

1 CLOTHILDE V. HEWLETT
 Commissioner
 2 MARY ANN SMITH
 Deputy Commissioner
 3 DANIEL P. O'DONNELL
 Assistant Chief Counsel
 4 JEREMY F. KOO (State Bar No. 300225)
 Counsel
 5
 6 Department of Financial Protection and Innovation
 2101 Arena Boulevard
 7 Sacramento, California 95834-2306
 Telephone: (916) 936-7582
 8 Facsimile: (916) 928-7929
 9
 Attorneys for Complainant

10
 11 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL PROTECTION AND INNOVATION
 12 OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

13	In the Matter of:)	CFL LICENSE NO.: 60DBO-133776
)	
14	THE COMMISSIONER OF FINANCIAL)	ACCUSATION AND STATEMENT IN
15	PROTECTION AND INNOVATION,)	SUPPORT OF:
)	
16	Complainant,)	(1) NOTICE OF INTENTION TO ISSUE
)	ORDER REVOKING CFL LICENSE;
17	v.)	
)	(2) ORDER CONDITIONING SURRENDER
18	CELSIUS LENDING LLC,)	OF LICENSE
)	
19	Respondent.)	(3) ORDER TO SUSPEND ALL CFL
20)	BUSINESS OPERATIONS
)	
21)	

1 Clothilde V. Hewlett, Commissioner of the Department of Financial Protection and Innovation
2 (Department), brings this action in the public interest and alleges and charges as follows:

3 **I.**

4 **Jurisdiction and Venue**

5 1. The Commissioner of Financial Protection and Innovation (Commissioner) brings this
6 action pursuant to the provisions of Financial Code sections 22700, 22707.5, and 22714.¹

7 2. The Commissioner is authorized to administer and enforce the provisions of the
8 California Financing Law (CFL) (§ 22000 et seq.).

9 3. This action against Celsius Lending LLC’s CFL license is, pursuant to the police and
10 regulatory power exception, excepted from the bankruptcy stay issued after Celsius Lending LLC filed
11 a bankruptcy petition in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York.
12 (11 U.S.C. § 362(b)(4).)

13 **II.**

14 **Introduction**

15 4. At all relevant times, Celsius Lending LLC (Celsius Lending) is and was a company
16 licensed as a lender under the authority of the California Financing Law (CFL) beginning on August 3,
17 2021, CFL license number 60DBO-133776, with a business address of 221 River Street 9th Floor,
18 Hoboken, New Jersey 07030.

19 5. Celsius Lending is a wholly owned subsidiary of Celsius US Holding LLC. Celsius US
20 Holding LLC is a wholly owned subsidiary of Celsius Network Limited. Celsius Network Inc. is 82.91
21 percent owned by Celsius Network Limited. Collectively, each of these entities are hereinafter referred
22 to as “the Celsius entities.”

23 6. In addition to the retail lending activities performed by Celsius Lending under its CFL
24 license, the Celsius entities act as a financial service company that generates revenue through digital
25 asset trading, lending, and borrowing, as well as by engaging in proprietary trading. The Celsius
26 entities derive capital for these activities, at least in part, through the deposit of digital assets into
27

28 ¹ All further statutory references are to the Financial Code, unless otherwise indicated.

1 interest-bearing “Earn Rewards” accounts and through the use of “collateral” posted by Celsius
2 Lending borrowers.

3 7. On or about June 12, 2022, the Celsius entities suspended the fulfillment of customer
4 withdrawal requests, citing “extreme market conditions.”

5 8. On or about June 22, 2022, the Commissioner, through her CFL Exam Unit, initiated a
6 special examination upon Celsius Lending pursuant to her authority provided by section 22701
7 (“Special Exam”).

8 9. On or about July 13, 2022, the Celsius entities filed Chapter 11 bankruptcy petitions in
9 the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. Celsius Lending reports
10 that it ceased all lending activity as of that date and will not be liquidating existing customer loans.

