1	CLOTHILDE V. HEWLETT					
2	Commissioner MARY ANN SMITH					
3	Deputy Commissioner					
4	MIRANDA LEKANDER Assistant Chief Counsel					
5	SALLY HONG (State Bar No. 317754) Counsel					
6	Department of Financial Protection and Innovation					
7	One Sansome Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, California 94014					
8	Telephone: (415) 740-8127					
9	Attorneys for Complainant					
10						
11	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL PROTECTION AND INNOVATION					
12	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
13						
14	In the Matter of:	)				
15	THE COMMISSIONER OF FINANCIAL	) DESIST AND REFRAIN ORDER and				
16	PROTECTION AND INNOVATION,	) ORDER ASSESSING PENALTIES )				
17	Complainant, v.	)				
18	KEPLER & STONE LAW GROUP,	))) (Cal Ein Cada  00015 (b) (a) (d)(1))				
19		) (Cal. Fin. Code § 90015 (b), (c), (d)(1)) )				
20	Respondent.	)				
21						
22		) )				
23						
24						
25	The Complainant, the Commissioner of Financial Protection and Innovation (Commissioner)					
26	of the Department of Financial Protection and Innovation (Department), is informed and believes,					
27	and based on such information and belief, finds the following:					
28	///					
		-1-				
	DESIST AND REFRAIN ORDER	AND ORDER ASSESSING PENALTIES				

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# Introduction

I.

 The Commissioner has jurisdiction over the licensing and regulation of persons engaged in the business of debt collection in California under the Debt Collection Licensing Act (DCLA) (Cal. Fin. Code §§ 100000-100025).

2. The Commissioner has jurisdiction over the regulation of persons who engage, have engaged, and propose to engage in offering or providing a consumer financial product or service in California and affiliated service providers under the California Consumer Financial Protection Law (CCFPL) (Cal. Fin. Code §§ 90000-90019).

 At all relevant times, Kepler & Stone Law Group (Kepler & Stone) was a business entity of unknown form, with a purported mailing address at 700 E Main Street, Suite 2487, Richmond, Virginia 23219. Kepler & Stone operates a website at https://keplerstone.com/.

# II.

#### **Factual Background**

4. Kepler & Stone is a debt collector as defined in California Civil Code section
1788.2(c) of the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (Rosenthal Act) (Cal. Civ. Code §§
1788-1788.33).

5. On May 23, 2022, Kepler & Stone sent a letter to a California resident (Consumer) attempting to collect a purported debt. However, the letter did not include a notice explaining that the letter was sent as an attempt to collect debt.

6. On June 1, 2022, the Consumer made a follow-up call to Kepler & Stone, whereupon a representative named "Ben" informed the Consumer that the debt was from 2008. When the Consumer stated that the referenced account was so old that they could not collect on it, "Ben" informed the Consumer that the debt account was closed and sent a follow-up link allowing the Consumer to confirm that the account was closed.

False, Threatening Communications in Debt Collection

7. The letter sent by Kepler & Stone contained numerous sections that made the letter seem official and were threatening:

		a.	The section on the left corner of the letter read "Official Business, Penalty for
	Private Use;"		
		b.	The name on the letterhead indicated that the sender was a "Law Group;"
		c.	The letter was titled "Notification of Lawsuit Filing and Civil Complaint;"
		d.	On the top right corner, a section titled "FILE SUMMARY" listed a purported
	cash advance	loan fo	llowed by the words "Description: NSF Fraud;"
		e.	The letter contained threats to contact the Consumer's employer, sister, and
another person whom the Consumer did not recognize if "this matter [should] go to trial;"		the Consumer did not recognize if "this matter [should] go to trial;"	
		f.	The letter was allegedly "CC'd" to several entities, including the "United
	States District	t Court	for California," "Transunion," and "Experian;" and,
		g.	The letter claimed that if the Consumer failed to respond, Kepler & Stone
	would file a la	awsuit a	against the Consumer in "a District Court of the United States."
	8.	The le	tter also contained false representations, including but not limited to:
		a.	That it was the "second notice," when in fact it was Kepler & Stone's first
	contact with t	he Cons	sumer; and,
		b.	That the letter constituted a legal proceeding when, in fact, Kepler & Stone
did not commence or serve the Consumer with notice of any legal action.		serve the Consumer with notice of any legal action.	
	9. The Commissioner finds that the letter sent by Kepler & Stone to the Consumer		
	violated provi	sions of	f the Rosenthal Act, which prohibits the following:
		a.	Threatening to collect or attempt to collect a consumer debt by means of
	communicatir	ng with	an employer regarding the alleged debt unless such communication is necessary
	to the collection	on of th	e alleged debt or unless the debtor or his/her attorney has consented in writing
to such communication, in violation of California Civil Code sections 1788.10(f) and 1788.12(a);			on, in violation of California Civil Code sections 1788.10(f) and 1788.12(a);
		b.	Threatening to collect or attempt to collect a consumer debt by
	communicatir	ng infor	mation regarding the consumer debt to any member of the debtor's family,
	other than the	debtor'	's spouse or parents or guardian, prior to obtaining a judgment against the
	debtor, except	t where	the purpose of communication is to locate the debtor or unless the debtor or

his/her attorney has consented in writing to such communication, in violation of California Civil
 Code sections 1788.10(f) and 1788.12(b);

c. Falsely representing that a legal proceeding has been or is about to be instituted unless payment is made, in violation of California Civil Code section 1788.13(j);

d. Failing to notify the Consumer when attempting to collect on an obsolete debt
 that because of the age of the debt the debt collector will not sue for it, in violation of California
 Civil Code section 1788.14(d)(2); and,

8 e. In collecting or attempting to collect a consumer debt, failing to comply with
9 provisions of sections 1692b to 1692j of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA), in
10 violation of California Civil Code section 1788.17.

10. The letter sent by Kepler & Stone violated provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to the following:

a. Using false, deceptive or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt, in violation of title 15 of the United States Code section 1692e; and,

b. Failing to provide certain notices within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in an attempt to collect debt, in violation of title 15 of the United States Code section 1692g.

18

3

4

5

6

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

# Failure to Submit License Application in Violation of the DCLA

11. The DCLA, which became effective on January 1, 2021, requires persons engaged in the business of debt collection in California to be licensed beginning on January 1, 2022, pursuant to California Financial Code section 100001(a). However, a debt collector who submits an application prior to January 1, 2022 shall be allowed to operate pending the approval or denial of the application pursuant to California Financial Code section 100000.5(c).

12. Kepler & Stone has failed to submit an application for a debt collector license. From
January 1, 2022 through at least May 2022, there was no application pending the approval or denial
of its application.

13. In or around May 2022, despite lacking licensure or a pending application, Kepler &
Stone engaged in the business of debt collection in this state by attempting to collect a debt from at

least one California consumer, in violation of California Financial Code section 100001(a), by 1 sending a collection letter to the California consumer for an alleged debt of \$620.68, stating, among other things, "It is imperative that you mail your balance in full today to the above address in order to prevent this action, or that you call our office upon receipt of this notice." III. **Applicable Law** 14. California Financial Code section 100001(a) provides that "[n]o person shall engage in the business of debt collection in this state without first obtaining a license." 15. California Financial Code section 100000.5(c) provides that "[t]he commissioner shall allow any debt collector that submits an application prior to January 1, 2022, to operate pending the approval or denial of the application." 16. California Financial Code section 100002(h) defines "debt" as "money, property, or their equivalent that is due or owing or alleged to be due or owing from a natural person to another person." 17. California Financial Code section 100002(f) provides: "Consumer debt" or "consumer credit" as means money, property, or their equivalent, due or owing, or alleged to be due or owing, from a natural person by reason of a consumer credit transaction. The term "consumer debt" includes a mortgage debt. The term "consumer debt" includes "charged-off consumer debt" as defined in Section 1788.50 of the Civil Code. 18. California Financial Code section 100002(i) defines "debt collection" as "any act or practice in connection with the collection of consumer debt." 19. California Financial Code section100002(j) provides: "Debt collector" means any person who, in the ordinary course of business, regularly, on the person's own behalf or on behalf of others, 24 engages in debt collection. The term includes any person who composes 25 and sells, or offers to compose and sell, forms, letters and other collection media used or intended to be used for debt collection. The term "debt 26 collector" includes "debt buyer" as defined in Section 1788.50 of the Civil Code. 27 28 20. California Financial Code section 90005 provides in relevant part: -5-

