IN REPLY REFER TO: FILE NO:

April 18, 2019

Re:	– Request for Interpretive Opinion
Dear Mr	_:
February 4, 2019 activities related	's letter to the Department of Business Oversight ("Department") dated 9. You have asked the Department to confirm that certain payroll processing d to payments made to 1099-independent contractors and conducted by (""), an affiliate of (""), I processing exemption ¹ in the Money Transmission Act ("MTA") ² .
I. BACKGR	OUND
	is currently a money transmitter licensee is not a licensee.
enable a small b's s whether their w employees) or ir	fers payroll solutions for small business customers through the d
	nerally, the process is as follows. Client provides its payroll file to then creates two automated clearing house ("ACH") files for processing: a
Client's workers	funds from the Client and a credit file to initiate payroll payments to the . In addition, has structured its business model such that the ACH cessed before the ACH debit file. Thus, if for any reason, the ACH debit of the
¹ Fin. Code, § 2010, ² Fin. Code, § 2000	

April 18, 2019 Page 2
Client fails, the workers still get paid (and its banking partner) only have recourse against the Client to recover funds already paid to workers.
In addition,'s services are not intended to be used by Clients to make payments to vendors or suppliers. The 1099-independent contractor payments at issue here refer solely to payments made to a Client's workers that do not qualify as employees but nonetheless are individuals engaged in activity analogous to the activity ordinarily performed by employees. For example, a 1099-independent contractor may be a temporary worker hired by the employer to stand in for an employee who is on medical leave. These 1099-independent contractors are therefore "functional equivalents" of employees.
II. MONEY TRANSMISSION ACT
Financial Code section 2003, subdivision (q), defines "money transmission" to include receiving money for transmission. Section 2003, subdivision (u), defines "receiving money for transmission" to mean receiving money or monetary value in the United States for transmission within or outside the United States by electronic or other means.
Financial Code section 2030 prohibits a person from engaging in the business of money transmission in this state unless the person is licensed or exempt from licensure or is an agent of a person licensed or exempt from licensure.
Financial Code section 2010, subdivision (j), exempts from the MTA:
A person that delivers wages or salaries on behalf of employers to employees or facilitates the payment of payroll taxes to state and federal agencies, makes payments relating to employee benefit plans, makes distribution of other authorized deductions from employees' wages or salaries, or transmits other funds on behalf of an employer in connection with transactions related to employees. Notwithstanding this subdivision, a person described herein that offers money transmission services or provides stored value cards directly to individual customers shall comply with this division to the extent of that activity.
A. Payments to W-2 Employees
has requested the Department to confirm that the delivery of wages to W-2

employees on behalf of Clients and the payment of payroll taxes to state and federal agencies by ______ are exempt from the MTA under the Financial Code section 2010(j). The

Department hereby confirms that ______'s activities as they relate to W-2 employees are

exempt from the MTA.

April	18,	2019	
Page	3		

B. Payments to 1099-Independent Contractors

has also requested that the Department find that wage payments made to 1099-independent contractors fall within the payroll processing exemption.

While the express statutory language of Section 2010(j) references "employers" and "employees," the Department interprets the exemption to include payments to 1099-independent contractors where such payments are the functional equivalent of wage and salary payments to W-2 employees. This interpretation is supported by the legislative history of the exemption.

The legislative history provides insight into the scope and purpose of the exemption.³ For example, the July 1, 2013 Senate Banking and Financial Institutions Analysis clarified the purpose of the payroll processing exemption. Specifically, it provided:

AB 786 proposes to exempt payroll providers from the MTA, except when they issue stored value cards or offer money transmission services directly to individual consumers. Payroll providers would require MTA licenses to engage in those activities, but would only be regulated under the MTA to the extent of those activities. [T]he MTA was designed to regulate the transfer of money between individual consumers; it was not contemplated as a regulatory regime for business-to-business transactions.⁴

The September 5, 2013 Assembly Floor Analysis confirmed that AB 786 "exempts from the MTA payroll processors unless they offer money transmission or stored value cards directly to customers." The analysis also explained that "modern payroll processing can involve numerous activities," such as payment of taxes and benefits, and management of withholdings and deductions. These activities fall under "the umbrella of payroll processing." Therefore, "naming payroll processing as an exempted activity includes the subset of activities that a payroll processor might engage in."

Based on the legislative history, it appears that the spirit and intent of the payroll processing exemption is to exempt payroll processors when they are engaged in activities related to the payment of wages and salaries for tasks typically performed by employees. Moreover, when engaged in permitted activities, payroll processors should be exempt so long as they are not issuing stored value cards or offering money transmission services directly to individual consumers.

The payroll processing service that	provides to its Clients is the same whether the
recipient of the payment is a W-2 employee or a	1099-independent contractor. Significantly,
's services are not intended to be u	sed by its Clients to make payments to vendors

³ The payroll exemption was introduced in Assembly Bill No. 786, Session Year 2013-2014.

⁴ July 1, 2013 Senate Banking and Financial Institutions Analysis, p. 5.

Page 4			
or suppliers. The 1099-independent contractor payments for which seeks an exemption refer solely to payments made to employers' workers that do not qualify as employees but nonetheless are individuals engaged in activity analogous to the activity ordinarily performed by employees. For example, a 1099-independent contractor may be a temporary worker hired by the employer to stand in for an employee who is on medical leave. These 1099-independent contractors are therefore "functional equivalents" of employees processes payments to these temporary workers using the same procedures as payments made to W-2 employees 's services enable employers to enter hours worked to generate paychecks regardless of whether the person is designated as a W-2 employee or a 1099-independent contractor. Therefore, as a payment processor,			
is making payments to 1099-independent contractors under the "umbrella of payroll			
processing."			
For foregoing reasons, the Department concludes that			
III. CONCLUSION			
The Commissioner finds that's processing of payments on behalf of employing to 1099-independent contractors is exempt from the MTA pursuant to Financial			

April 18, 2019

section 2010(j).

This opinion is based solely on the facts as represented in your correspondence, and the Department's understanding of those representations. The Department may reach a different conclusion under other facts and circumstances.

April 18, 2019 Page 5		
Please contact m	e at ()	_ if you have any questions.
Sincerely,		
Jan Lynn Owen Commissioner Department of B	usiness Oversight	
Ву	/s/	
	Senior Counsel	

Department of Business Oversight, Money Transmitter Division, San Francisco

cc: