows:	
24	I. STATEMENT OF FACTS		
25	1. At all relevant times, Respond	ent Applied Digital Technologies, Inc. ("Applied	
26	Digital Technologies") is and was a Delaware corporation, which purportedly maintained an address		
27	at 2150 South 1300 East, Suite 500, Salt Lake City, Utah. Applied Digital Technologies utilized an		
28	address at 15934 Wood Valley Trail, Jamul, California.		
		1	
	STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF ORDER LEVYIN	NG ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES PURSUANT TO	

2. At all relevant times, Respondent Chris Ponish was a control person and listed president of Applied Digital Technologies.

3. At all relevant times, Respondent Robert Reed was a control person of Applied Digital Technologies.

4. At all relevant times, Respondent Lauren Scott was a control person of Applied Digital Technologies.

5. Whenever reference is made in this Statement in Support to "Respondents" doing any act, the allegation shall mean the act of each respondent acting individually, jointly and severally.

VIOLATION OF CORPORATIONS CODE SECTION 25110

(Unqualified, Non-Exempt Offer and Sale of Securities)

6. Beginning in or about August 2008, Respondents offered and sold securities, in the form of debentures convertible to shares of stock and warrants to purchase shares of stock in Applied Digital Technologies, in the State of California.

7. Respondents offered and sold these securities to at least ninety-five (95) investors residing in California and elsewhere. Securities were sold to these investors in one hundred sixty-nine (169) separate transactions. From the offer and sale of these securities, Respondents raised at least three million, eight hundred sixty-one thousand, nine hundred fifty dollars (\$3,861,950.00) from investors.

8. Investment funds have not been returned to investors.

9. The purported purpose of the offering of securities in Applied Digital Technologies was to provide working capital for Applied Digital Technologies' growth and expansion.

10. Through general solicitations, in the form of telephone cold-calls and an investor recruitment network, Respondents offered and sold securities to investors and potential investors.

11. Respondents had no substantive, pre-existing business or personal relationship with
investors and potential investors who were offered and sold securities.

27 12. Respondents did not provide audited financial statements for Applied Digital
28 Technologies to investors or potential investors.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

13. On or about September 25, 2008, Respondents filed a Form D, Rule 506, exemption notice with the Securities and Exchange Commission on behalf of Applied Digital Technologies.

14. On or about September 21, 2010, the Complainant issued an administrative desist and refrain order to Applied Digital Technologies and Chris Ponish for offering or selling securities in the State of California without first filing a Form D exemption notice, consent to service of process, and filing fee with the Commissioner, in violation of CSL section 25102.1.

15. Corporations Code section 25110 prohibits the offer or sale of unqualified, nonexempt securities in issuer transactions in the State of California. The securities offered and sold by Respondents are subject to qualification under the Corporate Securities Law of 1968 (California Corporations Code section 25000 et seq.) ("CSL"). These securities were offered or sold in this state in issuer transactions. The Department of Corporations has not issued a permit or other form of qualification authorizing any person to offer or sell these securities in this state. The offer or sale of these securities is not exempt.

VIOLATION OF CORPORATIONS CODE SECTION 25401

(Misrepresentations and Omissions of Material Facts)

16. Respondents provided potential investors with written materials, including, but not limited to, a private placement document and an executive summary.

17. In connection with the offers and sales of securities, Respondents made, or caused to be made, representations to investors and potential investors that:

a. Applied Digital Technologies designs, manufactures and develops applications for personal security, location and rescue products and that investor funds would be used to provide working capital for Applied Digital Technologies' growth and expansion. However, Respondents failed to disclose, or caused the failure of disclosure, to investors and potential investors that investor funds would in fact be used for Respondents' personal expenses and not for Applied Digital Technologies' growth and expansion. Respondents used investor funds for such purposes as car payments, mortgages, utility bills, mobile homes, the purchase of real estate and in vitro fertilization services.

28 || ///

STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF ORDER LEVYING ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES PURSUANT TO CORPORATIONS CODE SECTION 25252; CLAIM FOR ANCILLARY RELIEF PURSUANT TO CORPORATIONS CODE SECTION 25254; AND DESIST AND REFRAIN ORDER

3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

Chris Ponish was the president and control person of Applied Digital 1 b. 2 Technologies. However, Respondents failed to disclose, or caused the failure of disclosure, to 3 investors and potential investors that:

i. Chris Ponish was the subject of an administrative desist and refrain order, issued on April 13, 2009, by Complainant for securities fraud and other violations of the CSL;

ii. Robert Reed was also a control person of Applied Digital Technologies. Further, that Robert Reed was the subject of an administrative desist and refrain order, issued on March 26, 2008, by Complainant for violations of the CSL;

iii. Robert Reed, also a control person of Applied Digital Technologies, was a defendant in a civil enforcement action filed by Complainant on September 9, 2008, alleging securities fraud and other violations of the CSL;

Robert Reed, also a control person of Applied Digital Technologies, iv. was preliminary enjoined on March 16, 2009, from offering and selling securities in violation of 14 CSL section 25110 and 25401.