11 10. On or about July 21, 2022, Celsius Lending filed an application to surrender its CFL
12 license. The Commissioner has determined that despite the application to surrender, it is in the public
13 interest to institute a proceeding to revoke the license and immediately order Celsius Lending’s license
14 be suspended.

15 **III.**

16 **Unlicensed Finance Lender Activity (Section 22100, Subdivision (a))**

17 11. Section 22100, subdivision (a), provides, in pertinent part, “No person shall engage in
18 the business of a finance lender . . . without obtaining a license from the commissioner.”

19 12. The Commissioner issued Celsius Lending its license on August 3, 2021.

20 13. The Commissioner’s Special Exam revealed that Celsius Lending originated and
21 funded five loans on August 1 and August 2, 2021, without a CFL license from the commissioner, in
22 violation of section 22100, subdivision (a).

23 **IV.**

24 **Advertising Statements That Omit Material Information Necessary to Make Statements Not**

25 **False, Misleading, or Deceptive (Section 22161, subdivision (a)(3))**

26 14. Section 22161, subdivision (a)(3), provides, in pertinent part, that a person subject to
27 the CFL shall not

28 [a]dvertise . . . or cause or permit to be advertised . . . in any manner,
any statement or representation with regard to the business subject to the

1 provisions of this division, including the rates, terms or conditions for
2 making or negotiating loans, . . . that is false, misleading, or deceptive,
3 or that omits material information that is necessary to make the
statements not false, misleading, or deceptive[.]

4 15. On its website, Celsius Lending advertises its “collateralized” loans. In a Frequently
5 Asked Questions section on its main lending page, <https://celsius.network/crypto-loans>, Celsius poses
6 the question, “Do I earn rewards on the coins that are locked as collateral?”, referring to those that
7 earn interest from their Earn Rewards deposits. Celsius answers, in pertinent part, “Unfortunately, no.
8 When your crypto is locked as collateral against your loan, you are not earning rewards on it. Instead,
9 what is happening is that, you are paying interest on the dollars that you’ve borrowed **and we have**
10 **your crypto as collateral, so that is an asset that Celsius is holding on to.”** (Emphasis added.)

11 16. However, Celsius Lending was not, in fact, holding on to borrower’s digital assets. In
12 fact, it was not doing anything that the typical consumer might think of ordinarily when “holding onto
13 collateral.”

14 17. Each Celsius Lending borrower executes the “Celsius Loan Terms and Conditions,”
15 hereinafter referred to as the “Celsius Loan Terms” or the “Terms.”

16 18. Section 20 of the Celsius Loan Terms provide:

17 20.1. In consideration for the Loan, you grant Celsius and any of its
18 Affiliates the right, subject to applicable laws, without further notice to
19 you, to hold the Digital Assets provided as Collateral in Celsius’ name
20 or in another name, and to pledge, re-pledge, hypothecate,
21 rehypothecate, sell, lend, or otherwise transfer or use any amount of
22 such Digital Assets, separately or together with other property, with all
attendant rights of ownership, and for any period of time, and **without**
retaining in Celsius’ possession and/or control a like amount of
Digital Assets or any other monies or assets, and to use or invest such
Digital Assets at Celsius’ own risk. You acknowledge that, with respect
to Digital Assets used by Celsius pursuant to this paragraph:

23 20.1.1. You will not be able to exercise any rights of ownership;

24 20.1.2. Celsius may receive compensation in connection with its
25 using the Digital Assets in its business, to which you have no claim or
entitlement; and

26 20.1.3. You will not be entitled to receive any benefits granted to
27 the holder of any Digital Asset from time to time, including any
28 airdrops, New Currency resulting from a Hard Fork (as these terms are
defined in the Network Terms).

1 Section 20 of the Celsius Loan Terms effectively allowed Celsius to invest customer collateral in the
2 company's income-generating activities.

3 19. It is false, misleading, or deceptive, in violation of section 22161, subdivision (a)(3), to
4 advertise that borrowers are merely putting up "collateral" to secure their loans when in fact borrowers
5 have their collateral subject to unknown investment risks that could potentially be lost in the event
6 Celsius became insolvent.

