1	CLOTHILDE V. HEWLETT			
2	Commissioner			
2	MARY ANN SMITH			
3	Deputy Commissioner			
4	DANIEL P. O'DONNELL  4 Assistant Chief Counsel			
4	Assistant Chief Counsel JOANNE ROSS (State Bar No. 202338)			
5	Senior Counsel			
6	RYAN CASSIDY (State Bar No. 340274)			
	Counsel			
7	Department of Financial Protection and Innovation			
8	2101 Arena Boulevard			
9	Sacramento, California 95834 Telephone: (916) 936-7908			
9	Facsimile: (916) 928-7929			
10				
11	Attorneys for Complainant			
12	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL PROTECTION AND INNOVATION			
13	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
14	In the Matter of:	CRD NOs.: 705 and 6694		
	THE GOLD HEGGIONER OF ERVINOLAT			
15	THE COMMISSIONER OF FINANCIAL PROTECTION AND INNOVATION,	GOVGENT OPPER		
16	TROTLETION AND INNOVATION,	CONSENT ORDER		
17	Complainant,			
1/	v.			
18				
19	RAYMOND JAMES & ASSOCIATES, INC.,			
	and RAYMOND JAMES FINANCIAL			
20	SERVICES, INC.,			
21	Respondents.			
22				
23	The Commissioner of Financial Protection and Innovation (Commissioner) and Raymond			
24	James & Associates, Inc. and Raymond James Financial Services, Inc. (collectively, Raymond			
25	James) hereby enter this Consent Order (Consent Order or Order) with respect to the following:			
26	I.			
27	<u>RECI</u>	<u>rals</u>		
28	A. Raymond James & Associates, Inc. (CRD)	No. 705) is a broker-dealer registered in		

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

- California since September 12, 1978, with a principal place of business at 880 Carillon В. Parkway, St. Petersburg, Florida 33716, and 42 branch offices in California.
- C. Raymond James Financial Services, Inc. (CRD No. 6694) is a broker-dealer registered in California since September 2, 1977, with a principal place of business at 880 Carillon Parkway, St. Petersburg, Florida 33716, and 231 branch offices in California.
  - D. The Commissioner is authorized to administer and enforce the provisions of the Corporate Securities Law of 1968 (Cal. Corp. Code §§ 25000-25707) (CSL) and the regulations promulgated thereunder at title 10 of the California Code of Regulations, which include the licensure, examination, and regulation of investment advisers and broker-dealers.
  - E. Members of the North American Securities Administrators Association (NASAA) conducted a coordinated investigation into whether Raymond James charged unreasonable commissions on certain low principal equity transactions during the period of approximately July 1, 2018 to the present (Investigation).
  - F. Raymond James has agreed to resolve the Investigation upon the terms specified herein.
  - G. The Commissioner and Raymond James intend to resolve this matter amicably without the necessity of a hearing or other litigation. Raymond James admits to the jurisdiction of the Commissioner, neither admits nor denies the findings of fact and conclusions of law contained in this Order, and consents to the entry of this Order by the Commissioner.
  - H. Raymond James agrees to comply in all material respects with the undertakings specified herein.
  - Raymond James elects to permanently waive the right to any hearings, and to any I. reconsideration, appeal, or other right to review which may be afforded pursuant to the CSL, the California Administrative Procedures Act, the California Code of Civil Procedure, or any other provision of law with respect to this Consent Order, Order to Discontinue Violations, Order for Restitution, Order for Penalties and Order for Investigative Costs contained herein.
  - NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing, and the terms and conditions contained herein, the Commissioner and Raymond James (the Parties) agree to the following.

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

II.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- A. Raymond James's Minimum Commission Practices for Equity Transactions Did Not Ensure Transactions Were Executed at a Fair and Reasonable Price
- Between July 1, 2018 and the date of this Consent Order (Relevant Time Period), Raymond 1. James charged unreasonable commissions to many retail brokerage customers on certain low principal equity transactions.
- For equity transactions executed during the Relevant Time Period, Raymond James generally 2. charged retail brokerage customers according to a tiered commission schedule calculated based on the principal amount of the trade.
- The commission schedule ranged from 3% of principal plus \$5 for equity buy and sell transactions between \$0-\$4,999.99 to 0.8% of principal plus \$355 for equity trades \$50,000 and above.
- Raymond James charged a minimum commission of \$75 for all equity buy and sell 4. transactions (Minimum Equity Commission), excluding, among other transactions, those involving equities underwritten by Raymond James's affiliated investment bank.
- 5. Raymond James had an alternative small transaction commission schedule, available for equity sell transactions with a principal amount of \$300 or less.
- 6. This schedule allowed agents to charge between \$0 and \$35 per transaction versus the \$75 Minimum Equity Commission.
- 7. Despite the small stock transaction schedule, even for positions valued at \$300 or less, Raymond James's order entry systems defaulted to the Minimum Equity Commission where applicable.
- 8. During the Relevant Time Period, Raymond James executed over 270,000 transactions nationwide which included a commission in excess of 5% of the principal value, totaling over \$8,250,000 in excess commissions.
- 9. In California, Raymond James executed approximately 16,000 transactions which included unreasonable commissions for services performed (i.e. in excess of 5% of the principal trade amount),

vation
nd Innc
Protection a
$\Gamma$
7
13
State of California - Department of Financial Protection and Innovation
$\Box$
California –
Ţ
0
State

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

totaling approximately \$465,000.

- 10. Numerous equity transactions executed by Raymond James included a commission in excess of 90% of the principal value of the transaction.
- B. Raymond James Did Not Reasonably Surveil Transactions Which Applied the Minimum Equity Commission
- 11. Raymond James did not reasonably surveil transactions which included a Minimum Equity Commission charge to ensure that Raymond James charged its customers a reasonable commission and fee.
- 12. Raymond James only systematically surveilled commissions in instances where the gross commission was greater than the Minimum Equity Commission.
- 13. Firms, including Raymond James, use exception reports to surveil commissions.
- 14. Raymond James did not have in place exception reports sufficient to supervise low principal transactions where the Minimum Equity Commission or mark-up was in excess of 5%.
- 15. As a result, Raymond James's surveillance policies excluded transactions which applied the Minimum Equity Commission from review and thus failed to detect and correct unreasonable commission charges.
- C. Raymond James Previously Failed to Engage Systems to Reasonably Monitor Equity Commissions
- 16. In 2011, Raymond James submitted Letters of Acceptance, Waiver and Consent to the Financial Regulatory Authority (FINRA) pursuant to FINRA Rule 9216 of FINRA's Code of Procedure (AWCs).
- 17. The AWCs provide that from January 1, 2006 through at least October 31, 2010, Raymond James's application of automated commission schedules to certain low-priced securities transactions did not consider whether such commissions were fair and reasonable as contemplated under the National Association of Securities Dealers or NASD Conduct Rule 2440 and IM-2440-1(b) (both superseded by FINRA Rule 2121).
- 18. The AWCs required Raymond James to pay over \$1.7 million in restitution to customers for conduct similar to Raymond James's conduct detailed in Paragraphs 1 through 10.

vation	
DIIR R	
Totection and	
läl Fr	
r F H H H H H H	
<u> </u>	
Jepar	٠,
<u>_</u>	
Ξ.	
State of	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 19. The AWCs imposed additional sanctions, including fines totaling \$425,000.
- 20. Despite these sanctions, Raymond James did not implement or maintain adequate compliance and supervisory systems to monitor Minimum Equity Commissions.

#### III.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 21. It is a violation of CSL section 25218 and Code of Regulations, title 10, section 260.218.4 for a broker-dealer firm to fail to establish and maintain a supervisory system that is reasonably designed to achieve compliance with securities laws and regulations.
- 22. Raymond James's charging of unreasonable commissions and failure to implement and maintain adequate supervisory systems violated CSL section 25218 and Code of Regulations, title 10, section 260.218.4.

## IV.

## **TERMS AND CONDITIONS**

#### A. Orders

- 23. Order to Discontinue Violations. Pursuant to Corporations Code section 25249, Raymond James is hereby ordered to discontinue violating CSL section 25218 and Code of Regulations, title 10, section 260.218.4 on July 17, 2023 consistent with the Compliance Date described at Paragraph 28, below.
- 24. Order to Pay Restitution. Pursuant to Corporations Code section 25254 (a), Raymond James is hereby ordered to pay full restitution in the amount of over \$465,000, plus interest in the amount of 6%, to the California customers with transactions which included an unreasonable commission for services performed (i.e. in excess of 5% of the principal trade amount) from July 1, 2018 through July 17, 2023 (Restitution). Restitution payments shall be made to these customers within 30 days of the Effective Date, as defined in Paragraph 54 below. Evidence of the Restitution payments shall be provided to Monica Ahrens, Senior Legal Analyst, Department of Financial Protection and Innovation, Enforcement Division, via email at: Monica. Ahrens@DFPI.ca.gov.
- Raymond James agrees that any notice of Restitution shall be sent to the last known a. address of record for such customers, a draft of which shall be provided to the Commissioner by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

sending to Joanne Ross, Senior Counsel, Department of Financial Protection and Innovation, Enforcement Division, via email at Joanne.Ross@DFPI.ca.gov within 30 days of the Effective Date, as defined in Paragraph 54 below (Notice Letter). The Notice Letter shall be not unacceptable to the Commissioner.

- Within 30 days of receipt by the Commissioner, Raymond James shall mail to the b. identified customers the Notice Letter, which will remain open for 60 days. Within 45 days of the mailing of the Notice Letter, Raymond James shall provide the Commissioner with a list of all California customers from whom Raymond James receives a Notice Letter as returned to sender (Undeliverable California Customers). The Restitution shall be in the form of a dollar credit for existing customers, and in the form of a bank check for customers with closed accounts. Within 45 days of the expiration of the Notice Letter, Raymond James agrees to prepare, and submit to the Commissioner via email to Monica Ahrens, Senior Legal Analyst, Department of Financial Protection and Innovation, Enforcement Division, at: Monica. Ahrens@DFPI.ca.gov., a report detailing Restitution paid pursuant to the Order, which shall include:
  - i. Identification of all accepted and verified offers;
  - ii. Dates, amounts, and methods of the transfer of funds for all Restitution payments;
  - iii. Identification and detailed descriptions of any objections received by Raymond James.
- 25. Handling of Unclaimed Restitution. Raymond James shall escheat any returned or unclaimed Restitution to the California State Controller's Office within the period provided by Code of Civil Procedure section 1520 of the Unclaimed Property Law (Code of Civ. Proc., § 1500 et seq.). In the event of escheat, notice shall be sent within fifteen business days to the Commissioner via email to Monica Ahrens, Senior Legal Analyst, Department of Financial Protection and Innovation, Enforcement Division, at: Monica. Ahrens@DFPI.ca.gov., and shall include a copy of any escheatment report(s) submitted to the California State Controller. 26. Order to Pay Penalties. Pursuant to Corporations Code section 25252, Raymond James is

hereby ordered to pay a penalty totaling \$75,000.00 (Penalty) to the Commissioner for violations of

the CSL. Payment of the Penalty shall be made no more than 15 days after the Effective Date, as

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

defined in Paragraph 54 below, and should be made in the form of a cashier's check or Automated Clearing House deposit payable to the "Department of Financial Protection and Innovation" and transmitted to the attention of "Accounting – Litigation" at Department of Financial Protection and Innovation, 2101 Arena Boulevard, Sacramento, California 95834-2036. Notice of such payment shall be forwarded to Joanne Ross, Senior Counsel, Department of Financial Protection and Innovation, Enforcement Division, via email at: Joanne.Ross@DFPI.ca.gov.

27. Order to Pay Investigative Costs. Pursuant to Corporations Code section 25254 (b), Raymond James is hereby ordered to pay investigative costs totaling \$25,000.00 (Investigative Costs) to the Commissioner for costs incurred by the Commissioner in the investigation detailed herein. Payment of the Investigative Costs shall be made no more than 15 days after the Effective Date, as defined in Paragraph 54 below, and should be made in the form of a cashier's check or Automated Clearing House deposit payable to the "Department of Financial Protection and Innovation" and transmitted to the attention of "Accounting – Litigation" at Department of Financial Protection and Innovation, 2101 Arena Boulevard, Sacramento, California 95834-2036.

Notice of such payment shall be forwarded to Joanne Ross, Senior Counsel, Department of

Financial Protection and Innovation, Enforcement Division, via email at:

Joanne.Ross@DFPI.ca.gov.

