		_		
	SUMMONS	ODV		SUM-100
-	TACION JUDICIAL)			T USE ONLY SO DE LA CORTE)
NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):				
ISA LADYCARE LIFETIME, A COL	rporation; USA LADYCARE LIFE	TIME, a limited	CONFORM	FDCODY
individually and doing busing	CARE LIFETIME, a partnership ness as USA LADYCARE LIFETIM	E; OTHA COLE,	CF ORIGINA	LE COPY
individually and as a partne	er of USA LADYCARE LIFETIME	partnership;	Los Angeles Su	perior Court
ANTHONY O'NEAL, individuall;	y and doing business as USA y and as a partner of USA LA	DYCARE LIFETIME	OCT 23	2666
partnership; DOES 1 through	50, inclusive.			
YOU ARE BEING SUED BY	PLAINTIFF:	j	John A. Clarke, Execu By	
(LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO E	EL DEMANDANIE): TATE OF CALIFORNIA, b	ov and through	D. Ciles	Deputy
the California Corpo	prations Commissioner			
	S after this summons and legal pap			
court to hear your case. There m information at the California Cou nearest you. If you cannot pay to lose the case by default, and you. There are other legal requirem attorney referral service. If you c program. You can locate these m Courts Online Self-Help Center (Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALEND/ en esta corte y hacer que se enti- escrito tiene que estar en format pueda usar para su respuesta. California (www.courtinfo.ca.gon puede pagar la cuota de present su respuesta a tiempo, puede po Hay otros requisitos legales. servicio de remisión a abogados legales gratuitos de un program California Legal Services, (www (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/ The name and address of the c		e for your response. To courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp) a fee waiver form. If you be taken without further rney right away. If you do be eligible for free legal s egal Services Web site (v by contacting your local esta citación y papeles la na carta o una llamada te esen su caso en la corte. de la corte y más inform a de leyes de su condado e que le dé un formulario la corte le podrá quitar s abogado inmediatamente o, es posible que cumpla lucro. Puede encontrar	b), your county law libra bu do not file your respondent on the the your respondent www.lawhelpcalifornia. court or county bar as egales para presentar to elefónica no lo proteges the secondent of the the your on the the your respondent of the the your respondent of the the your respondent of the	ry, or the courthouse onse on time, you may t. , you may want to call an fit legal services org), the California sociation. una respuesta por escrito n. Su respuesta por un formulario que usted Ayuda de las Cortes de uede más cerca. Si no de cuotas. Si no presenta tes sin más advertencia. togado, puede llamar a un a obtener servicios de lucro en el sitio web de
(El nombre y dirección de la con LOS ANGELES SUPERIO	rte es):			
111 N. HILL STREET				
LOS ANGELES, CA 90	012			
(El nombre, la dirección y el nú	z, Suite 750	il demandante, o dei de 21	mandante que no tien 3 - 576 - 7586	
DATE: 10/23/06		<u> </u>	ERRIAN CILLS	, Deputy [,] (Adjunto)
(Fecha)	nmons, use Proof of Service of Su	(Secretario) ummons (form POS-010	D).)	(Aujunio)
(Para prueba de entrega de est	ta citatión use el formulario Proot	of Service of Summons	s, (POS-010)).	
[SEAL]	NOTICE TO THE PERSON SER 1. as an individual defend			
OCT				
	2. as the person sued un	der the fictitious name	of (specify):	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	2. as the person sued un	der the fictitious name of	of (specify):	
ISEAL OCT 23 MB	<ol> <li>as the person sued un</li> <li>on behalf of (specify):</li> </ol>	der the fictitious name of	of (specify):	
~ ² 300	<ol> <li>as the person sued unit</li> <li>on behalf of (specify):</li> <li>under: CCP 416.10 (d)</li> </ol>	der the fictitious name of corporation)	CCP 416.0	-
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<ol> <li>as the person sued units</li> <li>on behalf of (specify):</li> <li>under: CCP 416.10 (conditional conditions)</li> </ol>	der the fictitious name of corporation) defunct corporation)	CCP 416.	70 (conservatee)
~ ² 3200	 2. as the person sued unit 3. on behalf of (specify): under: CCP 416.10 (a CCP 416.20 (a CCP 416.40 (a) 	der the fictitious name (corporation) defunct corporation) association or partners	CCP 416.	-
~ ^{~~~~} 3706	 as the person sued units on behalf of (specify): under: CCP 416.10 (conditional conditions) 	der the fictitious name (corporation) defunct corporation) association or partners	CCP 416. CCP 416. hip) CCP 416.	70 (conservatee) 90 (authorized person) Page 1 of
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California	 as the person sued unit on behalf of (specify): under: CCP 416.10 (a CCP 416.20 (a CCP 416.40 (a other (specify) 4. by personal delivery of 	der the fictitious name (corporation) defunct corporation) association or partners	CCP 416.	70 (conservatee) 90 (authorized person)