7 20. It is false, misleading, or deceptive, in violation of section 22161, subdivision (a)(3), to
8 advertise that "Celsius is holding on to" borrower's "crypto as collateral" when in fact the terms of
9 service specifically permitted Celsius to "not retain in Celsius' possession and/or control a like amount
10 of Digital Assets or any other monies or assets, and to use or invest such Digital Assets at Celsius'
11 own risk."

12 **V.**

13 **Contracting for Unlawful Prepayment Penalties (Section 22307.5)**

14 20. Section 22307.5 provides, "A licensee shall not charge, impose, or receive any penalty
15 for the prepayment of a loan. This section does not apply to a loan secured by real property."

16 21. Section 6 of the Celsius Loan Terms relates to customer requests to make an early
17 repayment of a loan in full. Section 6.4 states, "In any event of Early Repayment, liquidation of
18 Collateral . . . , or otherwise termination by you prior to the lapse of six (6) months of the Loan
19 Effective Date, you will be nevertheless obligated to pay Celsius the interest for the full first six (6)
20 month period." (Emphasis removed.) The requirement to pay six months of interest prior to the lapse
21 of six months is a prepayment penalty prohibited by section 22307.5.

22 22. The Commissioner's Special Exam revealed that between its licensing on August 3,
23 2021, and July 5, 2022, Celsius Lending illegally enforced Section 6.4 of its terms on 15 loans, in
24 violation of section 22307.5.

25 **VI.**

26 **Making Untrue Statements to the Commissioner With the Intent to Impede, Obstruct, or**
27 **Influence the Administration or Enforcement of the CFL (Section 22170, Subdivision (b))**

28 23. Section 22170, subdivision (b), provides, in pertinent part, "It is unlawful for any

1 person to knowingly make an untrue statement to the commissioner . . . during the course of licensing,
2 investigation, or examination, with the intent to impede, obstruct, or influence the administration or
3 enforcement of any provision of this division.”

4 24. On or about April 14, 2021, the Commissioner, through her Licensing Examination
5 staff, sent a letter to Celsius Lending requiring, in pertinent part, that Celsius Lending “provide a
6 sample loan agreement or contract” in order to complete the company’s application for a CFL license.

7 25. On or about May 5, 2021, Celsius Lending sent the Commissioner, through her
8 Licensing Examination staff, a document similar to the Celsius Loan Terms, but whose file name
9 indicated it was applicable only to California borrowers (“Sample California Terms”).

10 26. While Section 6.4 of the actual Celsius Loan Terms provided to the Commissioner
11 during the Special Exam applied the illegal minimum six-month interest term to all borrowers, section
12 6.3.2 of the Sample California Terms applied the minimum six-month interest payment only to
13 “commercial loans exceeding \$5,000.00 in principal.” Such a term, when applied to a commercial
14 loan, is not explicitly prohibited by the CFL.

15 27. On or about March 14, 2022, Celsius Lending filed its CFL Annual Report, as required
16 by section 22715. The CFL Annual Report declares that all loans made or refinanced in calendar year
17 2021 were consumer loans only and the company made no commercial loans.

18 28. In response to the Special Exam, Celsius Lending reported that it had made no
19 commercial loans in California during its licensing period. Advertising copy provided to the
20 Commissioner as part of the Special Exam also indicates that all loans were intended to be consumer
21 loans and not commercial loans.

22 29. On August 8, 2022, Celsius Lending’s Chief Executive Officer, Alex Mashinsky, filed
23 a declaration in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York stating, in
24 pertinent part, that the Celsius Loan Terms submitted to the Commissioner as part of her Special Exam
25 were the terms applicable to retail borrowers between August 1, 2021, through July 5, 2022, with an
26 immaterial change made on or about October 19, 2021. The declaration, which purports to set forth all
27 versions of Celsius Lending’s loan terms that were effective for users outside of the United Kingdom
28 between October 17, 2019, and August 8, 2022, does not include any version of the Sample California

1 Terms.

2 30. In providing Sample California Terms that appear to be specifically designed to make
3 the Commissioner believe that Celsius Lending would not seek to impose an illegal prepayment
4 penalty on a consumer loan in response to the Commissioner’s demand for sample loan terms, Celsius
5 Lending knowingly made an untrue statement to the Commissioner during the course of licensing,
6 investigation, or examination, with the intent to impede, obstruct, or influence the administration or
7 enforcement of the CFL, including section 22307.5, in violation of section 22170, subdivision (b).

