



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, by and through the CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS COMMISSIONER,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SMARTWEAR TECHNOLOGIES, a San Diego County fictitious business name; SMARTWEAR TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation; NORMAN FRANK REED, an individual; ROBERT REED, an individual; SEAN BORZAGE BOYD, an individual; and Does 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants,

And

GLOBAL GENERAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Nevada corporation; and LEXIT TECHNOLOGY, INC., a Colorado corporation,

Relief Defendants.

Case No.: 37-2008-00091291-CU-MC-CTL

[PROPOSED] ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Judge: Hon. Judith F. Hayes  
Dept: D-68

Ex Parte Hearing Date: November 18, 2008  
Ex Parte Hearing Time: 8:45 a.m.  
Hearing Date: January 9, 2009  
Hearing Time: 10:30 a.m.  
Date Action Filed: September 9, 2008

///

1 TO ALL DEFENDANTS AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

2 The Ex Parte Application for an Order to Show Cause Re: Preliminary Injunction came on  
3 regularly at the above date and time and in the Department indicated. Plaintiff, the People of the  
4 State of California by and through the California Corporations Commissioner (“Commissioner”), was  
5 represented by Corporations Counsel Alex Calero.

6 After consideration of Plaintiff’s Ex Parte Application, First Amended Complaint,  
7 Memorandum of Points and Authorities and Declarations filed in support thereof and other oral and  
8 documentary evidence, the Court finds that the People have demonstrated a likelihood of prevailing  
9 on the merits of the action and the absence of any substantive opposition or defense to the request for  
10 injunctive relief, and FOR GOOD CAUSE APPEARING,

11 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

12 An Order to Show Cause hearing shall be held on January 9, 2009, at 10:30 a.m., in  
13 Department 68 of this Court, at which time Norman Frank Reed, Robert Reed, Sean Borzage Boyd,  
14 SmartWear Technologies, a San Diego County fictitious business name, SmartWear Technologies,  
15 Inc., a Delaware corporation (collectively “DEFENDANTS”), and Global Technologies, Inc., a  
16 Nevada corporation, and Lexit Technology, Inc., a Colorado corporation (collectively “RELIEF  
17 DEFENDANTS”) shall show why a preliminary injunction should not be granted, enjoining:

18 1. DEFENDANTS, their agents, employees, attorneys in fact, and all other persons  
19 acting in concert or participating with them, from directly or indirectly:

20 a. Violating California Corporations Code section 25110 by offering to sell,  
21 selling, arranging for the sale of, issuing, engaging in the business of selling, or negotiating for the  
22 sale of any security of any kind unless such security or transaction is qualified;

23 b. Violating California Corporations Code section 25401 by offering to sell or  
24 selling any security of any kind by means of any written or oral communication which includes any  
25 untrue statement of material fact or omits to state any material fact necessary in order to make the  
26 statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they are made, not misleading;

27 2. DEFENDANTS and RELIEF DEFENDANTS, their agents, employees, attorneys in  
28 fact, and all other persons acting in concert or participating with them, from directly or indirectly:

1 a. Removing, destroying, mutilating, concealing, altering, transferring, or  
2 otherwise disposing of, in any manner, any books, records, computer programs, computer files,  
3 computer printouts, correspondence, brochures, manuals, or any other "writing" or "document" of  
4 any kind as defined under California Evidence Code section 250, relating to the transactions and  
5 course of conduct as alleged in the First Amended Complaint, unless authorized by this Court; and

6 b. Withdrawing from any bank account or disposing of any real or personal  
7 property, derived or purchased from investor funds, in their possession, custody, or control, without  
8 leave of the Court.

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT:

10 The following briefing schedule shall apply: If DEFENDANTS and RELIEF  
11 DEFENDANTS choose to submit written statements or objections to the issuance of a preliminary  
12 injunction, papers must be filed with the Court no later than \_\_\_\_\_, by  
13 \_\_\_\_\_ a.m./p.m., and served by overnight mail on Plaintiff. If Plaintiff chooses to file a  
14 reply, papers must be filed no later than \_\_\_\_\_, by \_\_\_\_\_  
15 a.m./p.m., and served by overnight mail on the DEFENDANTS and RELIEF DEFENDANTS.

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17  
18 Dated: November 18, 2008  
19 San Diego, California

20 \_\_\_\_\_  
21  
22 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT