DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS OVERSIGHT

Ensuring a Fair and Secure Financial Services Marketplace for all Californians



Jan Lynn Owen Commissioner of Business Oversight

February 6, 2018

Re:	Request for a legal opinion under Financial Code section 2010(l)
Dear _	
the De Depar Transi	etter addresses's January 30, 2017 interpretive opinion request to epartment of Business Oversight ("Department"). In that letter, you asked the timent for confirmation that the agent of payee exemption under the California Monmission Act ("MTA") applies to specific payment services offered by Your letter argues that many of's payment services d be exempt from the MTA under the agent of payee exemption. 1
in the the ap rulemant produ service	repartment intends to propose a regulation concerning the agent of payee exemption near future. While the Department engages in that process, we decline to opine on oplicability of the exemption to those products that may be affected by the taking. However, while the rulemaking is pending, the Department will not treat those tests as requiring licensure. Those products include
Advan rulem Advan	ver, two of's services, Pre-Payment for Prison Accounts and need Deposit Wagering, will not be impacted by the Department's impending taking. The Department has concluded that Pre-Payment for Prison Accounts and need Deposit Wagering fall outside the scope of the agent of payee exemption for the ns stated below.
money	gent of payee exemption is available in a transaction where "the recipient of yis an agent of the payee pursuant to a preexisting written contract." "Payee" is ed as "the provider of goods or services, who is owed paymentfrom the payor for the
ode § 201	10, subd. (l).

1515 K Street, Suite 200 Sacramento, CA 95814-4052 (916) 445-7205

One Sansome Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94104-4428 (415) 972-8565 320 West 4th Street, Suite 750 Los Angeles, CA 90013-2344 (213) 576-7500 1350 Front Street, Room 2034 San Diego, CA 92101-3697 (619) 525-4233 goods or services."² "Payor" is defined as "the recipient of goods or services, who owes payment...to the payee."³ The definitions of "payor" and "payee" make clear that the agent of payee exemption is only available where a payment obligation for a good or service exists. The requirements of this exemption cannot be met in the case of pre-payment for prison accounts or advanced deposit wagering, because there is no payment obligation in either case.

Prefunding a prison account for later use does not satisfy any payment obligation owed. Rather, prefunding a prison account provides the account beneficiary with a pool of funds for later use. Here, there is no payment obligation because the money is being put into an account for the user to use when he chooses; at the time the funds are being transferred to the account, there is no obligation by the person putting money into the account in the sense that that person is not paying anyone for goods or services. For the same reasons, prefunding an advanced deposit wagering account does not meet the agent of payee exemption.

This letter is limited to the facts and circumstances as p's correspondence, beginning in July 2	•
circumstances change, the Department's opinion may a	lso change.
If you have any questions, please contact the undersign	ed at
Sincerely,	
Jan Lynn Owen	
Commissioner	
Department of Business Oversight	
By	
Alexander M. Nourafshan	
Counsel	

² Fin. Code § 2010, subd. (l)(2) (emphasis added).

³ Fin. Code § 2010, subd. (l)(3) (emphasis added).