

1 PRESTON DuFAUCHARD
2 California Corporations Commissioner
3 ALAN S. WEINGER (CA BAR NO. 86717)
4 Deputy Commissioner
5 Department of Corporations
6 320 West 4th Street, Ste. 750
7 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105

8 Attorneys for Complainant

9
10 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS
11 OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12

13 In the Matter of the Accusation of) File No. 603-A775
14 THE CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS)
15 COMMISSIONER,)
16)
17 Complainant,)
18)
19 vs.) NOTICE OF INTENTION
20) TO ISSUE ORDER
21) REVOKING CALIFORNIA
22 TRADITIONAL HOME MORTGAGE,) FINANCE LENDERS LICENSE
23 INC.,)
24)
25 Respondent.)
26)
27)
28)

29 Pursuant to section 22714 of the California Finance Lenders Law (California
30 Financial Code), notice is hereby given of the intention of the California Corporations
31 Commissioner to enter his Order pursuant to section 22714 of the California Finance
32 Lenders Law to revoke Respondent's finance lenders license.

33 The attached Accusation, which is incorporated by this reference, states the reasons
34 for the intended Order.

35 Unless a request for hearing, as evidenced by the mailing or delivery of the Notice of
36 Defense, is received within 15 days after the Accusation was personally served upon you or
37
38

1 mailed to you, such Order may be entered at any time thereafter without a hearing.

2
3 DATED: September 14, 2011
4 Los Angeles, California

5 PRESTON DuFAUCHARD
6 California Corporations Commissioner

7 By _____
8 PATRICIA R. SPEIGHT
9 Special Administrator
10 California Finance Lenders Law

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 PRESTON DuFAUCHARD
2 California Corporations Commissioner
3 ALAN S. WEINGER (CA BAR NO. 86717)
4 Deputy Commissioner
5 Department of Corporations
6 320 West 4th Street, Ste. 750
7 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105
8 Attorneys for Complainant

9
10 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS
11 OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12

13 In the Matter of the Accusation of) File No. 603-A775
14 THE CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS)
15 COMMISSIONER,)
16)
17 Complainant,)
18)
19 vs.) ACCUSATION
20)
21 TRADITIONAL HOME MORTGAGE,)
22 INC.,)
23)
24 Respondent.)
25)
26)
27)
28)

29 The Complainant is informed and believes, and based upon such information and
30 belief, alleges and charges Respondent as follows:

31 I

32 Respondent is a finance lender and/or broker licensed by the California Corporations
33 Commissioner ("Commissioner") pursuant to the California Finance Lenders Law
34 (California Financial Code § 22000 et seq.) ("CFL"). Respondent has its principal place of
35 business located at:

36
37 15475 N. GREENWAY-HAYDEN LOOP, B-20
38 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85260

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

II

Pursuant to California Financial Code section 22112, all CFL licensees are required to maintain a surety bond in the minimum amount of \$25,000.00. The surety bond of respondent expired on September 13, 2011. On or about August 10, 2011, the Commissioner notified respondent that a replacement surety bond had to be filed immediately, but no later than the expiration date to avoid suspension or revocation of its CFL license.

Respondent has yet to obtain a replacement surety bond in violation of California Financial Code section 22112.

III

California Financial Code section 22112 provides in pertinent part:

A licensee shall maintain a surety bond in accordance with this subdivision in the amount of twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000). The bond shall be payable to the commissioner and issued by an insurer authorized to do business in this state. A copy of the bond, including any and all riders and endorsements executed subsequent to the effective date of the bond, shall be filed with the commissioner for review and approval within 10 days of execution. For licensees with multiple licensed locations, only one surety bond in the amount of twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000) is required. The bond shall be used for the recovery of expenses, fines, and fees levied by the commissioner in accordance with this division or losses or for damages incurred by consumers as the result of a licensee's noncompliance with the requirements of this division.

California Financial Code section 22714 provides in pertinent part:

The commissioner may suspend or revoke any license, upon notice and reasonable opportunity to be heard, if the commissioner finds any of the following:

- (a) The licensee has failed to comply with any demand, ruling, or requirement of the commissioner made pursuant to and within the authority of this division.
- (b) The licensee has violated any provision of this division or any rule or regulation made by the commissioner under and within the authority of this division.

1 (c) A fact or condition exists that, if it had existed at the time of the original
2 application for the license, reasonably would have warranted the commissioner
3 in refusing to issue the license originally.

4 IV

5 The Commissioner finds that, by reason of the foregoing, Respondent has violated
6 California Financial Code section 22112, and based thereon, grounds exist to revoke the
7 California Finance Lender license of Respondent.

8 WHEREFORE, IT IS PRAYED that the California finance lender license of
9 Respondent be revoked.

10 DATED: September 14, 2011
11 Los Angeles, California

12 PRESTON DuFAUCHARD
13 California Corporations Commissioner

14 By _____
15 PATRICIA R. SPEIGHT
16 Special Administrator
17 California Finance Lenders Law
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28