1	MARY ANN SMITH				
2	Deputy Commissioner				
2	AMY J. WINN				
3	Assistant Chief Counsel DANIEL DUBOIS (State Bar No. 345123)				
4	Senior Counsel				
5	Department of Financial Protection and Innovation				
	1455 Frazee Road				
6	San Diego, California 92108 Telephone: (619) 568-0222				
7	Facsimile: (916) 928-7929				
8	Attorneys for Complainant				
9					
0	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL PROTECTION AND INNOVATION				
1	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
2	In the Matter of: ) FIL ORG ID: 189546				
3	THE COMMISSIONER OF FINANCIAL				
_	PROTECTION AND INNOVATION, ) CONSENT ORDER				
4	) Complainant, )				
5	)				
6	v. )				
7	LEMONSHARK FRANCHISING, LLC				
8	Respondent.				
9	))				
0	This Consent Order is entered into between the Complainant, the Commissioner of Finan				

# ncial Protection and Innovation (Commissioner) as head of the Department of Financial Protection and Innovation (Department), and the Respondent, LemonShark Franchising, LLC (LemonShark), and is made with respect to the following:

# I.

# **Recitals**

A. The Commissioner is authorized to administer and enforce the provisions of the Franchise Investment Law (Corp. Code § 31000 et seq.) ("FIL") and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder which control the registration, offer, and sale of franchises in California. B. LemonShark is a California limited liability company with its principal place of business located at 439 North Bedford Drive, Beverly Hills, California 90210.

C. Tobias G. Miller is the co-founder, President, and Chief Operating Officer of LemonShark and is authorized to enter into this Consent Order on behalf of LemonShark.

D. The Commissioner brings this action pursuant to the provisions of the FIL, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, including Corporations Code section 31406.

E. LemonShark admits to the jurisdiction of the Commissioner in this matter and for the purposes of using and enforcing this Consent Order.

F. LemonShark desires to enter into this Consent Order which the Commissioner finds is appropriate, in the public interest, and consistent with the policies and purposes fairly intended by the FIL.

G. In October of 2015, LemonShark (originally named Bratworks Franchising) filed an initial franchise registration application with the Department and began offering and selling franchises in California for "fast-casual restaurants". LemonShark's registration expired in April of 2021.

H. To register a franchise, a franchisor must file an application which includes a Uniform Franchise Disclosure Document ("FDD") with the Department for review and approval, in accordance with Corporations Code sections 31111 and 31114.

I. Every application for registration must include a proposed FDD that contains material information and disclosures to prospective franchisees in accordance with the Uniform Franchise Disclosure Document Guidelines, as adopted by the North American Securities Administrators Association, Inc. on June 22, 2007, and effective July 1, 2007 [*See* Corp. Code § 31114 and Cal. Code Regs., title 10 § 310.111(b)]("FDD Guidelines") and the Federal Trade Commission's amended Franchise Rule, 16 C.F.R. § 436.

J. The FIL, FDD Guidelines, and the Federal Trade Commission's amended Franchise
Rule (collectively, the "Uniform Franchise Guidelines") require franchisors to disclose certain
material information which is intended to provide prospective franchisees with facts upon which to
make an informed decision to purchase a franchise.

28 ||///

## CONSENT ORDER

*Failure to Disclose Direct or Indirect Financing to the Commissioner* 

K. The Uniform Franchise Guidelines require a franchisor to disclose any direct or indirect financing in Item 10 of the Franchise Disclosure Document (FDD).

L. In 2019, LemonShark offered and sold a franchise to a California resident. After the California franchisee began store operations, the franchisee was provided a Small Business Administration (SBA) loan, with Tobias G. Miller as guarantor of the loan.

M. Under Corporations Code section 31200, it is unlawful for any person willfully to make any untrue statement of a material fact in any application, notice or report filed with the Commissioner under this law, or willfully to omit to state in any such application, notice, or report any material fact which is required to be stated therein, or fail to notify the Commissioner of any material change as required by Section 31123.

N. LemonShark violated section 31200 of the FIL by failing to notify the Commissioner of the indirect finance arrangement between the California franchisee and Tobias G. Miller. This finance agreement was not disclosed in Item 10 of LemonShark's original application and LemonShark failed to notify the Commissioner of this material change.

Failure to Disclose Pro forma Financial Projection to Commissioner

O. Many franchisors choose to provide pro forma financial projections to prospective franchisees. The Franchise Guidelines do not require the disclosure of financial projections. However, if a franchisor makes the decision to provide financial projections, those projections must be disclosed in Item 19 of the FDD.