1 2 3 4 5 6 8 9	PRESTON DuFAUCHARD California Corporations Commissioner WAYNE STRUMPFER Deputy Commissioner ALAN S. WEINGER (BAR NO. 86717) Supervising Attorney UCHE L. ENENWALI (BAR NO. 235832) Corporations Counsel 320 West 4 th Street, Suite 750 Los Angeles, California 90013-2344 Telephone: (213) 576-7586 Fax: (213) 576-7181 Attorneys for the People of the State of California	CONFORMED COPY OF ORIGINAL FILED Los Angeles Superior Court OCT 23 2008 John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk By Deputy D. Giles E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	50121200000	
11	FOR THE COUNTY	OF LOS ANGELESBC360749
12	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	CASE NO.:
13	CALIFORNIA, by and through the	COMPLAINT FOR PRELIMINARY
14	California Corporations Commissioner,	INJUNCTION; PERMANENT INJUNCTION; CIVIL PENALTIES AND ANCILLARY
15	Plaintiff,) RELIEF
16	V.	VIOLATIONS OF CAL. CORP. CODE § 25110 (UNQUALIFIED SALES OF SECURITIES)
17		VIOLATIONS OF CAL. CORP. CODE § 25401
18	USA LADYCARE LIFETIME, a corporation; USA LADYCARE LIFETIME, a limited) (FRAUD IN CONNECTION WITH THE) OFFER AND SALE OF SECURITIES)
19	liability company; USA LADYCARE) VIOLATIONS OF DESIST AND REFRAIN
20	LIFETIME, a partnership; OTHA COLE, individually and doing business as USA	ORDERS
21	LADYCARE LIFETIME; OTHA COLE, individually and as a partner of USA) Date: Time:
22	LADYCARE LIFETIME partnership;) Dept:
23	ANTHONY O'NEAL, individually and doing business as USA LADYCARE LIFETIME;) Judge:) Action Filed:
24	ANTHONY O'NEAL, individually and as a partner of USA LADYCARE LIFETIME)
25	partnership; DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	
26	Defendants.	}
27)
28		·
		N; PERMANENT INJUNCTION; CIVIL PENALTIES LARY RELIEF

÷

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, by and through PRESTON DuFAUCHARD, California Corporations Commissioner ("Commissioner"), allege as follows on information and belief:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. The Commissioner brings this action on behalf of Plaintiff, the People of the State of California, to enjoin Defendants USA LadyCare Lifetime, a corporation; USA LadyCare Lifetime, a limited liability company; USA LadyCare Lifetime, a partnership (collectively "USA LadyCare"); Otha Cole, ("Cole") individually, and doing business as USA LadyCare Lifetime; Otha Cole, individually, and as a partner of USA LadyCare Lifetime partnership; Anthony O'Neal, ("O'Neal") individually, and doing business as USA LadyCare Lifetime; Anthony O'Neal, individually, and as a partner of USA LadyCare Lifetime; Anthony O'Neal, individually, and as a partner of USA LadyCare Lifetime partnership (collectively "Defendants") and DOES 1 through 50 inclusive, from violating the provisions of the California Corporate Securities Law of 1968, ("CSL") (California Corporations Code §25000 et seq.) and to request necessary equitable and ancillary relief. The Commissioner is authorized to administer and enforce the provisions of the CSL and the regulations thereunder at Title 10, California Code of Regulations.

2. The Commissioner brings this action pursuant to California Corporations Code section 25530 and California Government Code section 11180 et seq. in his capacity as head of the California Department of Corporations.

3. Defendants, and each of them, have transacted and continue to transact business within Los Angeles County and other counties in California. The violations of law herein have occurred and will continue to occur, unless enjoined, within Los Angeles County and elsewhere within the State of California.

DEFENDANTS

4. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that defendant USA
LadyCare is a corporation, a limited liability company, or a partnership. Defendant USA
LadyCare's principal place of business is located at 600 E. Ocean Boulevard, #405, Long Beach,
California 90802.

Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that defendant Cole, also 5. known as O.T. Cole, is an individual who conducts business as USA LadyCare; and is a partner of USA LadyCare partnership. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all relevant times hereto, Cole was the chief executive officer and a control person of USA LadyCare within the meaning of Corporations Code sections 160 and 25403. Pursuant to Corporations code section 160 subdivision (a), "control" means the possession, direct or indirect, of the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of the corporation. Defendant Cole is a resident of Los Angeles County.

State of California - Department of Corporations 10 11 12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that defendant O'Neal is an 6. individual who conducts business as USA LadyCare; that O'Neal is a partner of USA LadyCare partnership and that O'Neal was a control person of USA LadyCare within the meaning of Corporations Code sections 160 and 25403. Defendant O'Neal is a resident of Los Angeles County. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that O'Neal is, and was, at all relevant times herein, employed by Cole and USA LadyCare.

Defendants DOES 1 through 50 are persons, corporations, partnerships, or other 7. entities who have done or will do acts otherwise alleged in this Complaint. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on such information and belief alleges, that Defendants DOES 1 through 50 inclusive, at all times mentioned herein, have acted and are continuing to act in concert with the Defendants named herein, and that each of them has participated in the acts and transactions which are the subjects of this Complaint. The true names and capacities of DOES 1 through 50, whether individual, corporate, or otherwise, are unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues such Defendants under such fictitious names, pursuant to the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure section 474. Plaintiff asks leave of the court to amend the Complaint to allege the true names and capacities of such Defendants at such time as the same have been ascertained.

Plaintiff is informed and believes and on such information and belief alleges that, at 8. 25 all relevant times, the Defendants named as officers, directors, agents or employees, acted in such 26 capacities in connection with the acts, practices and schemes of business set forth below. 27

9. Whenever any allegation is made in this Complaint to "Defendants" doing any act, the allegation shall mean the act of each individual Defendant acting individually, jointly and severally and the conspiring of these Defendants to so act. Each Defendant alleged to have committed any act did so pursuant to and in furtherance of a common plan, scheme and conspiracy and as the agent for each and every co-Defendant. Each Defendant acted in conspiracy to violate the provisions of the CSL.

10. Whenever any allegation is made in this Complaint to any business entity doing any act, the allegation shall mean acts done or authorized by the officers, managers, directors, agents, and employees of the Defendant while actively engaged in the management, direction, or control of the affairs of the Defendant, and while acting within the course and scope of their employment.

11. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that, at all times herein mentioned USA LadyCare was an alter ego of Cole.

12. At all times herein mentioned, defendant USA LadyCare was so influenced and controlled by Cole in the conduct of its business and affairs, that there exists a unity of interest and ownership among said parties, so that adherence to the fiction of separate corporate and individual existence would serve to work an injustice upon the public.

17 13. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that, at all times herein
18 mentioned, USA LadyCare was an alter ego of O'Neal.

14. At all times herein mentioned, defendant USA LadyCare was so influenced and controlled by O'Neal in the conduct of its business and affairs, that there exists a unity of interest and ownership among said parties, so that adherence to the fiction of separate corporate and individual existence would serve to work an injustice upon the public.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

15. On or about March 1998, the Commissioner issued Desist and Refrain Orders
directing Cole to desist from selling or offering for sale unqualified non-exempt securities and from
offering or selling securities by means of fraud in violation of sections 25110 and 25401 of the CSL.

16. Since at least October 2003, Defendants have illegally and fraudulently raised a
minimum of \$200,000 by offering and selling securities to the general public, including but not

3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

limited to, eleven members of the From The Heart Church Ministries ("FTHCM"), where Defendant
Cole served as a minister. The securities were in the form of investment contracts in USA LadyCare
referred to as "Principal Investment Agreement," which were offered to prospective investors in
return for their capital investments in USA Lady Care. The purpose of the investments was to raise
funds for Defendants' use in marketing, promoting, advertising and selling an allegedly new medical
device known as LadyCare, in Canada used in treating symptoms of menstrual cramps or discomfort.
Defendants promised investors a 100% return on their investment within six months.

17. Defendants provided each investor a document described as "Principal Investment Agreement" ("Agreement"). Defendants represented that within six months of investing in USA LadyCare, investors would receive a 100% return on their capital investment, which they could reinvest or withdraw along with their principal investment within twelve months. Further, the Agreement stated that Defendants had knowledge and expertise in "marketing, sales, executive business management and investments in corporate America, specifically in the State of California." Under the Agreement, Defendants promised to devote time and effort as necessary to fulfill their obligations and perform services specified in the Agreement, including but not limited to, using investors' money to advertise and market the LadyCare product in order to generate profit for investors.

18. Additionally, on or about April 2005, Cole offered and sold securities worth at least \$100,000 to one of the investors mentioned herein. The security was in the form of an investment contract known as the "C- Street Project." The purported purpose of the investment was to raise funds to acquire vacant land located in Hesperia, California, on which Defendant Cole would build manufactured homes that were to be sold. Cole told the investor that the investment in the C-Street Project would yield a 400% return on the investor's money.

19. Defendants engaged in affinity fraud by offering and selling the securities to members of their church.

26
 20. Defendants did not disclose to investors that the Commissioner had issued desist and
 27
 refrain orders in March 1998 directing Defendant Cole to desist and refrain from selling or offering
 28

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 for sale unqualified non-exempt securities and any securities by means of fraud in violation of 2 sections 25110 and 25401 of the CSL.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

OFFER AND SALE OF UNQUALIFIED, NON-EXEMPT SECURITIES IN VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS CODE SECTION 25110 (Alleged Against All Defendants)

21. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 20 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

22. California Corporations Code section 25110 provides, in pertinent part: It is unlawful for any person to offer or sell in this state any security in an issuer transaction ... unless such sale has been qualified under Section 25111, 25112, or 25113 ... or unless such security or transaction is exempted or not subject to qualification under Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 25100) of this part.

23. Commencing at least as early as October 2003, the Defendants, and each of them, offered and sold securities in issuer transactions, in the State of California.

24. The investments offered and sold by Defendants, and each of them, are "securities" within the meaning of California Corporations Code section 25019. The securities include, but are not limited to, investment contracts in USA LadyCare referred to as "Principal Investment Agreement."

25. The sales referred to herein, were "issuer transactions" within the meaning of sections 25010 and 25011 of the California Corporations Code.

26. The Defendants "offered and sold" the securities referred to herein in the state of California within the meaning of California Corporations Code sections 25008 and 25017.

27. The Commissioner has not issued a permit or other form of qualification authorizing the Defendants, and each of them, to offer and sell the securities referred to herein in the state of California.

28. The offer and sale of securities referred to herein are not exempt from the requirement of qualification under California Corporations Code section 25110.

State of California - Department of Corporations

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Defendants and each of them, offered or sold, unqualified, non-exempt securities in 29. violation of California Corporations Code section 25110. Unless enjoined by this Court, Defendants and each of them will continue to violate California Corporations Code section 25110.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

OFFER AND SALE OF UNQUALIFIED, NON-EXEMPT SECURITIES IN VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS CODE SECTION 25110 (Alleged Against Defendant Cole)

Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 29 of this Complaint as 30. though fully set forth herein.

On or about April 2005, Cole offered and sold, securities in issuer transactions, in the 31. State of California.

The investments offered and sold by Cole are "securities" within the meaning of 32. California Corporations Code section 25019. The securities include, but are not limited to, investment contracts referred to as the "C-Street Project."

The sales referred to herein, were "issuer transactions" within the meaning of sections 33. 25010 and 25011 of the California Corporations Code.

Cole "offered and sold" the securities referred to herein in the state of California 34. within the meaning of California Corporations Code sections 25008 and 25017.

The Commissioner has not issued a permit or other form of qualification authorizing 35. Cole to offer and sell the securities referred to herein in the state of California.

The offer and sale of securities referred to herein are not exempt from the requirement 36. of qualification under California Corporations Code section 25110.

Cole offered or sold, unqualified, non-exempt securities in violation of California 37. 23 Corporations Code section 25110. Unless enjoined by this Court, Cole will continue to violate 24 California Corporations Code section 25110. 25

/// 26

/// 27

111 28

State of California - Department of Corporations 10 11 12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION MISREPRESENTATIONS OR OMISSIONS OF MATERIAL FACTS IN VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS CODE SECTION 25401 (Alleged Against All Defendants) Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 37 of this Complaint as 38. though fully set forth herein: California Corporations Code section 25401 provides as follows: 39. It is unlawful for any person to offer or sell a security in this state or buy or offer to buy a security in this state by means of any written or oral communication which includes an untrue statement of a material fact or omits to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading. In offering and selling the securities referred to herein, Defendants made untrue 40.

statements or misrepresentations, and omitted to state, material facts to some or all prospective or existing investors. The misrepresentations and/or omissions of material facts included, but are not necessarily limited to, the following:

a. Defendant Cole's representation that the investment would yield high returns and that investors would receive a 100% return on investment within six months. These statements had no reasonable basis in fact. To date investors have not received either the promised return or their initial capital.

b. Defendants' failure to disclose to investors that in or about March 1998, the Commissioner issued Orders to Defendant Cole to desist and refrain from offering for sale or selling unqualified non-exempt securities or any securities by means of fraud in the State of California in violation of sections 25110 and 25401 of the California Corporations Code.

The misrepresentations and omissions referred to herein were of "material facts" 41. within the meaning of California Corporations Code section 25401 since they concerned matters which a "reasonable investor" would consider in deciding whether to invest.

Defendants' offer and sale of securities were by means of misrepresentations and 42. 27 omissions within the meaning of Section 25401. 28

1 43. Some or all of Defendants' misrepresentations and omissions of material fact took, 2 place "within the state" of California within the meaning of Section 25008. 3 44. Unless enjoined by this Court, defendants and each of them will continue to violate 4 California Corporations Code section 25401. 5 FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION 6 VIOLATIONS OF DESIST AND REFRAIN ORDERS 7 (Alleged Against Defendant Cole) 8 45. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 44 of this Complaint as 9 though fully set forth herein. 10 46. Corporations Code sections 25532(a) provides, as follows: 11 If, in the opinion of the commissioner, (1) the sale of a security is subject to qualification under this law and it is being or has been offered or sold without first being qualified, the commissioner may order the issuer or offerer of the security to ide is and refrain from the further offer or a fale of the security until qualification has been made under this law or (2) the sale of a security is subject to the requirements of Section 25100.1, or 25101.1, or 25101.1, and the security is of the security on the suber offered or sold without first meeting the requirements of those sections, the commissioner may order the issuer or offerer of the security is subject to the requirements of the security is subject to the recontifter or sold without first meeting the re						
3 44. Unless enjoined by this Court, defendants and each of them will continue to violate 4 California Corporations Code section 25401. 5 FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION 6 VIOLATIONS OF DESIST AND REFRAIN ORDERS 7 (Alleged Against Defendant Cole) 8 45. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 44 of this Complaint as 9 though fully set forth herein. 10 46. Corporations Code sections 25532(a) provides, as follows: 11 If, in the opinion of the commissioner, (1) the sale of a security is subject to qualification under this law and it is being or has been offered or sold without first being qualified, the commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of the security unduffication has been made under this law or (2) the sale of a security is ubject to the requirements of Section 25100.1, 25101.1, or 25102.1 and the security is being or has been offered or sold without first meeting the requirements of those sections, the commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of that security to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security is ubject to the requirements of those sections 25532(c) provides, as follows: 18 If, in the opinion of the commissioner, a person has violated or is violating Section 25401, the commissioner may order that person to desist and refrain from the violation. 20 An March 29, 1998, the Commissioner issued Desist and Refrain Orders, against 10 21 Cole, directing him to desist and refrain from offer	1	43. Some or all of Defendants' misrepresentations and omissions of material fact took				
4 California Corporations Code section 25401. 5 FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION 6 VIOLATIONS OF DESIST AND REFRAIN ORDERS 7 (Alleged Against Defendant Cole) 8 45. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 44 of this Complaint as 9 though fully set forth herein. 10 46. Corporations Code sections 25532(a) provides, as follows: 11 If, in the opinion of the commissioner (1) the sale of a security is subject to qualification under this law and it is being or has been offered or sold without first being qualified, the commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of the security to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security is subject to the requirements of boxes sections, subject to the requirements of those sections, the commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of that security to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security is being or has been offered or sold without first meeting the requirements of those sections, the commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of that security to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security until those requirements have been met. 16 Corporations Code sections 25532(c) provides, as follows: 17 If, in the opinion of the commissioner issued Desist and refrain from the violation. 18 If, in the opinion of the commissioner issued Desist and Refrain Orders, against 25401, the commissioner may order that person to desist and refrain from the violation. <td< td=""><td>2</td><td colspan="5">place "within the state" of California within the meaning of Section 25008.</td></td<>	2	place "within the state" of California within the meaning of Section 25008.				
5 FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION 6 VIOLATIONS OF DESIST AND REFRAIN ORDERS 7 (Alleged Against Defendant Cole) 8 45. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 44 of this Complaint as 9 though fully set forth herein. 10 46. Corporations Code sections 25532(a) provides, as follows: 11 If, in the opinion of the commissioner, (1) the sale of a security is subject to qualification under this law and it is being or has been offered or sold without first being qualified, the commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of the security to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security until qualification has been made under this law or (2) the sale of a security is ubject to the requirements of Section 25100.1, 25101.1, or 25102.1 and the security to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security is being or has been offered or sold without first meeting the requirements of those sections, the commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of that security to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security is being or has been offered or sold without first meeting the requirements have been met. 16 Corporations Code sections 25532(c) provides, as follows: 17 If, in the opinion of the commissioner, a person has violated or is violating Section 25401, the commissioner may order that person to desist and refrain from the violation. 18 If, in the opinion of the commissioner issued Desist and Refrain Orders, against violation of sections 25110 and 25401 of the Corporations Code.	3	44. Unless enjoined by this Court, defendants and each of them will continue to violate				
6 VIOLATIONS OF DESIST AND REFRAIN ORDERS 7 (Alleged Against Defendant Cole) 8 45. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 44 of this Complaint as 9 though fully set forth herein. 10 46. Corporations Code sections 25532(a) provides, as follows: 11 If, in the opinion of the commissioner, (1) the sale of a security is subject to qualification under this law and it is being or has been offered or sold without first being qualified, the commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of the security until qualification has been made under this law and (2) the sale of a security is subject to the requirements of Section 25100.1, 25101.1, or 25102.1 and the security is using or has been offered or sold without first meeting the requirements of those sections, the commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of that security to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security until qualification has been offered or sold vithout first meeting the requirements have been met. 16 Corporations Code sections 25532(c) provides, as follows: 17 If, in the opinion of the commissioner issued Desist and refrain from the violation. 18 If, on March 29, 1998, the Commissioner issued Desist and Refrain Orders, against Cole, directing him to desist and refrain from offering or selling or buying of offering to buy any unqualified, non-exempt security and any security by means of fraud in the State of California in violation of sections 25101 of the Corporations Code. 24 0n June 9, 1998, the Desist and Refrain Orders, Cole conti	4	California Corporations Code section 25401.				