1		(d) "Consumer financial law" means a federal or California law that directly and specifically regulates the manner, content, or terms and	
2 3		conditions of any financial transaction, or any account, product, or service related thereto, with respect to a consumer	
4		(e) "Consumer financial product or service" means either of the following:	
5		(1) A financial product or service that is delivered, offered, or provided for use by consumers primarily for personal, family, or household purposes	
6		(f) "Covered person" means, to the extent not preempted by federal law,	
7 8		any of the following: (1) Any person that engages in offering or providing a consumer financial product or service to a resident of this state	
9		(k) "Financial product or service" means (10) Collecting debt related	
10		to any consumer financial product or service (emphasis added.)	
11	21.	California Financial Code section 90003(a) provides in relevant part:	
12		(a) It is unlawful for a covered person or service provider, as defined in subdivision (f) of Section 90005, to do any of the following:	
13			
14		(1) Engage, have engaged, or propose to engage in any unlawful, unfair, deceptive, or abusive act or practice with respect to consumer financial	
15		products or services.	
16		(2) Offer or provide to a consumer any financial product or service not in	
17		conformity with any consumer financial law or otherwise commit any act or omission in violation of a consumer financial law	
18	22.	The DCLA, the Rosenthal Act, and the FDCPA are consumer financial laws within	
19	the meaning of California Financial Code section 90003(a)(2).		
20	23.	Section 1788.2(c) of the Rosenthal Act provides:	
21		The term "debt collector" means any person who, in the ordinary course of	
22		business, regularly, on behalf of that person or others, engages in debt	
23		collection. The term includes any person who composes and sells, or offers to compose and sell, forms, letters, and other collection media used	
24		or intended to be used for debt collection.	
25	24.	Section 1788.10(f) of the Rosenthal Act provides, "No debt collector shall collect or	
26	attempt to collect a consumer debt by means of the following conduct: (f) The threat to take any		
27	action against the debt which is prohibited by this title."		
28	25.	Section 1788.12 of the Rosenthal Act provides in relevant part:	

1 No debt collector shall collect or attempt to collect a consumer debt by means of the following practices: (a) Communicating with the debtor's 2 employer regarding the debtor's consumer debt unless such a communication is necessary to the collection of the debt, or unless the 3 debtor or his attorney has consented in writing to such communication. A communication is necessary to the collection of the debt only if it is made 4 for the purposes of verifying the debtor's employment, locating the debtor, 5 or effecting garnishment, after judgment, of the debtor's wages, or in the case of a medical debt for the purpose of discovering the existence of 6 medical insurance . . . . (b) Communicating information regarding a 7 consumer debt to any member of the debtor's family, other than the debtor's spouse or the parents or guardians of the debtor ... prior to 8 obtaining a judgment against the debtor, except where the purpose of the communication is to locate the debtor, or where the debtor or his attorney 9 has consented in writing to such communication . . . 10 26. Section 1788.13(j) of the Rosenthal Act provides in relevant part, "No debt collector 11 shall collect or attempt to collect a consumer debt by means of the following practices: . . . The false 12 representation that a legal proceeding has been, is about to be, or will be instituted unless payment of 13 a consumer debt is made." 14 27. Section 1788.14 of the Rosenthal Act provides in relevant part: 15 No debt collector shall collect or attempt to collect a consumer debt by 16 means of the following practices: . . . (d) Sending a written communication to a debtor in an attempt to collect a time-barred debt 17 without providing the debtor with one of the following written notices: . . . 18 (2) If the debt is past the date for obsolescence set forth in Section 605(a0 of the Fair Credit Reporting Act (15 U.S.C. Sec. 1681c), the following 19 notice shall be included in the first written communication provided to the 20 debtor after the date for obsolescence: "The law limits how long you can be sued on a debt. Because of the age of your debt, we will not sue you for 21 it, and we will not report it to any credit reporting agency." 22 28. Section 1788.17 of the Rosenthal Act provides in relevant part: 23 Notwithstanding any other provision of this title, every debt collector collecting or attempting to collect a consumer debt shall comply with the provisions of Sections 24 1692b to 1692j, inclusive, of, and shall be subject to the remedies in Section 1692k 25 of, Title 15 of the United States Code .... 26 29. Section 1692e of the FDCPA provides in relevant part: 27 A debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading 28 representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt. Without limiting the general application of the foregoing, the following -7-DESIST AND REFRAIN ORDER AND ORDER ASSESSING PENALTIES