8 **VII.**

9 **Excess Liquidation Charges (Financial Code sections 22306 and 22307, subdivision (a))**

10 31. Section 22200 defines “charges” in consumer loans to include “fees, . . . expenses, and
11 other forms of costs charged, contracted for, or received by a licensee or any other person in
12 connection with the . . . servicing, collecting, and enforcing of a loan or forbearance of money, credit,
13 goods, or things in action, or any other service rendered.”

14 32. For loans with a bona fide principal value of less than \$5,000, section 22306 provides,
15 “No amount in excess of that allowed by this article shall be directly or indirectly charged, contracted
16 for, or received by any person, and the total charges of the finance lender and broker and any other
17 person in the aggregate shall not exceed the maximum rate provided for in this article.”

18 33. For loans with a bona fide principal value of less than \$5,000, section 22307,
19 subdivision (a), provides, in pertinent part, “Except as provided in Section 22305 and Article 4
20 (commencing with Section 22400), all charges on loans made under this division shall be computed
21 and paid only as a percentage per month of the unpaid principal balance or portions thereof, and shall
22 be so expressed in every obligation signed by the borrower.”

23 34. Section 15.2 of the Celsius Loan Terms provides:

24 You understand and acknowledge that any Liquidation Event may cause
25 Celsius to incur costs and expenses (whether directly or indirectly),
26 including but not limited to exchange fees, gas fees and legal expenses.
27 Any such costs and expenses shall be deducted from the liquidated
28 Collateral, up to a cap of three percent (3%) of the Fiat value of the
liquidated Collateral. Celsius shall not be obligated to provide you with
any proof of the costs and expenses incurred by it.

35. Celsius Lending’s three percent fee imposed on liquidating collateral are charges that

1 violate sections 22306 and 22307, subdivision (a), because they are charges not assessed as a
2 percentage per month of the unpaid principal balance of the loan and are not otherwise exempt.

3 36. The Commissioner’s Special Exam revealed that Celsius Lending enforced section 15.2
4 of the Celsius Loan Terms by collecting or assessing a three percent fee on 875 liquidated loans with a
5 bona fide principal value of less than \$5,000, in violation of sections 22306 and 22307.

6 **VIII.**

7 **Contracting for Unlawful Balloon Payments (Section 22307, Subdivision (b); California Code of**
8 **Regulations, Title 10, Section 1453)**

9 37. California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 1453, provides, in pertinent part, “Any
10 so-called ‘ballooning’ of loan contracts is prohibited. Any scheduled installment that is more than
11 twice the amount of any other scheduled installment will be considered a balloon payment for
12 purposes of this section and Section 22307 of the Financial Code.” Exempt from this requirement are
13 loans made for a bona fide principal amount of \$10,000 or more. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 10, § 1570.)

14 38. Section 22307, subdivision (b), provides, in pertinent part, that a “loan contract shall
15 provide for payment of the aggregate amount contracted to be paid in substantially equal periodical
16 installments[.]” Exempt from this requirement are loans made for a bona fide principal amount of
17 \$10,000 or more. (§ 22250, subd. (a).)

18 39. Sections 3 and 4 of the Celsius Loan Terms provide that borrowers are only required to
19 pay monthly interest on their loans and that the principal balance is only due with the final
20 repayments. Such terms are prohibited ballooning under section 22307, subdivision (b), and California
21 Code of Regulations, title 10, section 1453.