7 (Alleged Against Defendant Cole) 8 45. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 44 of this Complaint as 9 though fully set forth herein. 10 46. Corporations Code sections 25532(a) provides, as follows: 11 If, in the opinion of the commissioner, (1) the sale of a security is subject to qualification under this law and it is being or has been offerer of the security to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security until qualification has been made under this law or (2) the sale of a security is subject to the requirements of Section 25100.1, 25101.1, or 25102.1 and the security is subject to the commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of that been offered or sold without first meeting the requirements of those sections, the commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of that security to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security until dualification has been offered or sold without first meeting the requirements have been met. 16 Corporations Code sections 25532(c) provides, as follows: 18 If, in the opinion of the commissioner, a person has violated or is violating Section 25401, the commissioner may order that person to desist and refrain from the violation. 20 On March 29, 1998, the Commissioner issued Desist and Refrain Orders, against 21 Cole, directing him to desist and refrain from offering or selling or buying of offering to buy any 21 unqualified, non-exempt security and any security by means of fraud in the State of California in <	5	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION				
 45. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 44 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein. 46. Corporations Code sections 25532(a) provides, as follows: 11 If, in the opinion of the commissioner, (1) the sale of a security is subject to qualification under this law and it is being or has been offered or sold without first being qualified, the commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of the security to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security until qualification has been made under this law or (2) the sale of a security is subject to the requirements of Section 25100.1, 25101.1, or 25102.1 and the security is being or has been offered or sold without first meeting the requirements of those sections, the commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of the security until those requirements of the security is subject to the commissioner may order the issuer or offer or sale of the security until those requirements have been met. Corporations Code sections 25532(c) provides, as follows: If, in the opinion of the commissioner, a person has violated or is violating Section 25401, the commissioner may order that person to desist and refrain from the violation. 47. On March 29, 1998, the Commissioner issued Desist and Refrain Orders, against Cole, directing him to desist and refrain from offering or selling or buying of offering to buy any unqualified, non-exempt security and any security by means of fraud in the State of California in violation of sections 25110 and 25401 of the Corporations Code. 48. On June 9, 1998, the Desist and Refrain Orders, Cole continued to offer and seel unqualified, non-exempt securities and securities by means of fraud in violation of the securities and securities by means of fraud in violation of the securities and securities by means of fraud in violation of the securities and securities by means of fraud in violation of the securities and securities by means of fr	6	VIOLATIONS OF DESIST AND REFRAIN ORDERS				
 though fully set forth herein. 46. Corporations Code sections 25532(a) provides, as follows: If, in the opinion of the commissioner, (1) the sale of a security is subject to qualification under this law and it is being or has been offered or sold without first being qualified, the commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of the security to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security is subject to the requirements of Section 25100.1, 25101.1, or 25102.1 and the security is subject to the requirements of section 25100.1, 25101.1, or of that security to be set and the refrain from the further offer or sale of the security is subject to the requirements of section 25100.1, 25101.1, or 25102.1 and the security is subject to the requirements of section 25100.1, 25101.1, or 25102.1 and the security is subject to the requirements of section 25100.1, 25101.1, or 25102.1 and the security is subject to the requirements of section 25100.1, 25101.1, or 25102.1 and the security is subject to the requirements of section 25100.1, 25101.1, or 25102.1 and the security is subject to the requirements of section 25100.1, 25101.1, or 25102.1 and the security is subject to the requirements of security or their besits and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security until those requirements have been met. Corporations Code sections 25532(c) provides, as follows: If, in the opinion of the commissioner, a person has violated or is violating Section 25401, the commissioner may order that person to desist and refrain from the violation. 47. On March 29, 1998, the Commissioner issued Desist and Refrain Orders, against violation of sections 25110 and 25401 of the Corporations Code. 48. On June 9, 1998, the Desist and Refrain Orders were personally served on Cole. Cole did not request an administrative hearing to contest either of the Desist and Refrain Orders. 49. Notwithstanding receipt of the Desist and Refrain Orders, Cole continue	7	(Alleged Against Defendant Cole)				
10 46. Corporations Code sections 25532(a) provides, as follows: 11 If, in the opinion of the commissioner, (1) the sale of a security is subject to qualification under this law and it is being or has been offered or sold without first being qualified, the commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of the security to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security unqualification has been made under this law or (2) the sale of a security is subject to the requirements of Section 25100.1, 25101.1, or 25102.1 and the security is being or has been offered or sold without first meeting the requirements of those sections, the commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of that security to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security the order or sale of the security or sale of the security to its and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security the order or sale of the security until those requirements have been met. 16 Corporations Code sections 25532(c) provides, as follows: 17 Corporations Code sections 25532(c) provides, as follows: 18 If, in the opinion of the commissioner, a person has violated or is violating Section 25401, the commissioner may order that person to desist and refrain from the violation. 20 47. On March 29, 1998, the Commissioner issued Desist and Refrain Orders, against 21 Cole, directing him to desist and refrain from offering or selling or buying of offering to buy any unqualified, non-exempt security and any security by means of fraud in the State of California in violation of sections 25110 and 25401 of the Corporations Code. 22 48. On June 9, 1998, the De	8	45. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 44 of this Complaint as				