P. During its period of registration, LemonShark and Tobias G. Miller provided at least one prospective California franchisee with a pro forma financial projection. The projection was not disclosed in Item 19 of LemonShark's FDD. The pro forma financial projection was especially problematic because it provided estimates based on a franchise location in a different state with significantly different operational costs.

Q. California Code of Regulations title 10, Section 310.111 requires franchisors to comply
with the requirements of the Uniform Franchise Guidelines. LemonShark failed to comply with the
guidelines by providing a franchisee with a pro forma financial projection that was not disclosed in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### CONSENT ORDER

Item 19 of their FDD.

R. It is a violation of section 31200 of the FIL for any person to willfully make any untrue statement of a material fact in any application, notice, or report filed with the commissioner under this law, or willfully omit to state in any such application, notice, or report any material fact which is required to be stated therein, or fail to notify the commissioner of any material change as required by section 31123.

S. LemonShark omitted material facts to the Commissioner by failing to produce and disclose the pro forma financial projection in Item 19 of their FDD.

T. NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing and the terms and conditions set forth herein, the parties agree as follows.

## II.

#### <u>Terms</u>

1. <u>Purpose</u>. This Consent Order resolves the issues before the Commissioner set forth in paragraphs H - S above, in a manner that avoids the expense of a hearing and other possible court proceedings, protects prospective franchisees, is in the public interest, and is consistent with the purposes and provisions of the Franchise Investment Law.

2. <u>Desist and Refrain Order.</u> The Commissioner finds that LemonShark's omission of material facts to the Commissioner regarding Tobias G. Miller providing indirect financing and distributing an pro forma financial projection as described in paragraphs K – S above are violations of Corporations Code section 31200. Pursuant to Corporations Code section 31402, LemonShark is ordered to desist and refrain from further omissions to the Commissioner in violation of the FIL.

<u>Waiver of Hearing Rights.</u> LemonShark acknowledges the Commissioner is ready,
 willing, and able to proceed with the filing of an administrative enforcement action on the charges
 contained in this Consent Order. LemonShark hereby waives the right to any hearings and to any
 reconsideration, appeal, or other right to review which may be afforded pursuant to the FIL, the
 California Administrative Procedure Act, the California Code of Civil Procedure, or any other
 provision of law. LemonShark further expressly waives any requirement for the filing of an
 Accusation pursuant to Government Code section 11415.60, subdivision (b). By waiving such rights,

-4

LemonShark effectively consents to the Consent Order becoming final.

4. <u>Failure to Comply with Consent Order.</u> LemonShark agrees that if it fails to comply with the terms of this Consent Order, the Commissioner may, in addition to all other available remedies it may invoke under the FIL, summarily issue a stop order denying the effectiveness of or suspending or revoking the effectiveness of any of LemonShark franchise registrations until LemonShark gains compliance. LemonShark waives any notice and hearing rights to contest such summary suspensions which may be afforded under the FIL, the California Administrative Procedure Act, the California Code of Civil Procedure, or any other provision of law in connection therewith.

5. <u>Information Willfully Withheld or Misrepresented.</u> This Consent Order may be revoked, and the Commissioner may pursue any and all remedies available under the law against LemonShark if the Commissioner discovers that LemonShark knowingly or willfully withheld or misrepresented information used for and relied upon in this Consent Order.

6. <u>Future Actions by Commissioner.</u> If LemonShark fails to comply with any terms of the Consent Order, the Commissioner may institute proceedings for any and all violations otherwise resolved under this Consent Order. The Commissioner reserves the right to bring any future actions against LemonShark, or any of its partners, owners, officers, shareholders, directors, employees, or successors for any and all unknown violations of the FIL.

7. <u>Assisting Other Agencies.</u> Nothing in this Consent Order limits the Commissioner's ability to assist a government agency (whether city, county, state, federal, or otherwise) or self-regulatory organization with any administrative, civil, or criminal action brought by that agency or organization based upon any of the activities alleged in this matter or otherwise.

8. <u>Headings.</u> The headings to the paragraphs of this Consent Order are inserted for convenience only and will not be deemed a part hereof or affect the construction or interpretation of the provisions hereof.

25 9. <u>Binding.</u> This Consent Order is binding on all heirs, assigns, and/or successors in
26 interest.