# B. Remediation and Further Undertakings

- 28. Compliance Date. Raymond James has represented to the Commissioner that its systems are currently being modified in accordance with this Consent Order and those modifications will not be able to be fully in place until July 17, 2023 (Compliance Date).
- 29. Certification of Improved Policies and Procedures. The Chief Compliance Officer (CCO) of Raymond James shall certify in writing to the Commissioner within sixty (60) days of the Effective Date, as defined in Paragraph 54 below, that Raymond James's policies and procedures have been changed and enhanced to ensure that all commissions are fair and reasonable (Certification). The written Certification should be delivered to Joanne Ross, Senior Counsel, Department of Financial Protection and Innovation, Enforcement Division, via email at: Joanne.Ross@DFPI.ca.gov. At a minimum, the Certification shall verify that Raymond James's policies and procedures include the

following:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- a. Compliance systems to prevent the imposition of unreasonable or unfair commissions;
- b. Operational changes designed to ensure that, regardless of the principal amount of a transaction, commissions will not exceed 5%, in the absence of a documented exception;
- Incorporation of all equity transactions, regardless of the principal amount of the c. transaction, into any systems used to identify and review potentially excessive commissions; and
- d. Implementation of revised commission payout not unacceptable to the Commissioner.
- 30. Internal Review. Within one year from the date of the Certification, as set forth in Paragraph 29, Raymond James shall undergo, at its own expense, a review by an internal unit, not unacceptable to the Commissioner, to confirm the implementation of the changes set forth above and to assess the efficacy of such changes to Raymond James's practices, policies and procedures as identified in Paragraph 29, above (Review).
- a. At the conclusion of this Review, which in no case shall take more than sixty (60) days, Raymond James shall issue a report of its findings and recommendations concerning Raymond James's adherence to and the efficacy of changes (Report). The Report shall be promptly delivered to the Commissioner within ten (10) days of its completion.
- b. No later than thirty (30) days after receipt of the Report, Raymond James shall provide a detailed, written response to any and all findings and recommendations in the Report to the Commissioner, including, but not limited to, the reason(s) for any deficiencies identified, and a process and procedure to address deficiencies, recommendations, or other issues identified in the Report.
- c. Raymond James shall retain copies of any and all documentation as set forth in Paragraphs 29 and 30 in an easily accessible place for a period of five (5) years from the date of the reports.
- Tax Deduction/Credit Prohibition. Raymond James agrees that it shall not claim, assert, or 31. apply for a tax deduction or tax credit with regard to any state, federal or local tax for any penalty

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

that Raymond James shall pay pursuant to this Consent Order and as governed under enacted Regulations under Internal Revenue Code Section 162(f).

- 32. <u>Insurance Claim Prohibition</u>. Raymond James agrees that it shall not seek or accept, directly or indirectly, reimbursement or indemnification, including, but not limited to, any payments made pursuant to any insurance policy, with regard to any amount that Raymond James shall pay pursuant to this Consent Order.
- 33. <u>Bankruptcy Notice</u>. Raymond James agrees that if it is the subject of a voluntary or involuntary bankruptcy petition under Title 11 of the United States Code within three hundred sixty-five (365) days of the Effective Date of this Consent Order, Raymond James shall provide written notice to the Commissioner within five (5) days of the date of the petition.

## C. Construction and Default

34. Disqualifications. This Order waives any disqualification under the CSL, or rules or regulations thereunder, including any disqualification from relying upon the registration exemptions or safe harbor provisions to which Raymond James may be subject. This Order is not intended to be a final order based upon violations of the CSL that prohibit fraudulent, manipulative, or deceptive conduct. This Order is not intended to form the basis of any disqualifications under Section 3(a)(39) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; or Rules 504(b)(3) and 506(d)(1) of Regulation D, Rule 262(a) of Regulation A and Rule 503(a) of Regulation CF under the Securities Act of 1933. This Order is not intended to form the basis of disqualification under the FINRA rules prohibiting continuance in membership and is not intended to trigger any requirement that Raymond James must file a MC-400A application to remain a member in good standing or to trigger any disqualification under SRO rules prohibiting continuance in membership. This Order is not intended to form a basis of a disqualification under 204(a)(2) of the Uniform Securities Act of 1956 or Section 412(d) of the Uniform Securities Act of 2002. Except in an action by the Commissioner after the failure of Raymond James to comply with any obligations of this Order, any acts performed or documents executed in furtherance of this Order: (a) may not be deemed or used as an admission of, or evidence of, the validity of any alleged wrongdoing, liability, or lack of any wrongdoing or liability; or (b) may not be deemed or used as an admission of; or evidence of, any

such alleged fault or omission of Raymond James in any civil, criminal, arbitration, or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency, or tribunal.