11 If, in the opinion of the commissioner, (1) the sale of a security is subject to qualification under this law and it is being or has been offered or sold without first being qualified, the commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of the security to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security until qualification has been made under this law or (2) the sale of a security is subject to the requirements of Section 25100.1, 25101.1, or 25102.1 and the security is being or has been offered or sold without first meeting the requirements of those sections, the commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of that security to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security is being or has been offered or sold without first meeting the requirements of those sections, the commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of that security to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security until those requirements have been met. 16 Corporations Code sections 25532(c) provides, as follows: 18 If, in the opinion of the commissioner, a person has violated or is violating Section 25401, the commissioner may order that person to desist and refrain from the violation. 20 47. On March 29, 1998, the Commissioner issued Desist and Refrain Orders, against 21 Cole, directing him to desist and refrain from offering or selling or buying of offering to buy any unqualified, non-exempt security and any security by means of fraud in the State of California in violation of sections 25110 and 25401 of the Corporations Code. 23 48. On June 9, 1998, the Desist and Refrain Orders, Cole continued to offer and sell unqualified, non-exempt securities and securities by means of fraud in violation of the se	9	though fully set forth herein.				
12 qualification under this law and it is being or has been offered or sold without first being qualified, the commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of the security to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security is subject to the requirements of Section 25100.1, 25101.1, or 25102.1 and the security is subject to the requirements of Section 25100.1, 25101.1, or 25102.1 and the security is subject to the requirements of Section 25100.1, 25101.1, or 25102.1 and the security is subject to the requirements of Section 25100.1, 25101.1, or 25102.1 and the security is subject to the requirements of Section 25100.1, 25101.1, or 25102.1 and the security is subject to the requirements of or sale of the security until those requirements of those sections, the commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of that security to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security until those requirements have been met. 16 Corporations Code sections 25532(c) provides, as follows: 18 If, in the opinion of the commissioner, a person has violated or is violating Section 25401, the commissioner may order that person to desist and refrain from the violation. 20 47. On March 29, 1998, the Commissioner issued Desist and Refrain Orders, against Cole, directing him to desist and refrain from offering or selling or buying of offering to buy any unqualified, non-exempt security and any security by means of fraud in the State of California in violation of sections 25110 and 25401 of the Corporations Code. 24 48. On June 9, 1998, the Desist and Refrain Orders, Cole continued to offer and sell unqualified, non-exempt securities and securities by means of fraud in violation of the cole. Cole did not request an administrative hearing to contest either of the Desist	10	46. Corporations Code sections 25532(a) provides, as follows:				
12 qualification under this law and it is being or has been offered or sold without first being qualified, the commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of the security to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security is subject to the requirements of Section 25100.1, 25101.1, or 25102.1 and the security is subject to the requirements of Section 25100.1, 25101.1, or 25102.1 and the security is subject to the requirements of Section 25100.1, 25101.1, or 25102.1 and the security is subject to the requirements of Section 25100.1, 25101.1, or 25102.1 and the security is subject to the requirements of Section 25100.1, 25101.1, or 25102.1 and the security is subject to the requirements of or sale of the security until those requirements of those sections, the commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of that security to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security until those requirements have been met. 16 Corporations Code sections 25532(c) provides, as follows: 18 If, in the opinion of the commissioner, a person has violated or is violating Section 25401, the commissioner may order that person to desist and refrain from the violation. 20 47. On March 29, 1998, the Commissioner issued Desist and Refrain Orders, against Cole, directing him to desist and refrain from offering or selling or buying of offering to buy any unqualified, non-exempt security and any security by means of fraud in the State of California in violation of sections 25110 and 25401 of the Corporations Code. 24 48. On June 9, 1998, the Desist and Refrain Orders, Cole continued to offer and sell unqualified, non-exempt securities and securities by means of fraud in violation of the cole. Cole did not request an administrative hearing to contest either of the Desist	11	If, in the opinion of the commissioner, (1) the sale of a security is subject to	,			
13 desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security is until qualification has been made under this law or (2) the sale of a security is being or has been offered or sold without first meeting the requirements of those sections, the commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of that security to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security is being or has been offered or sold without first meeting the requirements of those sections, the commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of that security to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security until dualities. 16 Corporations Code sections 25532(c) provides, as follows: 18 If, in the opinion of the commissioner, a person has violated or is violating Section 25401, the commissioner may order that person to desist and refrain from the violation. 20 47. On March 29, 1998, the Commissioner issued Desist and Refrain Orders, against 21 Cole, directing him to desist and refrain from offering or selling or buying of offering to buy any unqualified, non-exempt security and any security by means of fraud in the State of California in violation of sections 25110 and 25401 of the Corporations Code. 23 48. On June 9, 1998, the Desist and Refrain Orders, Cole continued to offer and sell unqualified, non-exempt securities and securities by means of fraud in violation of the cole. 24 8 Notwithstanding receipt of the Desist and Refrain Orders, Cole continued to offer and sell unqualified, non-exempt securities and securities by means of fraud in violation of the cole.	12	qualification under this law and it is being or has been offered or sold without first being qualified the commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of the security to	t D			
14 Section 25100.1, 25101.1, or 25102.1 and the security is being or has been offered or sold without first meeting the requirements of those sections, the commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of that security to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security until those requirements have been met. 16 Corporations Code sections 25532(c) provides, as follows: 18 If, in the opinion of the commissioner, a person has violated or is violating Section 25401, the commissioner may order that person to desist and refrain from the violation. 20 47. On March 29, 1998, the Commissioner issued Desist and Refrain Orders, against 21 Cole, directing him to desist and refrain from offering or selling or buying of offering to buy any unqualified, non-exempt security and any security by means of fraud in the State of California in violation of sections 25110 and 25401 of the Corporations Code. 24 48. On June 9, 1998, the Desist and Refrain Orders, Cole continued to offer and sell unqualified, non-exempt security of the Desist and Refrain Orders. 26 49. Notwithstanding receipt of the Desist and Refrain Orders, Cole continued to offer and sell unqualified, non-exempt securities and securities by means of fraud in violation of the commission of the Desist and Refrain Orders, Cole continued to offer and sell unqualified, non-exempt securities and securities by means of fraud in violation of the commission of the Desist and Refrain Orders.	13	desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security until qualification has	S			