conduct is a violation of this section: (2) The false representation of (a) the character, amount, or legal status of any debt ... (3) The false representation or implication that any individual is an attorney or that any communication is from an attorney  $\dots$  (5) The threat to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken ... (9) The use or distribution of any written communication which simulates or is falsely represented to be a document authorized, issued, or approved by any court, official, or agency of the United States or any State, or which creates a false impression as to its source, authorization, or approval ... (11) The failure to disclose in the initial written communication with the consumer and, in addition, if the initial communication with the consumer is oral, in that initial oral communication, that the debt collector is attempting to collect a debt and that any information obtained will be used for that purpose, and the failure to disclose in subsequent communications that the communication is from a debt collector  $\dots$  (13) The false representation or implication that documents are legal process .... Section 1692g of the FDCPA provides in relevant part:

(a) Notice of debt: contents

Within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt, a debt collector shall, unless the following information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the consumer a written notice

containing--

(1) the amount of the debt;

(2) the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed;

(3) a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector;

(4) a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector; and(5) a statement that, upon the consumer's written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor...

31. California Financial Code section 90015(d) provides:

(1) If, in the opinion of the department, any person engages, has engaged, or proposes to engage in any activity prohibited by Section 90003 or 90004, or an activity, act, practice, or course of business that violates a law, rule, order, or any condition imposed in writing on the person by the department, the department may issue an order directing the person to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

30.