22 40. The Commissioner’s Special Exam revealed that between its licensing on August 3,
23 2021, and July 5, 2022, Celsius contracted for 7,886 loans for less than \$10,000 with ballooning terms
24 prohibited under California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 1453 and that do not provide for
25 payment of the aggregate amount contracted to be paid in substantially equal periodical installments,
26 in violation of section 22308, subdivision (b).

27 ///

28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IX.

Contracting for Loans Exceeding Lawful Maximum Terms (Section 22334, Subdivision (a))

41. Section 22334, subdivision (a), provides, for loans not secured by real property, that licensee shall not enter into any loan contracts that provide for scheduled repayment of principal greater than the terms set forth in the table below:

Principal amount of loan	Maximum term
Less than \$500	24 months and 15 days
\$500 but less than \$1,500	36 months and 15 days
\$1,500 but less than \$3,000	48 months and 15 days
\$3,000 but less than \$10,000	60 months and 15 days

42. The Commissioner’s Special Exam revealed that between its licensing on August 3, 2021, and July 5, 2022, Celsius Lending contracted for 1,382 loans exceeding the limits set forth in section 22334, subdivision (a), to wit:

Principal amount of loan	Maximum term	Loans exceeding maximum term
Less than \$500	24 months and 15 days	[no loans made]
\$500 but less than \$1,500	36 months and 15 days	1,016
\$1,500 but less than \$3,000	48 months and 15 days	350
\$3,000 but less than \$10,000	60 months and 15 days	16

X.

Contracting for Loans Below Lawful Minimum Term (Section 22334, subdivision (c))

43. Section 22334, subdivision (c), provides, “A licensee shall not enter into any contract for a loan that provides for a scheduled repayment of principal that is less than 12 months. This subdivision applies to a loan of a bona fide principal amount of at least two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500), but less than ten thousand dollars (\$10,000).”

44. The Commissioner’s Special Exam revealed that between its licensing on August 3, 2021, and July 5, 2022, Celsius Lending contracted for 312 loans of at least \$2,500 but less than

1 \$10,000 for a term of six months, in violation of section 22334, subdivision (c).

2 **XI.**

3 **Failure to Take Into Consideration Financial Ability of Borrowers to Repay Loans (Tit. 10, Cal.**
4 **Code Regs. § 1452)**

5 45. California Code of Regulations title 10, section 1452, provides, “When making or
6 negotiating loans, a finance company shall take into consideration, in determining the size and
7 duration thereof, the financial ability of the borrowers to repay the same, to the end that the borrowers
8 should be reasonably [able] to repay said loans in the time and manner provided in the loan contracts.”

9 46. The Commissioner’s Special Exam reveals that Celsius Lending does not have an
10 underwriting policy and does not maintain documents related to a borrower’s ability to repay a loan on
11 the grounds that a fully collateralized loan does not require evaluation of a borrower’s credit history.

12 47. However, the Celsius Loan Terms do not waive the deficiency balance in a scenario
13 where, due to digital asset market conditions, the sale of digital assets cannot be completed in a
14 manner that would allow Celsius Lending to recoup the full balance of the loan plus operational
15 expenses incurred in liquidating collateral, such as blockchain transaction fees.

16 48. The Commissioner’s Special Exam reveals that between its licensing on August 3,
17 2021, and July 5, 2022, Celsius contracted for 11,195 loans without considering the borrower’s ability
18 to repay the loan, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 1452.

19 **XII.**

20 **Failure to Disclose CFL License Number on Loan Contract (Section 22337, Subdivision (a))**

21 49. Section 22337, subdivision (a), provides, in pertinent part, that each lender shall
22 “[d]eliver or cause to be delivered to the borrower, or any one thereof, at the time the loan is made, a
23 statement showing in clear and distinct terms the name, address, and license number of the finance
24 lender and the broker, if any.”

25 50. The Commissioner’s Special Exam reveals that between its licensing on August 3,
26 2021, and July 5, 2022, Celsius Lending failed to deliver or cause to be delivered to the borrower a
27 statement showing its license number for 11,195 loans, in violation of section 22337, subdivision (a).