27 10. <u>Reliance.</u> Each of the parties represents, warrants, and agrees that in executing this
28 Agreement it has relied solely on the statements set forth herein and the advice of its own counsel.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

## CONSENT ORDER

Each of the parties further represents, warrants, and agrees that in executing this Agreement it has placed no reliance on any statement, representation, or promise of any other party, or any other person or entity not expressly set forth herein, or upon the failure of any party or any other person or entity to make any statement, representation, or disclosure of anything whatsoever. The parties have included this clause: (1) to preclude any claim that any party was in any way fraudulently induced to execute this Agreement; and (2) to preclude the introduction of parol evidence to vary, interpret, supplement, or contradict the terms of this Agreement.

11. <u>Waiver, Amendments, and Modifications.</u> No waiver, amendment, or modification of the Consent Order will be valid or binding unless it is in writing and signed by each of the parties. The waiver of any provision of this Consent Order will not be deemed a waiver of any other provision. No waiver by either party of any breach of, or of compliance with, any condition or provision of this Agreement by the other party will be considered a waiver of any other condition or provision or of the same condition or provision at another time.

12. <u>Full Integration.</u> This Consent Order is the final written expression and the complete and exclusive statement of all the agreements, conditions, promises, representations, and convents between the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof, and supersedes all prior or contemporaneous agreements, negotiations, representations, understandings, and discussions between and among the parties, their respective representatives, and any other person or entity, with respect to the subject matter covered hereby.

13. <u>Governing Law.</u> This Consent Order will be governed by and construed in accordance with California law. Each of the parties hereto consents to the jurisdiction of the Superior Court of California for the County of Sacramento, and hereby irrevocably waives, to the fullest extent permitted by law, the defense of an inconvenient forum to the maintenance of an action or proceeding in such court relating to this Consent Order.

14. <u>Counterparts.</u> This Consent Order may be executed in one or more separate
counterparts, each of which when so executed, shall be deemed an original. Such counterparts shall
together constitute a single document.

15. <u>Effect Upon Future Proceedings</u>. If LemonShark applies for any license, permit,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

28

CONSENT ORDER

-6-

1 qualification, or registration under the Commissioner's current or future jurisdiction, or is the subject 2 of any future action by the Commissioner to enforce this Consent Order, then the subject matter hereof 3 shall be admitted for the purpose of such application(s) or enforcement proceeding(s).

16. Voluntary Agreement. LemonShark enters into this Consent Order voluntarily and without coercion and acknowledges that no promises, threats, or assurances have been made by the Commissioner or any offer, or agent thereof, about this Settlement Agreement. The Parties each represent and acknowledge that he, she, or it is executing this Agreement completely voluntarily and without any duress or undue influence of any kind from any source.

Notice. Any notice required under this Consent Order shall be provided to each party at 17. the following addresses:

If to LemonShark, to: Matthew J. Soroky, Esq. Lewitt Hackman – A Law Corporation 16633 Ventura Boulevard, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor Encino, California 91436 Daniel DuBois, Senior Counsel If to the Commissioner, to: Department of Financial Protection and Innovation 1455 Frazee Road San Diego, CA 95834

18. Signatures. A fax or electronic mail signature shall be deemed the same as an original signature.

18 19. Public Record. LemonShark hereby acknowledges that this Consent Order is and will 19 be a matter of public record.

20. Effective Date. This Consent Order shall become final and effective when signed by all parties and delivered by the Commissioner's agent via e-mail to LemonShark's counsel at msoroky@lewitthackman.com.

21. Authority to Sign. Each signatory hereto covenants that he/she possesses all necessary capacity and authority to sign and enter into this Consent Order and undertake the obligations set forth herein.

26 ///

27 111

28 ///

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

1	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have approved and executed the Consent Order			
2	on the dates set forth opposite their respective signatures.			
3				
4	CLOTHILDE V. HEWLETT Commissioner of Financial Protection and Innovation			
5		Commission		
6	Dated: November 2, 2023	By:		
7			MARY ANN SMITH	
8			Deputy Commissioner Enforcement Division	
9	LEMONSHARK FRANCHISING, LLC.			
10				
11	Dated: November 2, 2023	By:		
12			TOBIAS G. MILLER Chief Operations Officer	
13			enter operations officer	
14	APPROVED AS TO FORM AN			
15				
16	Dated: November 8, 2023	By:		
17			MATTHEW J. SOROKY, ESQ. Lewitt Hackman - A Law Corporation	
18	Alimancial Protection		r	
19				
20				
21	State of California			
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	-8- CONSENT ORDER			