1 2	desist and refrain from engaging in the activity, act, practice, or course of business.		
3		(2) If that person fails to file a written request for a hearing within 30 days from the date of service of the order, the order shall be deemed a final	
4		order of the commissioner.	
5	32.	California Financial Code section 90015(c) provides, "[a]fter notice and an	
6	opportunity to be heard, the commissioner may, by order, assess penalties under subdivision (c) of		
7	Section 90012.		
8	33.	California Financial Code section 90012(c) provides in relevant part:	
9		In any civil or administrative action brought pursuant to this division, the	
10		following penalties shall apply:	
11		(1) Any person that violates, through any act or omission, any provision of this division shall forfeit and pay a penalty pursuant to this subdivision.	
12			
13	<ul><li>(A) The penalty amounts are as follows:</li><li>(i) For any violation of this division, rule or final order, or condition</li></ul>		
14		imposed in writing by the department, a penalty may not exceed the greater of either five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for each day during which	
15		the violation or failure to pay continues, or two thousand five hundred	
16		dollars (\$2,500) for each act or omission in violation	
17		IV.	
18	Desist and Refrain Order		
-			
19	34.	Based on the foregoing findings, the Commissioner is of the opinion that Kepler &	
19	Stone Law Gro	Based on the foregoing findings, the Commissioner is of the opinion that Kepler &	
19 20	Stone Law Gro with respect to	Based on the foregoing findings, the Commissioner is of the opinion that Kepler & oup is a covered person or service provider that engaged in unlawful acts or practices	
19 20 21	Stone Law Growith respect to section 90003	Based on the foregoing findings, the Commissioner is of the opinion that Kepler & oup is a covered person or service provider that engaged in unlawful acts or practices consumer financial products or services in violation of California Financial Code	
19 20 21 22	Stone Law Growith respect to section 90003 violated Califor	Based on the foregoing findings, the Commissioner is of the opinion that Kepler & oup is a covered person or service provider that engaged in unlawful acts or practices o consumer financial products or services in violation of California Financial Code (a)(1). Further, the Commissioner finds that Kepler & Stone Law Group	
<ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	Stone Law Gro with respect to section 90003 violated Califo financial produ	Based on the foregoing findings, the Commissioner is of the opinion that Kepler & oup is a covered person or service provider that engaged in unlawful acts or practices o consumer financial products or services in violation of California Financial Code (a)(1). Further, the Commissioner finds that Kepler & Stone Law Group ornia Financial Code section 90003(a)(2) by offering or providing to a consumer a	
<ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	Stone Law Gro with respect to section 90003 violated Califo financial produ	Based on the foregoing findings, the Commissioner is of the opinion that Kepler & oup is a covered person or service provider that engaged in unlawful acts or practices o consumer financial products or services in violation of California Financial Code (a)(1). Further, the Commissioner finds that Kepler & Stone Law Group ornia Financial Code section 90003(a)(2) by offering or providing to a consumer a act or service not in conformity with, or otherwise committed any act or omission in	
<ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	Stone Law Gro with respect to section 90003 violated Califo financial produ	Based on the foregoing findings, the Commissioner is of the opinion that Kepler & oup is a covered person or service provider that engaged in unlawful acts or practices o consumer financial products or services in violation of California Financial Code (a)(1). Further, the Commissioner finds that Kepler & Stone Law Group ornia Financial Code section 90003(a)(2) by offering or providing to a consumer a act or service not in conformity with, or otherwise committed any act or omission in the following consumer financial laws:	
<ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	Stone Law Gro with respect to section 90003 violated Califo financial produ	Based on the foregoing findings, the Commissioner is of the opinion that Kepler & oup is a covered person or service provider that engaged in unlawful acts or practices o consumer financial products or services in violation of California Financial Code (a)(1). Further, the Commissioner finds that Kepler & Stone Law Group ornia Financial Code section 90003(a)(2) by offering or providing to a consumer a fuct or service not in conformity with, or otherwise committed any act or omission in the following consumer financial laws: a. Section 100001(a) of the DCLA;	
<ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ol>	Stone Law Gro with respect to section 90003 violated Califo financial produ	<ul> <li>Based on the foregoing findings, the Commissioner is of the opinion that Kepler &amp; oup is a covered person or service provider that engaged in unlawful acts or practices o consumer financial products or services in violation of California Financial Code (a)(1). Further, the Commissioner finds that Kepler &amp; Stone Law Group ornia Financial Code section 90003(a)(2) by offering or providing to a consumer a act or service not in conformity with, or otherwise committed any act or omission in the following consumer financial laws:</li> <li>a. Section 100001(a) of the DCLA;</li> <li>b. Sections 1788.10(f) and 1788.12(a) and (b) of the Rosenthal Act;</li> </ul>	
<ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ol>	Stone Law Gro with respect to section 90003 violated Califo financial produ	<ul> <li>Based on the foregoing findings, the Commissioner is of the opinion that Kepler &amp; oup is a covered person or service provider that engaged in unlawful acts or practices o consumer financial products or services in violation of California Financial Code (a)(1). Further, the Commissioner finds that Kepler &amp; Stone Law Group ornia Financial Code section 90003(a)(2) by offering or providing to a consumer a act or service not in conformity with, or otherwise committed any act or omission in the following consumer financial laws:</li> <li>a. Section 100001(a) of the DCLA;</li> <li>b. Sections 1788.10(f) and 1788.12(a) and (b) of the Rosenthal Act;</li> </ul>	
<ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ol>	Stone Law Gro with respect to section 90003 violated Califo financial produ	<ul> <li>Based on the foregoing findings, the Commissioner is of the opinion that Kepler &amp; oup is a covered person or service provider that engaged in unlawful acts or practices of consumer financial products or services in violation of California Financial Code (a)(1). Further, the Commissioner finds that Kepler &amp; Stone Law Group ornia Financial Code section 90003(a)(2) by offering or providing to a consumer a act or service not in conformity with, or otherwise committed any act or omission in the following consumer financial laws:</li> <li>a. Section 100001(a) of the DCLA;</li> <li>b. Sections 1788.10(f) and 1788.12(a) and (b) of the Rosenthal Act;</li> <li>c. Section 1788.13(j) of the Rosenthal Act;</li> </ul>	

