orations
nt of Corpora
Department of (
alifornia -
State of Ca

	DEMETRIOS A. BOUTRIS (124161) California Corporations Commissioner VIRGINIA JO DUNLAP (142221) Assistant Commissioner DONALD A. NEWBOLD (201167) Corporations Counsel 1515 K Street, Suite 200 Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 324-5217 Fax: (916) 445-6985 Attorneys for People of the State of California	FILED MARCH 26, 2003	
	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN			
	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, by and through the CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS	Case No.: CV020391	
	COMMISSIONER,	COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES AND	
	Plaintiff,	ANCILLARY RELIEF	
	VS.	(Corporations Code §§ 25110, 25210,	
	DONALD T. KEMPLEN, III, and DOE ONE through TEN inclusive,	25401, 25530, and 25535)	
	Defendants.		

Demetrios A. Boutris, California Corporations Commissioner, (hereinafter "Commissioner"), acting to protect the public from unlawful and unlicensed securities brokering activity; the issuance of unqualified securities; and from false statements or omissions of material information in the same; brings this action in the public interest in the name of the People of the State of California. The People of the State of California allege on information and belief as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. The People file this complaint and institute this proceeding pursuant to section 25530 of the California Corporations Code seeking injunctive relief, ancillary relief, and civil money penalties due to violations by the Defendants of the California

1 Corporate Securities Law of 1968, Corporations Code section 25000 et seq. Specifically, 2 the People seek to enjoin Defendant Donald Kemplen, including fictitious name TCA 3 Stock Club, and Defendants Doe One through Ten from engaging in the business of a 4 securities broker-dealer without having first secured from the California Corporations 5 Commissioner a certificate authorizing Defendants to act in that capacity; from issuing 6 ungualified securities in the form of memberships in the TCA Stock Club without having 7 first qualified the security; and from soliciting and obtaining funds from investors by 8 means of untrue statements of a material fact or omissions of material fact.

2. Jurisdiction and venue as to each Defendant is proper in this judicial district 10 pursuant to provisions of California Corporations Code sections 25530 and 25535, and sections 393, 395(a), and 395.5 of the California Code of Civil Procedure. Violations of 12 provisions of the Corporate Securities Law of 1968 subject the person or entity to civil 13 penalties pursuant to Corporations Code section 25535. The contract was entered into 14 between an investor and Defendant Donald T. Kemplen, III, doing business as TCA 15 Stock Club, in the City of Stockton, California. People's cause of action arose within the 16 County of San Joaquin, and the transaction at issue took place in this County. The 17 unlawful acts hereinafter alleged had a direct effect on a resident within the State of 18 California and, more particularly, within the County of San Joaquin.

DEFENDANTS

20 3. Defendant Donald T. Kemplen, III, and Does One through Ten, inclusive, 21 hereinafter "Defendant", is a natural person believed to be residing presently in the 22 County of Stanislaus and at all times mentioned herein, was doing business under the 23 fictitious name of TCA Stock Club. During the period covered by the complaint, 24 Defendant, individually and doing business under the fictitious name TCA Stock Club, 25 engaged in the business of a securities broker-dealer, issued ungualified securities in the 26 form of memberships in TCA Stock Club, and made material false statements to an 27 investor all in violation of Corporations Code sections 25110, 25210, and 25401. 28 Defendant's activities as a securities broker-dealer, which involved violations of law

9

11

19

1 || hereinafter described, occurred primarily in the County of San Joaquin.

4. The People are unaware of the true names or capacities of the defendants
sued herein under the fictitious names Doe One through Doe Ten inclusive. The People
will amend this complaint to show the true name of each such defendant when the same
has been ascertained. Doe defendants, One through Ten, are believed to be residents of
California and employees, agents, co-venturers, or co-conspirators of Defendant, and
were acting in their respective capacities in doing the acts complained of, thereby
imputing liability to each other.

FACTUAL SUMMARY

5. On or about May 2000, Defendant Donald Kemplen holding himself out as a securities broker-dealer or investment adviser attracted one or more investors to invest funds in TCA Stock Club, a fictitious business name. Defendant told one "Investor," that the Defendant with offices in Salinas, California, and Manteca, California, invested money for clients. Defendant assured the Investor that if the Investor wanted to invest his money through the Defendant the investment would be safe and that the most the Investor could lose would be 10% of his investment.

6. The Investor decided to invest and on May 17, 2000, he went to the Defendant's home in Stockton. The Defendant told the Investor that he had better be investing at least \$5,000. The minimum investment in TCA Stock Club was \$5,000. The Investor wrote two checks totaling \$11,000 and gave both of the checks to the Defendant to invest. The Defendant had the sole discretion as to what investment the Investor's funds would be placed.

