

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
BUSINESS, TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING AGENCY
DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS

TO: Single Source Technology, Inc.
Gilbert Rousseau, CEO
Robert Landeros, Secretary
16134 Sherman Way
Van Nuys, California 91406

DESIST AND REFRAIN ORDER
(For violations of section 25110 and 25401 of the Corporations Code)

The California Corporations Commissioner finds that:

1. At all relevant times, Single Source Technology, Inc. was a California corporation, located at 16134 Sherman Way, Van Nuys, California 91406.
2. At all relevant times, Gilbert Rousseau was the President of Single Source Technology, Inc. and in this capacity was responsible for the activities of the company.
3. At all relevant times, Robert Landeros was the Secretary or an officer of Single Source Technology, Inc. and in this capacity was responsible for the activities of the company.
4. Beginning in or about August 2004 and continuing thereafter, Gilbert Rousseau, Robert Landeros and Single Source Technology, Inc. (collectively referred to as Respondents), offered or sold securities in the State of California, in the form of stock in Single Source Technology, Inc. The stock was offered or sold to California investors through the use of “cold calls” to at least one California investor. The purpose of this offering was to raise a maximum of six million dollars to be

1 “used for the development of the company, investing in existing infrastructure and acquiring other
2 existing information technology providers . . .”

3
4 5. These securities were offered or sold in this state in issuer transactions. The Department of
5 Corporations has not issued a permit or other form of qualification authorizing any person to offer or
6 sell these securities in this state.

7
8 6. Respondents failed to tell potential investors that Single Source Technology, Inc. was the
9 subject of a Cease and Desist Order issued by the Pennsylvania Securities Commission on May 11,
10 2004 finding that Single Source Technology had solicited investors through “cold calls.”

11
12 7. Respondents represented to investors that they would receive 12% dividends on this
13 investment. In fact, at least one California investor did not receive 12% dividends as promised.

14
15 Based upon the foregoing findings, the California Corporations Commissioner is of the
16 opinion that the stock issued by Single Source Technology, Inc., Gilbert Rousseau, and Robert
17 Landeros, are securities subject to qualification under the California Corporate Securities Law of
18 1968 and are being or have been offered or sold without being qualified in violation of Corporations
19 Code section 25110. Pursuant to section 25532 of the Corporate Securities Law of 1968, Single
20 Source Technology, Inc., Gilbert Rousseau, and Robert Landeros, are hereby ordered to desist and
21 refrain from the further offer or sale of securities, including but not limited to stock, in the State of
22 California, unless and until qualification has been made under the law or unless exempt.

23
24 Further, the California Corporations Commissioner is of the opinion that the securities were
25 offered or sold in this state by means of written or oral communications which included an untrue
26 statement of a material fact or omitted to state a material fact necessary in order to make the
27 statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, in
28 violation of section 25401 of the Corporations Code. Pursuant to section 25532 of the Corporations

1 Code, Single Source Technology, Inc., Gilbert Rousseau, and Robert Landeros, are hereby ordered to
2 desist and refrain from offering or selling or buying or offering to buy any security in the State of
3 California, including but not limited to stock, by means of any written or oral communication which
4 includes an untrue statement of a material fact or omits to state a material fact necessary in order to
5 make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not
6 misleading.

7
8 This Order is necessary, in the public interest, for the protection of investors and consistent
9 with the purposes, policies, and provisions of the Corporate Securities Law of 1968. This order shall
10 remain in full force and effect until further order of the California Corporations Commissioner.

11
12 Dated: December 3, 2007
13 Sacramento, California

14
15 PRESTON DuFAUCHARD
16 California Corporations Commissioner

17
18 By: _____
19 ALAN S. WEINGER
20 Lead Corporations Counsel
21 Enforcement Division
22
23
24
25
26
27
28