15 Lauren Scott was also a control person of Applied Digital v. 16 Technologies. Further, that Lauren Scott was the subject of an administrative cease and desist order, 17 issued on July 27, 1999, by the Pennsylvania Securities Commission for securities fraud and other 18 violations of state securities laws.

18. CSL section 25401 prohibits the offer or sale of securities in this state by means of written or oral communications that include untrue statements of material fact or omits material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading. The omissions and misrepresentations of Respondents, as outlined above, are material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading.

25

II. **ORDER LEVYING ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES**

(For Violations of Corporations Code Sections 25110 and 25401)

27 19. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-18 of this 28 Statement in Support as though fully set forth herein.

1	20. CSL section 25252 authorizes the Commissioner to issue an order levying		
2	administrative penalties against any person for willful violations of any provision of the CSL.		
3	Specifically, CSL section 25252 provides, in relevant part:		
4	The commissioner may, after appropriate notice and opportunity for hearing, by orders, levy administrative penalties as follows:		
5			
6	(a) Any person subject to this division, other than a broker-dealer or investment adviser, who willfully violates any provision of this division is liable for		
7	administrative penalties of not more than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) for the first		
8	violation, and not more than two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500) for each subsequent violation.		
9			
10	21. CSL section 25017, subdivision (e), provides, in relevant part, that:		
11	Every sale or offer of a warrant or right to purchase or subscribe to another security of the same or another issuer, as well as every sale or offer of a security which gives the holder a present or future right or privilege to convert the security into another security of the same or another issuer, includes an offer and sale of the other security only at the time of the offer or sale of the warrant or right or convertible		
12			
13			
14	security only at the time of the orier of sale of the warrant of right of convertible security.		
15	22. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, as set forth fully above in paragraphs 1-		
16	18, Respondents willfully violated the following provisions:		
17	a. CSL section 25110, by offering and selling securities to at least ninety-five		
18	(95) investors residing in California and elsewhere, in at least one hundred sixty-nine (169)		
19	transactions.		
20	b. CSL section 25401 by making untrue statements or omissions in the offer		
21	and sale of securities to at least ninety-five (95) investors residing in California and elsewhere, in		
22	at least one hundred sixty-nine (169) transactions.		
23	WHEREFORE, good cause showing, and pursuant to Corporations Code section 25252,		
24	the Commissioner prays for an order levying administrative penalties, individually, jointly and		
25	severally, against Respondents, as follows:		
26	a. That pursuant to Corporations Code section 25252, the Commissioner levy		
27	administrative penalties of one thousand dollars (\$1,000) for the first violation of Corporations		
28	Code section 25110 and two thousand, five hundred dollars (\$2,500) for each subsequent		
	5		
	STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF ORDER LEVYING ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES PURSUANT TO		
	CORPORATIONS CODE SECTION 25252: CLAIM FOR ANCILLARY RELIEF PURSUANT TO CORPORATION		

violation, as listed below, for a total amount of one million, six hundred eighty-eight thousand five hundred dollars (\$1,688,500.00): 2

3	i. First violation: \$1,000 for the first offer or sale of a debenture;	
4	ii. Additional violations:	
5	A. \$2,500 for the offers or sales of the debentures in the 168	
6	subsequent transactions;	
7	B. \$2,500 for the rights to convert the debentures into shares of	
8	stock given in the 169 transactions; and	
9	C. \$2,500 for the offers or sales of the warrants for shares of stock	
10	in the 169 transactions.	
11	D. \$2,500 for the exercise of the warrants for shares of stock in the	
12	169 transactions.	
13	b. That pursuant to Corporations Code section 25252, the Commissioner levy	
14	administrative penalties of one thousand dollars (\$1,000) for the first violation of Corporations	
15	Code section 25401 and two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500) for each subsequent	
16	violation, as listed below, for a total amount of one million, seven hundred ninety-eight	
17	thousand, five hundred dollars (\$1,798,500.00):	
18	i. First violation: \$1,000 for the misrepresentation in paragraph 17(a)	
19	ii. Additional violations:	
20	A. \$2,500 for the misrepresentation in paragraph 17(a) made in the	
21	168 applicable transactions;	
22	B. \$2,500 for the misrepresentation in paragraph 17(b)(i) made in	
23	the single applicable transaction;	
24	C. \$2,500 for the misrepresentation in paragraph 17(b)(ii) made in	
25	the 169 applicable transactions;	
26	D. \$2,500 for the misrepresentation in paragraph 17(b)(iii) made in	
27	the 137 applicable transactions;	
28	E. \$2,500 for the misrepresentation in paragraph 17(b)(iv) made in	
	the 75 applicable transactions; and 6	
	STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF ORDER LEVYING ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES PURSUANT TO CORPORATIONS CODE SECTION 25252; CLAIM FOR ANCILLARY RELIEF PURSUANT TO CORPORATIONS CODE SECTION 25254; AND DESIST AND REFRAIN ORDER	