7. But instead of investing the \$11,000 as promised, on June 14, 2000, Defendant invested only \$5,000 and converted the remaining money to his own personal use. Subsequent reports issued by the Defendant to the Investor falsely stated that \$11,000 had been invested. The Defendant sent a letter dated February 26, 2001, with

27

///

///

COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES AND ANCILLARY RELIEF

-3-

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1	attachments, to the Investor, which indicated that Investor's entire investment of \$11,000			
2				
3 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION				
4 UNLICENSED BROKER-DEALER ACTIVITY				
5	(Corporations Code §25210) AS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS			
6	8. The People reallege and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1			
7	through 7 as though set forth at length herein.			
8	9. Corporations Code section 25210(a) sets forth the broker-dealer licensure			
9	requirement as follows:			
10	Unless exempted under the provisions of Chapter 1 (commencing with			
11	Section 25200) of this part, no broker-dealer shall effect any transaction in, or induce or attempt to induce the purchase or sale of, any security in			
12 13	this state unless the broker-dealer has first applied for and secured from the commissioner a certificate, then in effect, authorizing that person to act in that capacity.			
14	10. Corporations Code section 25530 states in part:			
15	(a) Whenever it appears to the commissioner that any person has			
16	engaged or is about to engage in any act or practice constituting a violation of any provision of this division or any rule or order hereunder,			
17	the commissioner may in the commissioner's discretion bring an action in the name of the people of the State of California in the superior court to enjoin the acts or practices or to enforce compliance with this law or any			
18	rule or order hereunder. Upon a proper showing, a permanent or preliminary injunction, restraining order, or writ of mandate shall be			
19	granted and a receiver, monitor, conservator, or other designated fiduciary or officer of the court may be appointed for the defendant or the			
20	defendant's assets, or any other ancillary relief may be granted as appropriate.			
21	(b) If the commissioner determines it is in the public interest, the commissioner may include in any action authorized by subdivision (a) a			
22 23	claim for ancillary relief, including but not limited to, a claim for restitution or disgorgement or damages on behalf of the persons injured by the act or			
23	practice constituting the subject matter of the action, and the court shall have jurisdiction to award additional relief.			
25				
26	11. Corporations Code section 25535(a) provides:			
27	(a) Any person who violates any provision of this law, or who violates any rule or order under this law, shall be liable for a civil penalty not to exceed			
28	twenty-five thousand dollars (\$ 25,000) for each violation, which shall be assessed and recovered in a civil action brought in the name of the people			
	-4-			
	COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES AND ANCILLARY RELIEF			

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of the State of California by the commissioner in any court of competent jurisdiction.

12. The Defendant, including the fictitious name TCA Stock Club, and all Doe Defendants, are not licensed to engage in the business of a securities broker-dealer by the State of California, or any other similar licensing entity. Beginning at a time in or about June of 2000, defendants, and each of them, willfully and unlawfully engaged in the business of a securities broker-dealer in the State of California by acting in such capacity without securing from the Corporations Commissioner or any similar licensing entity a certificate authorizing defendants to act as securities broker-dealers. The Defendant's unlawful acts include, but are not limited to, engaging in a course of business of effecting the transactions of securities when the Defendant bought and sold securities using funds provided by one or more investors.

13. The Defendant's pattern of conduct, as set forth above, demonstrates the necessity for granting permanent injunctive and ancillary relief restraining such and similar acts in violation of section 25210, as well as imposition of appropriate civil penalties, and other remedies as deemed appropriate at trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against defendants, and each of them, as set forth below.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

OFFER AND SALE OF SECURITY WITHOUT ITS BEING QUALIFIED (Corporations Code § 25110) AS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

14. The People reallege and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 13, inclusive, as though set forth at length herein.

15. Corporations Code section 25110 makes it unlawful to offer or sell in this
state any security, unless exempted, that has not been qualified. Section 25110
provides, in part:

28 || ///

-5-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It is unlawful for any person to offer or sell in this state any security in an issuer transaction (other than in a transaction subject to Section 25120), whether or not by or through underwriters, unless such sale has been qualified under Section 25111, 25112 or 25113 (and no order under Section 25140 or subdivision (a) of Section 25143 is in effect with respect to such qualification) or unless such security or transaction is exempted or not subject to qualification under Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 25100) of this part.

16. The Defendant, including the fictitious name TCA Stock Club, and all Doe Defendants, issued securities involving memberships in the TCA Stock Club and the securities were not qualified with the Department of Corporations or exempted from such qualification. Beginning at a time in or about May of 2000, defendants, and each of them, willfully and unlawfully issued a membership in the TCA Stock Club to one or more investors without qualifying the security with the Corporations Commissioner. The Defendant's unlawful acts include, but are not limited to, issuing memberships in the TCA Stock Club involving a minimum investment of \$5,000 where Defendant had sole authority to invest the funds provided by one or more investors.