15 order the issuer or offeror of that security to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security until those requirements have been met. 16 Corporations Code sections 25532(c) provides, as follows: 18 If, in the opinion of the commissioner, a person has violated or is violating Section 25401, the commissioner may order that person to desist and refrain from the violation. 20 47. On March 29, 1998, the Commissioner issued Desist and Refrain Orders, against 21 Cole, directing him to desist and refrain from offering or selling or buying of offering to buy any 22 unqualified, non-exempt security and any security by means of fraud in the State of California in 23 violation of sections 25110 and 25401 of the Corporations Code. 24 48. On June 9, 1998, the Desist and Refrain Orders were personally served on Cole. Cole 25 did not request an administrative hearing to contest either of the Desist and Refrain Orders. 26 49. Notwithstanding receipt of the Desist and Refrain Orders, Cole continued to offer and sell unqualified, non-exempt securities and securities by means of fraud in violation of the 28	14	Section 25100 1, 25101.1, or 25102.1 and the security is being or has been offered of	r i			
16 Corporations Code sections 25532(c) provides, as follows: 18 If, in the opinion of the commissioner, a person has violated or is violating Section 25401, the commissioner may order that person to desist and refrain from the violation. 20 47. On March 29, 1998, the Commissioner issued Desist and Refrain Orders, against 21 Cole, directing him to desist and refrain from offering or selling or buying of offering to buy any 22 unqualified, non-exempt security and any security by means of fraud in the State of California in 23 violation of sections 25110 and 25401 of the Corporations Code. 24 48. On June 9, 1998, the Desist and Refrain Orders were personally served on Cole. Cole 25 did not request an administrative hearing to contest either of the Desist and Refrain Orders. 26 49. Notwithstanding receipt of the Desist and Refrain Orders, Cole continued to offer and 27 sell unqualified, non-exempt securities and securities by means of fraud in violation of the	15	order the issuer or offeror of that security to desist and refrain from the further offer	r			
17 If, in the opinion of the commissioner, a person has violated or is violating Section 25401, the commissioner may order that person to desist and refrain from the violation. 20 47. On March 29, 1998, the Commissioner issued Desist and Refrain Orders, against 21 Cole, directing him to desist and refrain from offering or selling or buying of offering to buy any 22 unqualified, non-exempt security and any security by means of fraud in the State of California in 23 violation of sections 25110 and 25401 of the Corporations Code. 24 48. On June 9, 1998, the Desist and Refrain Orders were personally served on Cole. Cole 25 did not request an administrative hearing to contest either of the Desist and Refrain Orders. 26 49. Notwithstanding receipt of the Desist and Refrain Orders, Cole continued to offer and 27 sell unqualified, non-exempt securities and securities by means of fraud in violation of the	16	of sale of the security with those requirements have been met.				
 25401, the commissioner may order that person to desist and refrain from the violation. 47. On March 29, 1998, the Commissioner issued Desist and Refrain Orders, against Cole, directing him to desist and refrain from offering or selling or buying of offering to buy any unqualified, non-exempt security and any security by means of fraud in the State of California in violation of sections 25110 and 25401 of the Corporations Code. 48. On June 9, 1998, the Desist and Refrain Orders were personally served on Cole. Cole did not request an administrative hearing to contest either of the Desist and Refrain Orders. 49. Notwithstanding receipt of the Desist and Refrain Orders, Cole continued to offer and sell unqualified, non-exempt securities and securities by means of fraud in violation of the 	17	Corporations Code sections 25532(c) provides, as follows:				
 47. On March 29, 1998, the Commissioner issued Desist and Refrain Orders, against Cole, directing him to desist and refrain from offering or selling or buying of offering to buy any unqualified, non-exempt security and any security by means of fraud in the State of California in violation of sections 25110 and 25401 of the Corporations Code. 48. On June 9, 1998, the Desist and Refrain Orders were personally served on Cole. Cole did not request an administrative hearing to contest either of the Desist and Refrain Orders. 49. Notwithstanding receipt of the Desist and Refrain Orders, Cole continued to offer and sell unqualified, non-exempt securities and securities by means of fraud in violation of the 		25401, the commissioner may order that person to desist and refrain from th	n e			
 Cole, directing him to desist and refrain from offering or selling or buying of offering to buy any unqualified, non-exempt security and any security by means of fraud in the State of California in violation of sections 25110 and 25401 of the Corporations Code. Cole 48. On June 9, 1998, the Desist and Refrain Orders were personally served on Cole. Cole did not request an administrative hearing to contest either of the Desist and Refrain Orders. Notwithstanding receipt of the Desist and Refrain Orders, Cole continued to offer and sell unqualified, non-exempt securities and securities by means of fraud in violation of the 28 			st			
 unqualified, non-exempt security and any security by means of fraud in the State of California in violation of sections 25110 and 25401 of the Corporations Code. 48. On June 9, 1998, the Desist and Refrain Orders were personally served on Cole. Cole did not request an administrative hearing to contest either of the Desist and Refrain Orders. 49. Notwithstanding receipt of the Desist and Refrain Orders, Cole continued to offer and sell unqualified, non-exempt securities and securities by means of fraud in violation of the 28 			- 1			
 violation of sections 25110 and 25401 of the Corporations Code. 48. On June 9, 1998, the Desist and Refrain Orders were personally served on Cole. Cole did not request an administrative hearing to contest either of the Desist and Refrain Orders. 49. Notwithstanding receipt of the Desist and Refrain Orders, Cole continued to offer and sell unqualified, non-exempt securities and securities by means of fraud in violation of the 						
 48. On June 9, 1998, the Desist and Refrain Orders were personally served on Cole. Cole did not request an administrative hearing to contest either of the Desist and Refrain Orders. 49. Notwithstanding receipt of the Desist and Refrain Orders, Cole continued to offer and sell unqualified, non-exempt securities and securities by means of fraud in violation of the 						
 did not request an administrative hearing to contest either of the Desist and Refrain Orders. 49. Notwithstanding receipt of the Desist and Refrain Orders, Cole continued to offer and sell unqualified, non-exempt securities and securities by means of fraud in violation of the 28 			le			
 49. Notwithstanding receipt of the Desist and Refrain Orders, Cole continued to offer and sell unqualified, non-exempt securities and securities by means of fraud in violation of the 						
 sell unqualified, non-exempt securities and securities by means of fraud in violation of the 			ıd			
8						
8		li son andaminoa, non enemp constante and constant of and				
	28					
		8 COMPLAINT FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION; PERMANENT INJUNCTION; CIVIL PENALTIES	3			