- 35. <u>Binding Agreement</u>. This Order shall be binding upon Raymond James and its successors and assigns, as well as to successors and assigns of relevant affiliates, with respect to all conduct subject to the provisions above and all future obligations, responsibilities, undertakings, commitments, limitations, restrictions, events, and conditions.
- Waiver of Hearing Rights. Raymond James acknowledges that the Commissioner is ready, willing, and able to proceed with the filing of an enforcement action upon the matters discussed herein. Raymond James hereby waives the right to any hearings, and to any reconsideration, appeal, or other right to review which may be afforded pursuant to the CSL, the California Administrative Procedures Act, the California Code of Civil Procedure, or any other provision of law. Raymond James further expressly waives any requirement for the filing of an Accusation pursuant to Government Code section 11415.60 (b). By waiving such rights, Raymond James effectively consents to this Consent Order, Order to Discontinue Violations, Order for Restitution, Order for Penalties and Order for Investigative Costs contained herein becoming final.
- 37. <u>Full and Final Settlement.</u> The parties hereby acknowledge and agree that this Consent Order is intended to constitute a full, final, and complete resolution of matters subject to the Investigation, and that no further proceedings or actions will be brought by the Commissioner in connection with these matters except under the CSL or any other provision of law, excepting therefrom any proceeding to enforce compliance with the terms of this Consent Order.
- 38. <u>Failure to Comply with Consent Order.</u> Raymond James agrees that if it materially fails to comply with the terms of this Consent Order the Commissioner may, in addition to all other available remedies she may invoke under the CSL, summarily suspend/revoke the broker dealer and investment adviser licenses of Raymond James until Raymond James is in compliance. Raymond James waives any notice and hearing rights to contest such summary suspensions/revocations which may be afforded under the CSL, the California Administrative Procedure Act, the California Code of Civil Procedure, or any other provision of law in connection therewith.
- 39. <u>Information Willfully Withheld or Misrepresented</u>. This Consent Order may be revoked,

and the Commissioner may pursue any and all remedies available under the law against Raymond James if the Commissioner discovers that Raymond James knowingly or willfully withheld or misrepresented information used for and relied upon in this Order.

- 40. <u>Future Actions by Commissioner.</u> If Raymond James fails to comply with any terms of the Consent Order, the Commissioner may institute proceedings for any and all violations otherwise resolved under this Consent Order. The Commissioner reserves the right to bring any future actions against Raymond James, or any of its partners, owners, officers, shareholders, directors, employees, or successors for any and all unknown violations of the CSL.
- 41. <u>Assisting Other Agencies.</u> Nothing in this Consent Order limits the Commissioner's ability to assist any other government agency (city, county, state, or federal) with any prosecution, administrative, civil, or criminal brought by that agency against Raymond James or any other person based upon any of the activities alleged in this matter or otherwise.
- 42. <u>Headings</u>. The headings to the paragraphs of this Order are inserted for convenience only and will not be deemed a part hereof or affect the construction or interpretation of the provisions hereof.
- A3. Reliance. Each of the Parties represents, warrants, and agrees that in executing this Order it has relied solely on the statements set forth herein and the advice of its own counsel. Each of the Parties further represents, warrants, and agrees that in executing this Order it has placed no reliance on any statement, representation, or promise of any other party, or any other person or entity not expressly set forth herein, or upon the failure of any party or any other person or entity to make any statement, representation, or disclosure of anything whatsoever. The Parties have included this clause: (1) to preclude any claim that any party was in any way fraudulently induced to execute this Order; and (2) to preclude the introduction of parol evidence to vary, interpret, supplement, or contradict the terms of this Order.
- 44. <u>Waiver, Amendments, and Modifications</u>. No waiver, amendment, or modification of this Order will be valid or binding unless it is in writing and signed by each of the Parties. The waiver of any provision of this Order will not be deemed a waiver of any other provision. No waiver by either Party of any breach of, or of compliance with, any condition or provision of this Order by the

other Party will be considered a waiver of any other condition or provision or of the same condition or provision at another time.