17. The Defendant's pattern of conduct, as set forth above, demonstrates the necessity for granting permanent injunctive and ancillary relief restraining such and similar acts in violation of section 25210, as well as imposition of appropriate civil penalties, and other remedies as deemed appropriate at trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against defendants, and each of them, as set forth below.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

OFFER OR SALE OF A SECURITY BY MEANS OF WRITTEN & ORAL COMMUNICATIONS CONTAINING UNTRUE STATEMENTS OR OMISSIONS OF MATERIAL FACT (Corporations Code § 25401) AS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

18. The People reallege and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1
through 17, inclusive, as though set forth at length herein.

28 ////

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 19. Corporations Code section 25401 makes it unlawful to offer or sell a
 2 security by means of any written or oral statements which include an untrue statement of
 3 material fact or omits to state a material fact. Section 25401 states:

It is unlawful for any person to offer or sell a security in this state or buy or offer to buy a security in this state by means of any written or oral communication which includes an untrue statement of a material fact or omits to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading.

20. The Defendant, including the fictitious name TCA Stock Club, and all Doe Defendants engaged in the unlawful offer and sale of a security by means of untrue statements of a material fact or omitted to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, when defendants, and each of them, offered and/or sold to one or more investors securities in the form of a membership in the TCA Stock Club.

21. The Defendant told Investor, among other things, that the Defendant had offices in Manteca, California, and Salinas, California; that his investment would be safe; and, that the Investor could lose no more than 10% of the money the Investor had invested due to certain safeguards the Defendant had established. The Investor joined TCA Stock Club giving the Defendant \$11,000 to invest. The Defendant did not have offices as an investment adviser or stock broker-dealer in Manteca, California, and Salinas, California. The investment was not safe and the Investor lost his entire investment.

22 22. The Defendant made oral and written statements to the Investor that the
23 entire \$11,000 would be invested. The Defendant invested only \$5,000 of the Investor's
24 funds and converted the remaining \$6,000 for his own personal use.

25 23. The Defendant's pattern of conduct, as set forth above, demonstrates the
26 necessity for granting permanent injunctive and ancillary relief restraining such and
27 similar acts in violation of section 25401, as well as imposition of appropriate civil
28 penalties, and other remedies as deemed appropriate at trial.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, the People pray for judgment against all defendants, and each of them, as follows:

1. That pursuant to Corporations Code section 25530 the Defendant doing business as fictitious name TCA Stock Club, and all Doe defendants and their agents, servants, employees, associates, officers, representatives, and all persons acting under or in concert with or for them, with actual or constructive notice of any injunction or restraining order issued in this matter, shall be enjoined and restrained from engaging in the business of a securities broker-dealer in this state in violation of Corporations Code section 25210 without first applying for and securing from the Commissioner of Corporations a certificate authorizing defendants to act in the capacity of a securities broker-dealer unless exempted;

2. That pursuant to Corporations Code section 25530 the Defendant doing business as fictitious name TCA Stock Club, and all Doe defendants and their agents, servants, employees, associates, officers, representatives, and all persons acting under or in concert with or for them, with actual or constructive notice of any injunction or restraining order issued in this matter, shall be enjoined and restrained from engaging in issuing securities in the form of memberships in the fictitious name TCA Stock Club in violations of Corporations Code 25110, unless such security is properly qualified or is exempt from qualification;

3. That pursuant to Corporations Code section 25530 the Defendant doing business as fictitious name TCA Stock Club, and all Doe defendants and their agents, servants, employees, associates, officers, representatives, and all persons acting under or in concert with or for them, with actual or constructive notice of any injunction or restraining order issued in this matter, shall be enjoined and restrained from violating Corporations Code section 25401 in the offer or sale of a security by making untrue statements of a material fact or omitting to state a fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not 1 || misleading;

4. For an order requiring defendants to make restitution to the investors in the principal amount paid by each investor to whom securities were sold by means of the unlawful conduct of defendants, described herein, less the amount of any repayment of principal to any such investor by any defendant named in this Complaint, with interest from the date of investment on the amount of principal contribution remaining unpaid.

5. That, pursuant to Section 25530(b), defendants, and each of them, be ordered to disgorge all profits derived by them from the violations of law alleged herein.

6. That pursuant to Corporations Code section 25535 the Defendant doing business as fictitious name TCA Stock Club, Doe Defendants, and each of them, be assessed a civil penalty in an amount not to exceed Twenty Five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000) for each separate violation of Corporations Code sections 25210, 25110, and 25401 committed by defendants as alleged in the First, Second, and Third Causes of Action, according to proof at trial;

7. That the People recover its costs of suit herein, including costs of investigation;

8. For such and further relief as the court may deem just and proper.

Dated: March 24, 2003

DEMETRIOS A. BOUTRIS California Corporations Commissioner

By: /S/ DONALD A. NEWBOLD Attorney for the Plaintiff People of the State of California

State of California - Department of Corporations

COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES AND ANCILLARY RELIEF