28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

XIII.

Failure to Obtain Broker Statements (Section 22337, Subdivision (b))

51. Section 22337, subdivision (b), provides, in pertinent part, that each lender shall “[o]btain from the borrower a signed statement as to whether any person has performed any act as a broker in connection with the making of the loan. . . . The finance lender shall keep these statements for a period of three years from and after the date the loan had been paid in full, or has matured according to its terms, or has been charged off.”

52. The Commissioner’s Special Exam revealed that between its licensing on August 3, 2021, and July 5, 2022, Celsius Lending failed to obtain from the borrower or retain a signed statement as to whether any person has performed any act as a broker in connection with the making of the loan for 11,195 loans, in violation of section 22337, subdivision (b).

XIV.

Failure to Disclose Required Information on Statement of Loan (California Code of Regulations,

Title 10, Section 1454(a)(3) – (a)(6))

53. California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 1454(a), provides, in pertinent part:

In addition to the specific requirements of Sections 22337, 22338 and 22400(b) of the Financial Code, the statement of loan form shall contain the following and may consist of more than one document:

[. . .]

(3) A statement of any fees, charges, costs, insurance premiums or other sums which have been paid or are to be paid by or on behalf of the borrower at the time the loan is made. . . ;

(4) A statement that the borrower shall have the right to make payment in advance and in any amount on any contract of loan at any time;

(5) A statement in not less than 12-point bold face capital letters:
FOR INFORMATION CONTACT THE DEPARTMENT OF
BUSINESS OVERSIGHT, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

(6) A statement that the loan is made pursuant to the California Finance Lenders Law, Division 9 (commencing with Section 22000) of the Financial Code.

54. The Commissioner’s Special Exam revealed that between its licensing on August 3, 2021, and July 5, 2022, Celsius Lending failed to provide borrowers the disclosures required by

1 California Code of Regulations, title 10, sections 1454(a)(3) – (a)(6), in violation of that section.

2 **XV.**

3 **Failure to Maintain a Surety Bond (Section 22112, Subdivision (a))**

4 55. Section 22112, subdivision (a) provides, in pertinent part, “A licensee shall maintain a
5 surety bond in accordance with this subdivision in a minimum amount of twenty-five thousand dollars
6 (\$25,000).”

7 56. On or about July 19, 2022, Great American Insurance Company cancelled Celsius
8 Lending’s surety bond. Celsius was unable to obtain a replacement surety, and, since the cancellation
9 of the bond, has been in violation of section 22112, subdivision (a).

10 **XVI.**

11 **Applicable Law**

12 57. Section 22700, subdivision (c), provides:

13 Surrender of a license becomes effective 30 days after receipt of an
14 application to surrender the license or within a shorter period of time
15 that the commissioner may determine, unless a revocation or suspension
16 proceeding is pending when the application is filed or a proceeding to
17 revoke or suspend or to impose conditions upon the surrender is
instituted within 30 days after the application is filed. If a proceeding is
pending or instituted, surrender of a license becomes effective at the
time and upon the conditions that the commissioner determines.

18 58. Section 22707.5, subdivision (b), provides, in pertinent part

19 [N]othing in this section shall prevent the commissioner from issuing an
20 order to . . . suspend all business operations to a person or licensee who
21 is engaged in or who has engaged in continued or repeated violations of
22 this division. In any of these circumstances, the sanctions authorized
under this section shall be separate from, and in addition to, all other
administrative, civil, or criminal remedies.

23 59. Section 22714, subdivision (a) provides, in pertinent part:

24 The commissioner shall suspend or revoke any license, upon notice and
25 reasonable opportunity to be heard, if the commissioner finds any of the
following:

26 [. . .]

27 (2) The licensee has violated any provision of this division or any rule or
28 regulation made by the commissioner under and within the authority of
this division.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[. . .]