1	d. Section 1788.14(d) of the Rosenthal Act; and		
2	e. Section 1788.17 of the Rosenthal Act;		
3	i. Section1692e(2), (3), (5), (9), (11), and (13) of the FDCPA; and,		
4	ii. Section 1692g(a) of the FDCPA.		
5	35. Pursuant to California Financial Code section 90015(d)(1), Kepler & Stone Law		
6	Group and its managers, officers, directors, agents, or employees, are hereby ordered to desist and		
7	refrain from engaging in, or proposing to engage in, unlawful acts or practices in collecting or		
8	attempting to collect any consumer debt in violation of California Financial Code section		
9	90003(a)(2), including but not limited to violating the following:		
10	a. Section 100001(a) of the DCLA;		
11	b. Sections 1788.10(f) and 1788.12(a) and (b) of the Rosenthal Act;		
12	c. Section 1788.13(j) of the Rosenthal Act;		
13	d. Section 1788.14(d) of the Rosenthal Act; and		
14	e. Section 1788.17 of the Rosenthal Act;		
15	i. Sections 1692e(2), (3), (5), (9), (11), and (13) of the FDCPA; and,		
16	ii. Section 1692g(a) of the FDCPA.		
17	36. Furthermore, based on the foregoing findings, the Commissioner is of the opinion that		
18	Kepler & Stone Law Group is a "covered person" or service provider that engaged in deceptive acts		
19	or practices with respect to "consumer financial products or services" in violation of California		
20	Financial Code section 90003(a)(1).		
21	37. Pursuant to California Financial Code section 90015(d)(1), Kepler & Stone Law		
22	Group and its managers, officers, directors, agents, or employees, are hereby ordered to desist and		
23	refrain from engaging in, or proposing to engage in, deceptive acts or practices in collecting or		
24	attempting to collect any consumer debt in violation of California Financial Code section 90003		
25	(a)(1).		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		
	-10-		
	DESIST AND REFRAIN ORDER AND ORDER ASSESSING PENALTIES		

Dated: October 11, 2022

San Francisco, California

38. This Order is necessary, in the public interest, for the protection of consumers and consistent with the purposes, policies, and provisions of the California Consumer Financial Protection Law. This Order shall remain in full force and effect until further order of the Commissioner.

# V.

# **Order Assessing Penalties**

39. Pursuant to California Financial Code sections 90015(c) and 90012(c), and after due consideration of possible mitigating factors and other appropriateness considerations per California Financial Code section 90012(c)(1)(B), Kepler and Stone Law Group is hereby ordered to pay an administrative penalty of \$30,000.00 to the Commissioner within 30 days of the date of this order. The penalty shall be made payable in the form of an Automated Clearing House deposit or cashier's check payable to the Department of Financial Protection and Innovation and transmitted to the attention of "Accounting – Litigation," at the Department of Financial Protection and Innovation, 2101 Arena Boulevard, Sacramento, California 95814-2306. Notice of the payment must be concurrently sent to the Commissioner's Enforcement Counsel Sally Hong via e-mail at Sally.Hong@dfpi.ca.gov.

CLOTHILDE V. HEWLETT Commissioner of Financial Protection and Innovation

By:

MARY ANN SMITH Deputy Commissioner Enforcement Division