1

1	F. \$2,500 for the misrepresentation in paragraph 17(b)(v) made in		
2	the 169 applicable transactions.		
3	The total amount of administrative penalties for Respondents' violations of Corporations Code		
4	sections 25110 and 25401 is three million, four hundred and eighty-seven thousand dollars		
5	(\$3,487,000.00).		
6	III. CLAIM FOR ANCILLARY RELIEF		
7	(For Violations of Corporations Code Sections 25110 and 25401)		
8	23. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-18 of this		
9	Statement in Support as though fully set forth herein.		
10	24. Corporations Code section 25254 authorizes the Commissioner to seek ancillary		
11	relief for violations of any provision of the CSL. Corporations Code section 25254 states:		
12	(a) If the commissioner determines it is in the public interest, the commissioner may		
13	include in any administrative action brought under this part a claim for ancillary relief, including, but not limited to, a claim for restitution or disgorgement or		
14	damages on behalf of the persons injured by the act or practice constituting the subject matter of the action, and the administrative law judge shall have jurisdiction to award additional relief.		
15			
16	(b) In an administrative action brought under this part, the commissioner is entitled to		
17	recover costs, which in the discretion of the administrative law judge may include an amount representing reasonable attorney's fees and investigative expenses for the services rendered, for deposit into the State Corporations Fund for the use of the		
18			
19	Department of Corporations.		
20	25. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, as set forth fully above in paragraphs 1-		
21	18, Respondents sold unqualified, non-exempt securities in an amount of at least three million,		
22	eight hundred sixty-one thousand, nine hundred fifty dollars (\$3,861,950.00), to at least ninety-		
23	five (95) investors, in violation of Corporations Code sections 25110 and 25401. Investment		
24	funds have not been returned to investors.		
25	WHEREFORE, good cause showing, and the Commissioner having determined that this		
26	action is in the public interest, for the protection of investors and consistent with the purposes,		
27	policies, and provisions of the CSL, based on Respondents' violations, the Commissioner hereby		
28	prays for an order of ancillary relief pursuant to Corporation Code section 25254, individually,		
	7		

2 Restitution and/or disgorgement, consisting of the investors' investment a. 3 principal in an amount of at least \$3,861,950.00 and legal interest accumulated on the investment principal or according to proof; and 4 5 b. Recovery of attorney's fees, investigative expenses, and costs in an amount of at least one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000.00), or according to proof. 6 7 IV. **DESIST AND REFRAIN ORDER** 26. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-18 of this 8 Statement in Support as though fully set forth herein. 9 27. 10 Corporations Code section 25532, in pertinent part, states: 11 (a) If, in the opinion of the commissioner, (1) the sale of a security is subject to qualification under this law and it is being or has been offered or sold without first 12 being qualified, the commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of the security to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security 13 until qualification has been made under this law 14 (c) If, in the opinion of the commissioner, a person has violated or is violating 15 Section 25401, the commissioner may order that person to desist and refrain from 16 the violation. 17 28. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, as set forth fully above in paragraphs 1-18 18, the Commissioner is of the opinion that the securities, in the form of debentures convertible 19 to shares of stock and warrants to purchase shares of stock in Applied Digital Technologies, Inc., 20 that were offered or sold are securities subject to qualification under the California Corporate 21 Securities Law of 1968 and are being or have been offered or sold without being qualified in 22 violation of Corporations Code section 25110. 23 29. Further, the Commissioner is of the opinion that the securities, in the form of 24 limited liability company units, were offered or sold in this state by means of written or oral 25 communications which included an untrue statement of a material fact or omitted to state a 26 material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances 27 under which they were made, not misleading, in violation of section 25401 of the Corporate 28 Securities Law of 1968. 8

jointly and severally against Respondents as follows:

1

State of California - Department of Corporations

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Dated: March 30, 2011

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY THAT:

a. Pursuant to section 25532 of the Corporate Securities Law of 1968,
Applied Digital Technologies, Inc., Chris Ponish, Robert Reed and Lauren Scott are hereby
ordered to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale, in the State of California, of securities,
including, but not limited to, debentures, warrants or stock, unless and until qualification has
been made under the law or unless exempt; and

b. Pursuant to section 25532 of the Corporate Securities Law of 1968, Applied Digital Technologies, Inc., Chris Ponish, Robert Reed and Lauren Scott are hereby ordered to desist and refrain from offering or selling or buying or offering to buy any security in the State of California, including but not limited to debentures, warrants or stock, by means of any written or oral communication which includes an untrue statement of a material fact or omits to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading.

This Order is necessary, in the public interest, for the protection of investors and consistent with the purposes, policies and provisions of the Corporate Securities Law of 1968.

PRESTON DuFAUCHARD California Corporations Commissioner

By:

ALAN S. WEINGER Deputy Commissioner

STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF ORDER LEVYING ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES PURSUANT TO ORATIONS CODE SECTION 25252; CLAIM FOR ANCILLARY RELIEF PURSUANT TO CORPORAT

9

CORPORATIONS CODE SECTION 25252; CLAIM FOR ANCILLARY RELIEF PURSUANT TO CORPORATIONS CODE SECTION 25254; AND DESIST AND REFRAIN ORDER