DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS OVERSIGHT

Ensuring a Fair and Secure Financial Services Marketplace for all Californians

Jan Lynn Owen Commissioner of Business Oversight



October 5, 2015
Re: Rebuttal of Acquisition of Control of by Dear:
Thank you for your letter dated, August 6, 2015, regarding the direct and indirect acquisition of control of by, the ultimate parent of your organization, and its affiliates. In your letter, you describe your organization; urge the Department of Business Oversight to consider ways that investment management service providers can rebut the presumption of control under Financial Code sections 1250(b)(2) and 2035; and offer facts that rebut the presumption that your organization has acquired control of
MONEY TRANSMISSION ACT
Financial Code section 2035 provides that no person shall directly or indirectly acquire control of a licensee under the Money Transmission Act (MTA) unless the Commissioner of Business Oversight (Commissioner) has first approved the acquisition of control. Financial Code section 2003(h) provides that "control" for purposes of the MTA shall have the meaning set forth in Financial Code section 1250. California Financial Code section 1250(b), in pertinent part, provides that a person who "directly or indirectly, owns, controls, holds with the power to vote, or holds proxies representing, 10 percent or more of the then outstanding voting securities issued by another person is presumed to control such other person." The presumption contained in the cited portion of California Financial Code section 1250(b) is rebuttable.
BACKGROUND
Your letter responds to the Department's request that explain why it did not obtain the approval of the Commissioner before acquiring a beneficial interest in at least 10 percent of 's outstanding voting securities filing with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on SEC Schedule 13G, dated April 8, 2015, discloses that it beneficially owned 3,875,805 shares or 10 percent of the 38,699,042 shares of voting securities believed to be outstanding.
and are each an operating division of, which is wholly

owned by
According to your letter, on June 8, 2015, the funds and accounts advised by owned, in the aggregate, 3,975,805 shares of 's stock, which was 10.28 percent of 's outstanding shares owned 7.95 percent of the outstanding shares of 's stock while owned 2.33 percent of 's outstanding stock. As of August 6, 2015, funds and accounts advised by no longer hold any shares of 's stock. As of August 6, 2015, funds and accounts advised by nother division of owned, in the aggregate, 1,790,801 shares of 's stock, 4.56 percent of the outstanding.
As separate operating divisions, and, along with a third operating division,, make independent investment and proxy voting decisions, conduct investment management activity independently and each operate separate trading desks. Each operating division has been accorded separate filing status by the SEC for purposes of filing SEC Schedule 13G.
Your letter also represents that and its affiliates do not beneficially own the shares of 's stock discussed in your letter. Rather, the shares are beneficially owned by the funds and accounts advised by or Therefore, while filed a report with the SEC on SEC Schedule 13G indicating ownership of more that 10 percent of 's stock, the filing disclaimed beneficial ownership of the stock. The actual beneficial owners of the shares were and, but neither fund owned 10 percent or more of the outstanding shares of 's stock. Moreover, both funds have fundamental investment policies, which provide that they "may not invest in companies for the purpose of exercising control or management."
Finally, your letter represents that other holders of
CONCLUSION
Based on the fact that entities affiliated with and own 16.7 percent and 14.8 percent of's stock, respectively, and on the other representations contained in your letter, it appears that and its affiliates have not acquired control of, as the term "control" is defined by Financial Code section 1250(b). Accordingly, the Commissioner finds that has rebutted the presumption of control with regard to its and its affiliates' holdings of 's stock.
The Commissioner's determination applies to the set of circumstances presented in your letter. Please be advised that, among other circumstances, should or any of its

WMW:jg

cc: Robert Venchiarutti, Department of Business Oversight, San Francisco