(4) There has been repeated failure by the finance lender, when making or negotiating loans, to take into consideration in determining the size and duration of loans, the financial ability of the borrower to repay the loan in the time and manner provided in the loan contract, or to refinance the loan at maturity.

XVII.

Order Conditioning Surrender of License (Section 22700, Subdivision (c))

60. Pursuant to section 22700, subdivision (c), the Commissioner will not accept surrender of Celsius Lending’s CFL license unless, after providing Celsius Lending with notice and an opportunity for a hearing, the Commissioner ultimately determines some license action other than revocation is warranted, at which time the Commissioner will determine at what time and upon what conditions the surrender will be effective. The Commissioner has concurrently issued an immediately effective separate order conditioning surrender of the license.

XVIII.

Order to Suspend All Business Operations (Section 22707.5, Subdivision (b))

61. Pursuant to section 22707.5, subdivision (b), the Commissioner has concurrently issued a separate order to Celsius Lending to immediately suspend all business operations requiring a CFL license due to its continued or repeated violations of the CFL.

62. Pursuant to section 22716, the order does not impair or affect the obligation of any preexisting lawful contract between Celsius Lending and any borrower.

XIX.

Prayer for Order Revoking CFL License (Section 22714)

63. Celsius Lending has violated provisions of the CFL by:

- a. Making 5 loans without a CFL license in violation of section 22100, subdivision (a);
- b. Advertising false, misleading, or deceptive statements or representations in violation of section 22161, subdivision (a)(3);
- c. Imposing and collecting unlawful prepayment penalties against 15 loans, in violation of section 22307.5;

1 d. Knowingly making untrue statements to the Commissioner with the intent to
2 impede, obstruct, or influence the administration or enforcement of the CFL by transmitting sample
3 loan terms to the Commissioner in the course of licensing to deceive the Commissioner into believing
4 it would not impose unlawful prepayment penalties, in violation of section 22170, subdivision (b);

5 e. Imposing excess charges upon collateral liquidation on 875 liquidated loans, in
6 violation of sections 22306 and 22307, subdivision (a);

7 f. Contracting for 7,886 loans of principal amount less than \$10,000 that do not
8 provide for payment of the aggregate amount contracted to paid in substantially equal periodical
9 installments, in violation of section 22308, subdivision (b), and provide for unlawful balloon
10 payments, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 1453;

11 g. Contracting for 1,382 loans with terms violating the maximum limits set forth in
12 section 22334, subdivision (a);

13 h. Contracting for 312 loans with terms violating the minimum limits set forth in
14 section 22334, subdivision (c);

15 i. Contracting for 11,195 loans without considering the borrower's ability to repay
16 the loan, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 1452;

17 j. Failing to deliver to the borrower a statement showing Celsius Lending's
18 license number on 11,195 loans, in violation of section 22161, subdivision (a);

19 k. Failing to obtain from the borrower or retain for regulatory review a signed
20 statement as to whether any person has performed any act as a broker in connection with the making
21 of the loan for 11,195 loans, in violation of section 22161, subdivision (c);

22 l. Failing to disclose, for 11,195 loans, information required by California Code of
23 Regulations, title 10, sections 1454(a)(3) – (a)(6), in violation of that section.

24 m. Failing to maintain a surety bond of at least \$25,000, in violation of section
25 22112, subdivision (a).

26 64. The Commissioner's Special Exam remains ongoing, and the Commissioner expressly
27 reserves the right to amend this accusation to add additional charges of violations of the CFL.

28 65. WHEREFORE, IT IS PRAYED that Respondent Celsius Lending's CFL license be

1 revoked for its over 55,000 violations of the CFL or regulations made under the authority of the CFL,
2 and for its repeated failure, when making or negotiating loans, to take into consideration in
3 determining the size and duration of loans, the financial ability of the borrower to repay the loan in the
4 time and manner provided in the loan contract, or to refinance the loan at maturity.

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: August 19, 2022
Sacramento, California

CLOTHILDE V. HEWLITT
Commissioner of Financial Protection and Innovation

By _____
JEREMY F. KOO
Counsel
Enforcement Division