- 45. <u>Full Integration.</u> This Consent Order is the final written expression and the complete and exclusive statement of all the agreements, conditions, promises, representations, and covenant between the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof, and supersedes all prior or contemporaneous agreements, negotiations, representations, understandings, and discussions between and among the parties, their respective representatives, and any other person or entity, with respect to the subject matter covered hereby.
- 46. <u>Governing Law</u>. This Order and any dispute related thereto shall be construed and enforced in accordance with, and governed by, the laws of California without regard to any choice of law principles.
- 47. <u>Effect Upon Future Proceedings.</u> If Raymond James applies for any license, permit or qualification under the Commissioner's current or future jurisdiction, or is the subject of any future action by the Commissioner to enforce this Consent Order, then the matters under investigation may be admissible for the purpose of such application(s) or enforcement proceedings(s).
- 48. <u>Voluntary Agreement</u>. Raymond James enters this Order voluntarily and represents that no threats, offers, promises, or inducements of any kind have been made by the Commissioner or any member, officer, employee, agent, or representative of the Commissioner to induce Raymond James to enter this Order. The Parties each represent and acknowledge that he, she, or it is executing this Consent Order completely voluntarily and without any duress or undue influence of any kind from any source.
- 49. <u>Counterparts</u>. This Order may be executed in one or more separate counterparts, each of which when so executed, shall be deemed an original. Such counterparts shall together constitute a single document.
- 50. <u>Notice</u>. Any notice required under this Consent Order shall be provided to each party by email and overnight mail/courier service at the following addresses.

27 ||

28 ||///

///

1	To Respondent:	Sidley Austin LLP	
2		Attn: Lara Thyagarajan, Partner 787 Seventh Avenue	
3		New York, New York 10019	
4		LThyagarajan@sidley.com	
5		Raymond James & Associates, Inc.	
6		Attn: Kim Reilly 880 Carillon Parkway	
		St. Petersburg, Florida 33716	
7		Kim.Reilly@raymondjames.com	
8		Raymond James Financial Services, Inc. Attn: Kim Reilly	
9		880 Carillon Parkway	
10		St. Petersburg, Florida 33716 Kim.Reilly@raymondjames.com	
11		Kiiii. Keiriy @ tayiilolidjanies.com	
12	To the Commissioner:	Joanne Ross	
13		Senior Counsel, Enforcement Division Department of Financial Protection and Innovation	
14		2101Arena Boulevard	
15		Sacramento, California 95834 Joanne.Ross@dfpi.ca.gov	
16	51. Signatures. A fax or electronic mail signature shall be deemed the same as an original		
17	signature.		
18	52. <u>Public Record.</u> Raymond James hereby acknowledges that this Consent Order is and will be		
19	a matter of public record.		
20	53. <u>Authority to Sign</u> .	Each signatory hereto covenants that he or she possesses all necessary	
21	capacity and authority to sign and enter into this Consent Order and undertake the obligations set		
22	forth herein.		
23	54. <u>Effective Date</u> . This Consent Order shall become final and effective when signed by all		
24	Parties and sent by the Commissioner's agent via e-mail to Kim Reilly, Associate General Counsel,		
25	at Kim.Reilly@raymondjames.com.		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		

This Consent Order is in the public interest, is necessary for the protection of investors, and		
is consistent with the purposes, policies, and provisions of the CSL.		
Dated: July 11, 2023	CLOTHILDE V. HEWLETT Commissioner of Financial Protection and Innovation  By:  Mary Ann Smith Deputy Commissioner Enforcement Division	
Dated this 10 <sup>th</sup> day of July, 2023.	Raymond James & Associates, Inc.  By:  Name:	
Dated this 10 <sup>th</sup> day of July, 2023.	Raymond James Financial Services, Inc.  By:	
	Name: Title:	