П

COMPLAINT FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION; PERMANENT INJUNCTION; CIVIL PENALTIES AND ANCILLARY RELIEF

Commissioner's Orders. Unless enjoined by this Court, Cole will continue to violate the Desist and 1 Refrain Orders. 2

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for judgment against all Defendants, as follows:

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR THE VIOLATIONS:

For an order of preliminary injunction and a judgment of permanent injunction 1. enjoining, Defendants USA LadyCare, Cole, and O'Neal and such Does as may subsequently be named, and each of them, their officers, directors, successors in interest, agents, employees, attorneys in fact, and all persons acting in concert or participating with them, or any of them, from directly or indirectly:

Violating California Corporations Code section 25110, by offering to sell, selling, A. arranging for the sale of, issuing, engaging in the business of selling, negotiating for the sale of, or otherwise in any way dealing or participating in the offer or sale of, any security of any kind, including but not limited to the securities described in this Complaint, unless such security or transaction is qualified or exempted or not subject to qualification;

Violating California Corporations Code section 25401 by offering to sell or selling B. any security of any kind, including but not limited to, the securities described in this Complaint, by means of any written or oral communication which includes any untrue statement of material fact or omits or fails to state any material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they are made, not misleading, including but not limited to the misrepresentations and omissions alleged in this Complaint;

Violating any Desist and Refrain Orders issued by the Commissioner pursuant to C. Section 25532 of the California Corporations Code. 23

Removing, destroying, mutilating, concealing, altering, transferring, or otherwise 24 D. disposing of, in any manner, any books, records, computer programs, computer files, computer 25 printouts, correspondence, brochures, manuals, or any other "writings" or "documents" of any kind 26 as defined under Evidence Code section 250, relating to the transactions and course of conduct as 27 alleged in the complaint in this action, unless authorized by this Court; 28

9

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I.

E. Transferring, changing, disbursing, selling, dissipating, converting, pledging, assigning, foreclosing, or otherwise disposing of any real property or personal property in their possession or under their control, or in the possession of, or under the control of, any of the Defendants, which property or other assets were derived or emanated from directly, or indirectly, the sale and issuance of securities as alleged in this Complaint, without leave of the Court; and

F. Withdrawing, transferring, changing, disbursing, dissipating, converting, pledging, cr assigning any funds or other assets which were derived or emanated, directly or indirectly, from the offer or sale of securities as alleged in this Complaint, from any accounts at any bank, savings and loan association, broker-dealer or any other financial institution in the name of any of the Defendants, or controlled by any of the Defendants, without leave of the Court.

II. <u>RESTITUTION</u>

1. For a Final Judgment requiring Defendants USA LadyCare, Cole, and O'Neal, and such Does as may be subsequently named, and each of them, individually, jointly and severally, to rescind each and all of the unlawful transactions alleged in this Complaint, as shall be determined by this Court to have occurred, and further requiring all Defendants and such Does as may be subsequently named, and each of them, individually, jointly and severally, to pay full restitution to each person determined to have been subject to the Defendants' acts or practices which constitute violations of the Corporate Securities Law, in an amount of at least \$200,000, or according to proof. In addition, to pay either the contracted rate of interest or the legal rate of interest for the amounts invested by the investors from the dates of their investments to the date of judgment herein.

2. For a Final Judgment requiring Defendants USA LadyCare, Cole, and O'Neal, and such Does as may be subsequently named, and each of them, individually, jointly and severally, to disgorge to all known investors all benefits received, including but not limited to, salaries, commissions, fees, profits and any other remuneration, derived directly or indirectly, from 'he actions or practices which constitute violations of the Corporate Securities Law.

27

///

28

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

III. <u>CIVIL PENALTIES</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

V.

For a Final Judgment requiring Defendants USA LadyCare, Cole, and O'Neal, and such Does as may be subsequently named, and each of them, to pay to the Department of Corporations \$25,000 as a civil penalty for each act in violation of the Corporate Securities Law, as authorized by Corporations Code section 25535; in an amount of at least \$1,100,000 or according to proof.

IV. ORDER TO RETAIN JURISDICTION

For an Order that this court will retain jurisdiction of this action in order to implement and carry out the terms of all orders and decrees that may be entered herein or to entertain any suitable application or motion by Plaintiff for additional relief within the jurisdiction of this court.

OTHER RELIEF

For such other and further relief as this Court may deem necessary and proper.

Dated: October 21, 2006 Los Angeles, California

Respectfully submitted,

PRESTON DuFAUCHARD California Corporations Commissioner

By:

UCHE L. ENENWALI Corporations Counsel Attorneys for Plaintiff

State of California - Department of Corporations

11 COMPLAINT FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION; PERMANENT INJUNCTION; CIVIL PENALTIES AND ANCILLARY RELIEF